## In The Matter Of:

## Advanced Micro Devices v Intel Corporation

Teleconference November 25, 2008



230 North Market Street • Wilmington, DE 19801 • phone 302.571. 0510 • fax 302.571.1321
15 East North Street • Dover, DE 19901 • phone 302.734.3534 • fax 302.734.3552
Corbett & Wilcox is not affiliated with Wilcox & Fetzer, Court Reporters

Original File 1125amdTeleconference - Vol. I.txt

Min-U-Script®

	;	
		:
		The state of the s
÷		

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                  FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
2
    ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES,
3
                      Plaintiffs,
4
                                     Civil Action
    v.
5
                                     No. 05-441-JJF
    INTEL CORPORATION,
 6
                     Defendant.
7
         Teleconference in the above matter, taken pursuant
    to notice before Debra A. Donnelly, Registered
 8
    Professional/Certified Realtime Reporter, in the offices
    of Blank Rome, LLP, 1201 North Market Street, Wilmington,
 9
    Delaware, on Tuesday, November 25, 2008, beginning at
    approximately 1:00 p.m., there being present:
10
11
    BEFORE:
         THE HONORABLE VINCENT J. POPPITI, SPECIAL MASTER
12
13
    APPEARANCES:
         O'MELVENY & MYERS
14
         LINDA J. SMITH, ESQUIRE
         CHARLES P. DIAMOND, ESQUIRE
15
         MARC WILLIAMS, ESOUIRE
16
               1999 Avenue of the Stars
              Los Angeles, California 90067
17
                -- and --
         RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER
18
         FREDERICK L. COTTRELL, III, ESQUIRE
         STEVEN J. FINEMAN, ESQUIRE
19
               One Rodney Square
20
              Wilmington, Delaware 19801
                -- and --
21
                    CORBETT & WILCOX
22
              REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS
      230 N. MARKET STREET WILMINGTON, DELAWARE
                     (302) 571-0510
23
              Corbett & Wilcox is not affiliated
24
            with Wilcox & Fetzer, Court Reporters
```

for Dell

23

1	APPEARANCES (CONT'D):
2	ASHBY & GEDDES LAUREN E. MAGUIRE, ESQUIRE
3	500 Delaware Avenue Wilmington, Delaware 19801
4	and
5	RICHARDS KIBBE & ORBE, LLP WILLIAM P. BARRY, ESQUIRE
6	Portrait Building 701 8th Street NW
7	Washington, D.C. 20001 for Rollins
8	<del></del>
9	
LO	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

l	
1	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Counsel, good
2	afternoon. Vincent Poppiti just joined. I apologize for
3	running a wee bit late.
4	Our court reporter is here with me, so
5	if we could begin to identify, since this is Dell's
6	application, let's identify those that are intending to
7	be on the record on behalf of Dell, please.
8	MS. MAGUIRE: Good afternoon, Your
9	Honor. Lauren Maguire from Ashby & Geddes. With me I
10	have Thomas Jackson and Christopher Maynard from Jones
11	Day.
12	MR. JACKSON: Good afternoon, Your
13	Honor.
14	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Just one
15	moment, please. Good afternoon, counsel. Thank you.
16	MS. MAGUIRE: Your Honor, Ashby & Geddes
17	also represents Mr. Rollins, and with me on the line is
18	William Barry from Richards Kibbe & Orbe.
19	MR. BARRY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
20	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you very
21	much. Good afternoon.
22	And from AMD, please.
23	MR. BALICK: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
24	It's Adam Balick from Balick & Balick. I have Linda

- 1	
1	Smith and Charles Diamond and Marc Williams from
2	O'Melveny & Myers on as well.
3	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Mr. Balick, you
4	were just cut off because there was a phone ring behind
5	you, so if you wouldn't mind doing that again.
6	MR. BALICK: Sure. Sorry about that. I
7	have Linda Smith, Charles Diamond, and Marc Williams, all
8	from O'Melveny & Myers, on the line with me.
9	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you.
10	MR. COTTRELL: Your Honor, in
11	Wilmington, Fred Cottrell and Steve Fineman for AMD.
12	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you,
13	Fred.
14	And from the Class, if anyone is joining
15	from the Class since they did join in the application.
16	MR. ATHEY: Your Honor, Clay Athey in
17	Delaware for Class plaintiffs.
18	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay.
19	MR. FIMMEL: And Steve Fimmel from
20	Hagens Berman Sobol & Shapiro in Seattle, Your Honor.
21	Good afternoon.
22	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Good afternoon.
23	Thank you.
24	And if anyone is joining on the line for

1 Intel, please.

MR. HORWITZ: Good afternoon, Your

Honor. It's Rich Horwitz from Potter Anderson here in

Wilmington.

MR. STONE: Your Honor, it's Rod Stone and Bob Cooper from Gibson Dunn & Crutcher as well.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you, all.

Counsel, before we get started, I think my friends at the local bar know that I try to be a person of even temperament, and when it becomes important to carefully choose language that needs to be stronger than softer, I usually use my pen for purposes of doing that. But let me make an observation at the front end and consider entertaining an application on Dell's end.

I am prepared to go through Dell's submission and review with whomever is charged with the responsibility of arguing today each case reference with a full and fulsome discussion of the facts underlying each case, with a full and fulsome discussion as to why Dell would argue propositions to me from cases for which the propositions do not stand in terms of the ultimate ruling of the case.

I'm fully prepared to have that conversation against the backdrop of work that I've

already done on this issue in this case, and I certainly anticipated that when there was an application to discuss with me the authority that I have through this District Court through Judge Farnan to deal with issues of discovery, I fully anticipated that there would be some discussion by Dell of my ruling in the case involving Fry's Electronics, because I would have expected that if my ruling in Fry's Electronics was somehow off the mark or for some reason, because of newly developed case law, a decision that is no longer applicable in this case, that there would be that discussion in this briefing.

So I'm prepared to do this one of two ways. I'm prepared to have Dell consider withdrawing this filing, reviewing the cases that have been cited here, and discuss with me in an honest fashion what these cases stand for.

If Dell is not prepared to do that, then I'm prepared to have a full discussion on this record and consider any appropriate application that AMD may want to file or the Class plaintiffs want to file, or, in turn, I may want to consider on my own initiative in terms of the amount of time that I've already spent on the issue of the jurisdictional question, the time that I've spent during the course of the hearing, time that I will spend

if it's important for me to write on this yet again, and time that I would have to spend on any applications that may be forthcoming subsequent to the work that I do.

I certainly will entertain discussion today, or at some other time, and by other time, we're talking real quick, on the issue of whether or not there was an agreement to lodge in a district other than this district, being the multi district, the authority to deal with issues involving subpoenas that issue for either documents alone or subpoenas for testimony and documents.

If Dell needs some time to confer among its counsel, I'm happy to put you all on hold, give you ten minutes, and I'll climb back on the line again at 1:15.

MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, this is Tom Jackson.

Let me do two things, if I might. One, from our perspective, the Court's decision in Fry's involved a document subpoena that issued out of the Northern District of California.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Mr. Jackson, I don't want your argument now, sir. I've made you an offer and I want you to consider it.

MR. JACKSON: I understand. I just want

1	you to understand why we did not consider Fry's.
2	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: That's your
3	choice, as to whether you did want to consider it or not,
4	sir.
5	MR. JACKSON: I will be happy to accept
6	the Court's inclination that we should confer, and we
7	will get back on the line.
8	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: How much time
9	do you need, sir?
10	MR. JACKSON: If you could give us to
11	the bottom of the hour, that would be most appreciated.
12	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Let's do it
13	this way. If we're going to go to the bottom of the
14	hour, we'll all dial back in.
15	MR. JACKSON: We will dial back in at
16	12:30 our time, 1:30 your time.
17	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Yes, sir.
18	MR. JACKSON: All right. Thank you,
19	Your Honor.
20	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you.
21	(Brief recess taken.)
22	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Counsel, good
23	afternoon. Vincent Poppiti just joined.
24	What we're going to have to do is go

## Teleconference

	10
1	back through the roll call again, please, starting with
2	Dell.
3	MS. MAGUIRE: Your Honor, Lauren Maguire
4	from Ashby & Geddes for Dell. With me I have Tom Jackson
5	and Chris Maynard from Jones Day.
6	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you.
7	MS. MAGUIRE: And on behalf of Kevin
8	Rollins I have William Barry from Richards Kibbe & Orbe.
9	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you very
10	much.
11	From AMD?
12	MR. BALICK: Your Honor, again good
13	afternoon. It's Adam Balick. On the phone with me I
14	have Linda Smith, Charles Diamond, and Marc Williams, all
15	from O'Melveny & Myers.
16	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you, all.
17	MR. COTTRELL: Again, Your Honor, Fred
18	Cottrell and Steve Fineman in Wilmington for AMD.
19	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you.
20	From the Class, please.
21	MR. ATHEY: Your Honor, from Prickett
22	Jones & Elliott, Clay Athey for the Class. Also on the
23	line is Steve Fimmel from Hagens Berman in Seattle.
24	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you.

1 From Intel? 2 MR. HORWITZ: Your Honor, Rich Horwitz 3 here in Wilmington, and Bob Cooper and Rod Stone from 4 Gibson Dunn in Los Angeles. 5 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: All right. 6 Thank you. 7 May I hear from Dell, please. MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, yes. 8 This is 9 Tom Jackson. 10 I have spent the last 20 minutes trying 11 by phone, e-mail, and cell phone to contact the client representatives so that I might discuss with them the 12 13 Court's suggestions, and have been unable to reach 14 I know that they are most likely at lunch, given the time differences and the time zones, and I 15 would -- I also know that I have a scheduled call with 16 17 them at 1:30 our time today, because we were going to talk about the hearing in any event. 18 19 So what I would ask the Court to do is 20 to give me additional time so that I might confer with 21 the clients, because I take the Court's suggestions seriously, and I want to make sure I am able to convey 22 23 them adequately to the client to get appropriate

direction and approval for our future course of action.

1	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Any comments
2	from counsel on behalf of any other parties with respect
3	to that request, please?
4	MS. SMITH: Your Honor, this is Linda
5	Smith for AMD.
6	I have no comment on the request. My
7	only comment is, not unexpectedly, that Your Honor was
8	gracious enough to yet again advance the hearing so we
9	could get this resolved, and our only aim is to be able
10	to take these Dell depositions, and hopefully this isn't
11	going to slow that purpose down. That's all we want
12	here.
13	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, then,
14	maybe what I should do is just outline perhaps a bit more
15	information that would put Mr. Jackson even in the
16	position of having some time frames.
17	If Dell is inclined to want to withdraw
18	its current document and refile, as opposed to
19	withdrawing its contest of jurisdiction, then there would
20	have to be a filing not later than 12 noon tomorrow our
21	time. My time.
22	If there is then the need for argument
23	on that issue, or on the issue of whether or not there is
24	an agreement, then that argument will have to occur

```
1
    sometime on Monday.
                     I can take your pulse and decide now
2
    what time that argument would be, if one is necessary.
3
    For example, I would have available the same time that we
4
    started today; that is, 1:00 p.m. And, of course, you --
5
    wait a minute. I'm sorry, I'm looking at the wrong -- it
6
7
    would be Monday, December 1, at 1:00.
                     MS. SMITH: Your Honor, it's Linda Smith
8
9
    again.
10
                     That would be fine with us. One of the
    reasons that we asked the Court to expedite is because
11
    our briefs in opposition to Dell's motion to quash in
12
    Texas is due on December 1. And that's one of the
13
    reasons we had hoped to have this matter resolved prior
14
15
    to that time.
                     MR. JACKSON: I don't think that's
16
    right. I think it's December 4th.
17
                     SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I had
18
    understood it to be later, but I don't have your schedule
19
20
    in front of me.
                     MR. JACKSON: I'm willing to make it
21
22
    December 4th.
                     MS. SMITH: All right.
                                              That's fine.
23
24
                     SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: And let me give
```

you the other date, because the other date we already had scheduled would be a hearing on the ultimate merits, if I determine that there was jurisdiction or if Dell conceded that this court has jurisdiction/the authority to hear the application, that is still on for December the 2nd at 2:00 p.m.

So, my intent, certainly, is to have issues resolved, at least from my desk, for the purpose of expecting that there would be a quick turnaround, even if there were exceptions taken. I would also probably suggest that any exceptions would be on a very, very short time frame, three, if not shorter, days to get before Judge Farnan.

MR. JACKSON: Your Honor, on the question of the substantive matter.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Yes, sir.

MR. JACKSON: Again, this is Tom

Jackson. I apologize to the court reporter for not

saying that at the start.

I thought that we had agreed to simultaneously brief that issue on the 4th of December.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, we may have done that. But in light of what's happening now --

MR. JACKSON: You're changing that.

```
SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: If you've
 1
    got -- you either have to tell me that there is something
 2
    different that's going to occur in Texas, so that the two
 3
    courts aren't literally whipsawing against each other, or
 4
    I want to be moving everything up and crunch it as
 5
    tightly as possible.
 6
                                    There is no hearing
 7
                     MR. JACKSON:
    scheduled in Texas at this point in time, and, you know,
 8
    I think we can -- we can't necessarily control what that
 9
    court does, but we're not trying to do anything to push
10
    that at this point in the process either. We can
11
    certainly alert the Court should there be a hearing set,
12
    and then adjust our schedules accordingly.
13
                      SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, if you
14
    are telling me that the 4th, and I think the other date
15
16
    was the 8th?
                                    The 4th and the 8th are
17
                      MR. JACKSON:
    the dates that we had agreed to originally. And I can
18
19
    assure you there will be no hearing in Texas prior to
20
    that time.
                      MS. SMITH: Your Honor, it's Linda
21
22
    Smith.
                      That still means that our brief will be
23
    due there, and what I think --
24
```

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, I think I
just heard, though, that you --

MS. SMITH: I guess either we accelerate this in the fashion that Your Honor suggests, which I think is fine, or Texas has to stay. It could be a temporary stay, but staying until -- it can't be in two courts at once that they ask and need actions taken. And until this is decided, that's the position we're in, I mean, we're all in, including this court.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, it may be helpful for all of you to understand, while we're talking about schedule, in addition to cases that I essentially brought to your attention by virtue of having written Fry's a number of months ago, and in addition to cases that you discussed or attempted to discuss with me in the filings that you filed, you all may want to be aware of two additional cases that stand for the proposition that a District Court judge where the subpoena's issued from said not my game, it belongs to the multi-district judge.

And you may want to be looking at In re Subpoenas served on Wilmer Cutler & Pickering and Goodwin Proctor. That case is at 255 F. Supp. 2d 1, and that's out of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

And the case of In re Subpoena issued to 1 2 Boies, B-O-I-E-S, Schiller & Flexner, and that cite is 2003 Westlaw 1831426, the Southern District of New York. 3 I'm happy to cut it any way that makes 4 5 sense, but what does not make sense to me, from my perspective, not only am I concerned about the economy of 6 7 it all here, but I'm concerned about the amount of time that is being taken up in another district. 8 9 So we either go with an abbreviated 10 abbreviated schedule; we stick with the 4th and the 8th. 11 I'm happy to cut it either way. But, in any event, if there is to be a refiling of the paper that I have before 12 me, it will be filed no later than noon tomorrow. 13 14 MR. JACKSON: All right, Your Honor. 15 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: So what you may 16 want to be doing, in addition to having discussion with 17 your client, Mr. Jackson, is have further discussion with Ms. Smith and others. 18 19 What time are you suggesting that we 20 should be back on the line today, if there is a need to 21 be back on the line? 22 MR. JACKSON: Well, Your Honor, if I --23 as I said, I know I have a call scheduled with them at 24 1:30 my time, which is 2:30 yours.

1	Now, I think I should be able, in a
2	position to advise the parties and the Court as to the
3	decision that they have made by an hour thereafter.
4	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: 3:30?
5	MR. JACKSON: Which would be 3:30 your
6	time and 2:30 my time.
7	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, in light
8	of that, and in light of other issues that need to be
9	resolved, it seems to me, although, if what I'm going to
10	hear is that you accept the authority of this Court to
11	deal with the issues, then that can be accomplished
12	certainly without a telephone call, without a
13	teleconference.
14	But expecting that there needs to be
15	additional discussion, we should schedule this again,
16	then, for 3:30.
17	MR. JACKSON: All right.
18	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. Call-in
19	numbers are the same.
20	MS. SMITH: Okay. Very good, Your
21	Honor.
22	MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you, all.
24	(Hearing adjourned at 1:44 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF DELAWARE
3	NEW CASTLE COUNTY
4	I, Debra A. Donnelly, a Notary Public within and for
5	the County and State aforesaid, do hereby certify that
6	the foregoing teleconference was taken before me,
7	pursuant to notice, at the time and place indicated; that
8	the teleconference was correctly recorded in machine
9	shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my
10	supervision with computer-aided transcription; that the
11	transcript is a true record of the teleconference; and
12	that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said
13	action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.
14	WITNESS my hand and official seal this day of
15	November A.D., 2008.
16	
17	
18	DEBRA A. DONNELLY, RPR CERTIFICATE #151-PS
19	EXPIRATION: PERMANENT
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

•		:
		•
		•
		•
		:
		:
		:
		<u>.</u>
		i i
		:
		i
		1
		÷
		\$
		:
		:
		٠
		# # #
		: