US National Energy Plan: How Can We Make a Difference?



Senator Nathan Anderson from California Senator Lisa Jordan from Massachusetts Senator Jessica Sanders from Wisconsin Senator Anthony Rodriguez from Idaho

> Mrs. McGill 4th Period Social Studies

Copyright © 2010 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. Intel, the Intel logo and the Intel Education Initiative are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries *Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

OVERVIEW OF OUR POLICY CHOICES

Our Energy Priorities
Overview of Our Energy Plan
Comparison with Previous Policies
Comparison with the Energy Policy Act of 2005
Justification and Impacts
Counter-Arguments
Conclusion





Our Energy Priorities

Initially, the five factors that we felt should be energy priorities are:

- Preserving the environment
- Decreasing the amount of non-renewable energy consumed
- Decreasing our dependency on other countries for our energy resources
- Encouraging alternative fuels/vehicles
- Maintaining/growing our economy

Visual Ranking of Priorities

environmental protection

economic growth

economic security

providing help to industry

job creation

keeping prices low

reducing the deficit

maintaining 'American lifestyle'

From the priorities created and decided upon by the whole class, our top three energy priorities are:

ENVIRONMENT

- Protect for future generations
- Allow for new discoveries

ECONOMIC GROWTH

 We need to be able to have money to find and develop additional energy resources

SECURITY

- Protect our resources and future
- Be more self-reliant

Copyright © 2010 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. Intel, the Intel logo and the Intel Education Initiative are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries "Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Overview of Energy Plan

Aid Renewable	e Energy (2)
---------------	--------------

Clean Coal Tech (4)

Electric Cars (.5)

Increase Canadian imports (1)

Deregulate Natural Gas (1)

Public Transport (2)

Recycling (1)

Modify Building Codes 🕅 (1)

Aid former USSR (2)

Protect Middle East (6)

Nuclear Power Plants (2)

Tax Incentives (1.5)

Open ANWR (1)

Auto Mileage to 31(1)

.25 cent Gas Tax (.5)

14.5 Quads of Energy for 10 years

- Only 1 Quad planned for "Building Codes" (less restrictive/costly)
- Fulfills our priorities and the interests of our states:
 - Promotes the environment
 - Somewhat less dependent on foreign resources
 - Helps to develop our future energy resources



Copyright © 2010 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. Intel, the Intel logo and the Intel Education Initiative are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries *Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Class Average

	Compare your team with:		
	Class Average 📃 🔹		
	There is a moderate positive c	orrelation of 0.47	
Aid Renewable Energy (2)	Tax Incentives	Deregulate	(Tio)
Clean Coal Tech (4)	(1.5)	Natural Gas (1)	(TIE)
Electric Cars (.5)	Clean Coal	Aid Renewable	(Tie)
Increase Canadian imports (1)	Tech (4)		
Deregulate Natural Gas (1)	Protect Middle	Increase	(Tio)
Public Transport (2)	East (6)	Canadian	(TIe)
Recycling (1)	Aid former USSR	Aid former USSR (2)	
Modify Building Codes (4)	Modify Building C	Modify Building Codes (4)	
Aid former USSR (2)	Recycling (1)	Recycling (1)	
Protect Middle East (6)	Open ANWR (1)	Huclear Power Plants (2)	(Tie)
Nuclear Power Plants (2)			
Tax Incentives (1.5)	Electric Cars (.5)	Electric Cars (.5)	
Open ANWR (1)	Public Transport	Public Transport (2)	
Auto Mileage to 31(1)	Auto Mileage to 3	Auto Mileage to 31(1)	
.25 cent Gas Tax (.5)	.25 cent Gas Tax	.25 cent Gas Tax (.5)	

Copyright © 2010 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. Intel, the Intel logo and the Intel Education Initiative are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries *Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Comparison with Previous U.S. Policies

Similarities – Focus on:

- Conservation
- Renewable energy
- Decreasing pollution of energy resources

Differences:

- Nuclear energy (our group was split)
- Specific gasoline conservation goals
- Restricting foreign oil imports

- Atomic Energy Act of 1946 Develop the use of atomic energy for civilian and military purposes¹
- "Energy Policy and Conservation Act" (1975) - Reduce dependence on imported oil and increase energy efficiency²
- "Energy Tax Act" (1977) Tax credit for wind and solar power and other renewable energy²
- 1980 Reduce overall petroleum consumption and establish a maximum amount for importing foreign oil³
 - Clean Air Act Amendments (1990) emissions-reduction program, specifically targeted at coal²
- National Energy Policy (2001) Funding of research and development into renewable technologies²



Copyright © 2010 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. Intel, the Intel logo and the Intel Education Initiative are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries. *Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Comparison with the Energy Policy Act of 2005

Similarities:

- Electric cars
- Alternative energy
- Clean coal

Differences

- Daylight savings time
- Nuclear power



- Tax break for hybrid (electric) vehicles
- Loan guarantees for "innovative technologies"
 - Advanced nuclear reactor designs
 - Clean coal
 - Renewable energy
- Clean coal as an energy source
- Subsidies and provisions for encouraging renewable, alternative energy producers
 - Wind, wave, tidal, geothermal
- Extends daylight savings time by four weeks
- Six new nuclear power plants

Cost estimate: \$1.6 billion directly and reduced revenue by \$12.3 billion between 2006 and 2015⁴

Copyright © 2010 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. Intel, the Intel logo and the Intel Education Initiative are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries. *Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Justification and Impacts

Healthy Environment

- What else have we got?
- Need to make changes now for the future
- Support recycling, clean fuels, electric cars
- Cost is an issue, but worth it

Economic Growth

- Plans are not too restrictive or burdensome
 - Example: less restrictive building codes than initially proposed
- Allow the market to choose alternatives--with incentives from government
 - Example: Hybrid cars Costs more to buy and maintain, but tax incentives, carpool lane access in some locations, and gas savings
 - 81% increase of sales in 2004 over 2003 ⁵
 - 2005 already doubles 2004 figures
 - Calif. buys 4.5 times more than any other state⁶

Justification and Impacts

Security

- Less dependent on foreign resources
- Developing resources to be more self-reliant in the future
- Use of coal
 - Plentiful resource that doesn't need to be imported
 - New methods in the near future
 - Produces electricity and hydrogen
 - Clean use gasifies coal before burning, captures carbon dioxide^Z

Supports the needs/priorities of our states

- Supports our current policies and available renewable resources
 - California Wind, solar, hydroelectric, and geothermal resources/programs
 - Massachusetts Solar, wind, and hydro programs/resources (small)
 - Wisconsin Wind, hydroelectric, and solar programs/resources
 - Idaho Solar, wind, and geothermal programs (small), but greater potential resources available⁸



Counter-Arguments

Cost

- Government spending is huge (estimates of \$13.9 billion over 8 years for the Energy Policy Act of 20054)
- People don't like anything that might cost them more money like recycling or more expensive cars



Out of People's "Comfort Zones"

- People don't want weird houses or cars—especially if they will cost more
- Industry and Big Business Resists
 - Powerful lobbies in Washington D.C. prevent change



Conclusion

We were surprised that much of the class chose what we view as "anti-environmental" measures.
 Protecting the environment is much more complex.

- Need more policies to promote change and new ideas, rather than just producing more of the same
- We need to develop renewable alternatives before we run out of non-renewable resources

Use of clean domestic resources, such as coal, will help our more immediate energy needs

Energy choices have broad-range and long-term impacts.

Copyright © 2010 Intel Corporation. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. Intel, the Intel logo and the Intel Education Initiative are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries. *Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Sources Cited

- 1. United States Atomic Energy Commission <u>http://www.answers.com/topic/united-states-atomic-energy-commission</u>
- 2. Jimmy Carter State of the Union Address 1980 http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.org/documents/speeches/su80jec.phtml
- 3. American Energy Policy http://www.esru.strath.ac.uk/EandE/Web_sites/01-02/RE_info/usa.htm
- 4. Energy Policy Act of 2005 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_Policy_Act_of_2005
- 5. Sales Numbers and Forecasts for Hybrid Vehicles http://www.hybridcars.com/sales-numbers.html
- 6. Hybrid Car Sales Soar in U.S. in 2004 http://www.commondreams.org/headlines05/0425-03.htm
- 7. Cleaner coal? Activists now say it's possible http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/9619627/
- 8. EIA Individual State Data http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/states/_states.html

