

EXHIBIT A

Case No. (wa) 13151 of 2005, Suit for Damages

Plaintiff: AMD Japan, Inc.

Defendant: Intel K.K.

List of evidence(1)

December 7, 2005

To: Tokyo District Court, Civil Dept. Panel 16, Collegiate court
Section 1

Attorneys for the Plaintiff: Hideo Chikusa
Motokazu Kikuchi (chief)
Yukio Yanagida
Naoki Yanagida
Keiko Kono
Tomoko Sakamoto
Kazuyasu Yoneyama
Yusuke Kawashima

No.	Title (Original or copy)		Date the evidence was made	Originator	The object of proof
Kou 1	Recommendation (Case:JFTC.2005 (kan) No.1)	Copy	03/08/2005	JFTC	• The existence and content of the Recommendation by the JFTC.
Kou 2	Recommendation (Case:JFTC.2005 (kan) No.1)	Copy	04/13/2005	"	• The existence and content of the decision made by the JFTC in response to defendant's acceptance of the said Recommendation.
Kou 3-1 A	Newspaper Article	Copy	03/09/2005	The Asahi Shimbun Company	• The " 5 companies among the domestic major PC makers" stated in the Recommendation(Kou 1) are NEC, Fujitsu, Sony, Toshiba and Hitachi.
Kou 3-2	"	Copy	"	Nikkei Inc.	"
Kou 3-3	"	Copy	"	Mainichi Newspapers	"
Kou 4-1	A chart titled "Japan PC Shipment - Total Unit - "(based on Analysis by Gartner, Inc.)	Copy	06/30/2005	Plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The quantity of CPUs for PCs in the Japanese market shipped by plaintiff, defendant, and other CPU Vendors from 2002 Q1 to 2004 Q4. • Defendant monopolized the domestic market of CPUs for PCs. • AMD's share in the above market declined from 2002 to 2004.

Kou4-2	The chart titled " Japan PC Shipment- Total Share" ()	Copy	06/30/2005	Plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The market share of plaintiff, defendant, and other CPU Vendors out of the total quantity of CPUs for PCs shipped in Japan from 2002 Q1 to 2004 Q4. • Defendant monopolized the domestic market of CPUs for PCs. • AMD' s share in the above market declined from 2002 to 2004.
Kou4-3	The graph (titled) " Transition of the total share"	Copy	06/30/2005	plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The percentage that plaintiff and defendant shipped out of the total quantity of CPUs for PCs shipped in Japan from 2002 Q1 to 2004 Q4. • Defendant monopolized the domestic market of CPUs for PCs • AMD' s share in the above market declined from 2002 to 2004.

concluded

Case No. (wa) 13151 of 2005, Suit for Damages

Plaintiff: AMD Japan, Inc.

Defendant: Intel K.K.

List of evidence (2)

November 2, 2006

To: Tokyo District Court, Civil Dept. Panel 16, Collegiate court
Section 1

Attorneys for the Plaintiff: Hideo Chikusa
Motokazu Kikuchi (chief)
Yukio Yanagida
Naoki Yanagida
Keiko Kono
Kazuyasu Yoneyama
Yusuke Kawashima

Kou 5	A document starting with "From:" (11/12/2002)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC.	The fact that [REDACTED] Intel's statement that they would only pay MDF after [REDACTED] achieved MSS 90%, due to the fear that [REDACTED] might not be able to reach the agreed target.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 1
Kou 6 A	A document titled "Q1'03 Roadmap Strategy" (10/30/2002)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The content of the agreement between defendant and [REDACTED] about the spring models in 2003.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 2
Kou 6 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 7	A document titled "___'s Spring models for retail" (10/15/2002)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The proposal to [REDACTED] from defendant about the spring models in 2003	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 3
Kou 8	A document titled "A roadmap proposal about the summer models" (03/03/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The content of defendant's proposal about the summer models in 2003 to [REDACTED]	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 4
Kou 9	A document titled "An agreement about the summer models"	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The content of the agreement about the summer models in 2003 between defendant and [REDACTED]	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 5

Kou 10 A	A document starting with "From:" (02/07/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	██████ between defendant and ██████ about defendant's proposal for a special discount on Celeron by which defendant tried to prevent ██████ from adopting AMD's low-voltage Athlon XP "██████ series" (Thin and Light Notebooks).	The evidence sent by the JFTC No.6
Kou 10 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 11 A	A document starting with "From:" (03/06/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The content of the agreement between ██████ and defendant about the summer models in 2003	The evidence sent by the JFTC No.7
Kou 11 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 12 A	A document starting with "From:" (06/29/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The content of defendant's internal negotiations about the arrangement of funds to be paid by defendant to ██████ based on the agreement between ██████ and defendant about the winter models in 2003.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No.8
Kou 12 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 13 A	A document starting with "From:" (03/27/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The content of the negotiation between defendant and Intel USA about ██████'s non-compliance to the MSS Target.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No.9

Kou 13 B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 14 A	A document starting with " Subject:"	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	Defendant' s proposal to ██████ about the 2003 winter models, and the content of an agreement between them.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 10
Kou 14 B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 15 A	A document starting with "From:" (06/13/2003)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	#	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 11
Kou 15 B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 16 A	A document starting with "From:" (07/27/2003)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The fact that ██████ requested defendant, in the meeting on July 27 2003, to ██████ due to defects in Intel' s CPU.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 12
Kou 16 B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 17	A document starting with "July 31" (07/31/2003)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The content of defendant' s explanation to ██████ on July 31, 2003 about the funds which could be paid by defendant if ██████ complied with MSS 100% commitment.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 13

Kou 18	A document starting with "August 5" (08/05/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The content of defendant's explanation to █████ about funds which could be paid by defendant if █████ complied with MSS 100% commitment with a proposal of concrete amount of payment on August 5, 2003	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 14
Kou 19 A	A document starting with "From:" (11/18/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The fact that █████ agreed with defendant around November, 2003 that █████ would adopt Intel's CPU and chipsets for all models of desktops for commercial beginning in 2004 Q1.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 15
Kou 19 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 20 A	A document starting with "ECAPS-View Request" (09/09/2004)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The application for ECAP submitted to Intel USA by defendant.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 16
Kou 20 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 21 A	A document starting with "Team Meeting Agenda"	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The business plan for █████ in 2001 made by defendant's multinational company account team.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 17
Kou 21 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	

Kou 22 A	A document starting with " September3, 2001(10:00-11:00)" (09/03/2001)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content of the meeting between defendant and [REDACTED] on September 3, 2001. • The fact that it was confirmed that [REDACTED] continued to select only Intel CPUs (MSS 100%) as long as [REDACTED] received funds from defendant. 	The evidence sent by the JFTC No.18
Kou 22 B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 23 A	A document starting with " Briefing for Executive Meeting With" (07/24/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	<p>The content of the meeting between defendant and [REDACTED] on July 24, 2002.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that it was confirmed that [REDACTED] would maintain MSS 100% for A4 and B5 notebooks for one additional year. 	The evidence sent by the JFTC No.19
Kou 23 B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 24 A	A document starting with "From:" (12/01/2003)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that [REDACTED] requested defendant to finance them in order to improve the revenue of their PC business section, and Defendant' s examination of this request. 	The evidence sent by the JFTC No.20
Kou 24 B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	

Kou 25 A	A document starting with "Briefing for Executive Meeting With" (12/03/2003)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The content of the meeting between defendant and [REDACTED] on December 4, 2003.	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 21
Kou 25 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 26 A	A document starting with "Briefing for Executive Meeting With" (02/06/2004)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content of the meeting between defendant and [REDACTED] on February 23, 2004. • The fact that it was confirmed that [REDACTED] would continue to exclusively adopt Intel CPUs in 2004 as well. 	The evidence sent by the JFTC No. 22
Kou 26 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	

Kou 27-1	An affidavit (defendant's chief manager of ●th sales department) (09/09/2004)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content of an agreement between defendant and ■■■, and a process for reaching the agreement. • The execution of LOI about MDF and the payment of MDF, etc. 	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 1 (text)
Kou 27-2A	A document starting with "Meeting with" (05/14, 15/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The contents of the meeting between defendant and ■■■ in May 14 and 15, 2002. • The fact that it was agreed that defendant would provide ■■■ with funds such as ECAP and MDF if ■■■ achieved MSS 90% in Japan in 2002 Q4, etc. 	A document attached to kou27-1
Kou 27-2B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 27-3A	A document starting with "January 31, 2002" (05/14, 15/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The existence and contents of the LOI executed on January 31, 2002 by defendant and ■■■ about the MDF to be paid in 2002 Q4, etc.	A document attached to kou27-1
Kou 27-3B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	
Kou 27-4A	A document starting with "Date:31 st , Jan2002" (05/14, 15/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The existence and content of the LOI executed on January 31, 2002 by defendant and ■■■ about the MDF to be paid in 2002 Q4, etc.	A document attached to kou27-1
Kou 27-4B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	#	

Kou 27-5A	A document starting with "May 30, 2003" (05/14, 15/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The existence and contents of the LOI executed on May 30, 2003 by defendant and [REDACTED] about the MDF to be paid in 2003 Q1, etc.	A document attached to kou27-1
Kou 27-5B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 27-6A	A document starting with "Date: 30 th , May 2003" (05/14, 15/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The existence and contents of the LOI executed on May 30, 2003 by defendant and [REDACTED] about the MDF to be paid in 2003 Q1, etc.	A document attached to kou27-1
Kou 27-6B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 28-1	An affidavit (defendant's chief manager of worldwide ● sales & program office and ●th sales department) (09/17/2004)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The contents of the agreement between defendant and [REDACTED], and the process for reaching that agreement. • The contents of the LOI executed by defendant and [REDACTED], the process for reaching the agreement, and the process for the renewal of the agreement, etc. 	An affidavit made by the JFTC No2. (text)
Kou 28-2A	A document starting with "From:" (02/28/2003)	Cop y			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that defendant proposed to [REDACTED], around February, 2003 if [REDACTED] would achieve Intel MSS 100% in Japan, the defendant would pay [REDACTED] special funds, and the contents of defendant's proposal, etc. 	A document attached to kou28-1

Kou 28-2B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 29-1	An affidavit (defendant's sales manager of ●th sales head office) (10/22/2004)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The contents of the agreement between defendant and ■■■, and the process for reaching the agreement. • The way of applying ECAP from defendant's perspective. • The concrete contents of the defendant's financing of ■■■, etc. 	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 3 (text)
Kou 29-2	A document starting with "___' s summer models" (05/2003)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The price for CPUs for PCs, which defendant proposed to ■■■ in May, 2003, etc.	A document attached to kou 29-1, No. 1
Kou 29-3	A document starting with "___' s winter models" (09/2003)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The prices for CPUs for PCs which defendant proposed to ■■■ in September, 2003, etc.	A document attached to kou 29-1, No. 2
Kou 29-4	A document starting with "Winter '03" (09/29/2003)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The contents of the roadmap about the winter models in 2003 proposed by defendant to ■■■ on September 29, 2003, etc.	A document attached to kou 29-1, No. 3

Kou 30-1	An affidavit (plaintiff's director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A history of the business between plaintiff and Fujitsu since 1980. • A list of obstructive acts by the defendant. • The process by which plaintiff has been excluded from Fujitsu's business, etc. 	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 4 (text)
Kou 30-2A	A document titled "Meeting"	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The contents of the meeting between AMD executives and Fujitsu executives on September 3, 1999, etc.	A document attached to Kou 301 No. 1
Kou 30-2B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 31	An affidavit (plaintiff's director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The corporate status of plaintiff since 2002, etc.	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 4 (text)
Kou 32	An affidavit (plaintiff's director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The transactions between plaintiff and NEC since April, 2002, etc.	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 4 (text)
Kou 33	An affidavit (plaintiff's director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	The transactions between plaintiff and Fujitsu since April, 2002, etc.	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 4 (text)

Kou 34	An affidavit (plaintiff' s director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The transactions between plaintiff and Toshiba since April, 2002, etc.	An affidavi t made by the JFTC No. 4 (tex t)
Kou 35	An affidavit (plaintiff' s director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The transactions between plaintiff and Sharp since April, 2002, etc.	An affidavi t made by the JFTC No. 4 (tex t)
Kou 36	An affidavit (plaintiff' s director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The transactions between plaintiff and Sony since April, 2002, etc.	An affidavi t made by the JFTC No. 4 (tex t)
Kou 37-1	An affidavit (plaintiff' s director and manager of corporate marketing department) (01/31/2005)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The transactions between plaintiff and NEC since the latter half of the 1980s. • The content of the obstructive acts against the plaintiff by the defendant. • The contents of the agreement between defendant and NEC, and the process for reaching the agreement. • The process by which the plaintiff has been excluded from NEC' s business, etc. 	An affidavi t made by the JFTC No. 4 (tex t)

Kou 37-2	A document titled "Background" (No date)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The background of a deponent after his entrance for the plaintiff, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1, No. 1
Kou 37-3A	A document starting with " From:" (01/23/2004)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The contents of the agreement between defendant and NEC, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1, No. 1
Kou 37-3B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 37-4A	A document titled " Meeting" (the meeting held on April 18, 2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	Overview of the meeting between plaintiff and NEC held on April 18, 2002, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1, No. 1
Kou 37-4B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 37-5A	A document titled " Meeting Minutes" (the meeting held on April 18, 2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	Overview of another meeting between plaintiff and NEC held on April 18, 2002, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-5B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 37-6A	A document titled " Meeting" (the meeting held on February 7, 2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	Overview of the meeting between plaintiff and NEC held on February, 7, 2002, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-6B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	

Kou 37-7A	A document starting with "From" (01/23/2004)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	Overview of the meeting between plaintiff and NEC held on May 10, 2002, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-7B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 37-8	A document titled "AMD Processor's model number and initiative as to the indicator of performance"	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The contents of plaintiff's explanation of their own products to NEC, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-9	A document titled "Proposal of AMD's products designed for sales battles in winter 2002"	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The contents of plaintiff's explanation to NEC about AMD's products on May 29, 2002, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-10A	A document titled "WW Strategic Account Review"	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The contents of plaintiff's proposal about business with NEC in worldwide market on August 20, 2002, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-10B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 37-11A	A document starting with "Original Message" (09/30/2002)		04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The contents of the plan adopted by the plaintiff so as not to lose transactions with NEC, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-11B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 37-12A	A document starting with "Original Message" (10/04/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	"	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-12B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	

Kou 37-13	A document starting with "Original Message" (07/15/2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The fact that an employee belonging to NEC's Key commodity Purchasing Department requested that plaintiff submit data on plaintiff's products, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-14A	A document titled "Dinner Meeting Memo" (the meeting held on July 17, 2002)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The fact that the dinner meeting between plaintiff's employees and NEC's employees was held on July 17, 2002, and the contents of the meeting, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-14B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 37-15A	A document starting with "From O" (01/23/2004)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The fact that on July 31, 2002, plaintiff's employees met the person who supervised NEC's computer business, and the contents of the meeting, etc.	A document attached to Kou 37-1
Kou 37-15B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 38-1	An affidavit (plaintiff's director and manager of corporate marketing department) (02/10/2005)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The fact that plaintiff supplemented on Feb 7, 2005, the lacking pages of documents titled "Meeting" which reports the content of meeting with NEC held on February 7, 2002, etc.	An affidavit made by the JFTC NO. 12 (text)
Kou 38-2A	A document titled "Meeting"	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	Overview of the meeting between plaintiff and NEC held on February 7, 2002, etc.	A document attached to Kou 38-1

Kou 38-2B	Translation of above	Copy	11/01/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 39	An affidavit (plaintiff's director and manager of corporate marketing department) (02/10/2005)	Copy	04/20/2006	An officer of the JFTC	The corporate status of the plaintiff after 2002, etc.	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 13 (text)
Kou 40	An affidavit (plaintiff's chief of sales head office, 2nd sales department) (01/18/2005)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The contents of defendant's obstructive acts against plaintiff. • The contents of the agreement between NEC and defendant, and the process for reaching the agreement. • The process plaintiff has been excluded from NEC's PC business, etc. 	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 14 (text)
Kou 41-1	An affidavit (plaintiff's managing director) (01/31/2005)	Copy	04/20/2006	"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The transactions between plaintiff and Toshiba since 1998. • Overview of defendant's obstructive acts against AMD. • The transactions with Toshiba after the JFTC's dawn raid to Toshiba, etc. 	An affidavit made by the JFTC No. 15 (text)
Kou 41-2	A document starting with "May-79"	Copy	04/20/2006	"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background of the deponent, etc. 	A document attached to Kou 41-1

Kou 41-3A	A document titled "Product information about the newest AMD's products and proposals"	Cop y	04/20/20 06	"	The contents of proposals to Toshiba made by plaintiff on June 23, 2004 and the information about plaintiff's products, etc.	A document attached to Kou 41-1
Kou 41-3B	Translation of above	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff	"	
Kou 41-4	A document starting with "Directors" (06/11/2004)	Cop y	04/20/20 06	An officer of the JFTC	The contents of the document sent by plaintiff to Toshiba's executives on June 11, 2004, etc.	A document attached to Kou 41-1
Kou 42	A contrastive table for explaining terms.	Cop y	11/01/20 06	Attorneys of plaintiff		Explanat ion of terms

concluded

Case No. (wa) 13151 of 2005, Suit for Damages

Plaintiff: AMD Japan, Inc.

Defendant: Intel K.K.

List of evidence (3)

November 2, 2006

To: Tokyo District Court, Civil Dept. Panel 16, Collegiate court
Section 1

Attorneys for the Plaintiff: Hideo Chikusa
Motokazu Kikuchi (chief)
Yukio Yanagida
Naoki Yanagida
Keiko Kono
Kazuyasu Yoneyama
Yusuke Kawashima

No.	Title (Original or copy)		the Date evidence was made	Originat or	Object of verification
Kou 4 3	Press release (plaintiff)	Copy	6/24/1999	Plaintiff	The launch date of Athlon CPU, etc.
Kou 4 4	Web article (AKIBA PC HOTLINE)	Copy	9/4/1999	Impress	Market assessment of Athlon CPU, etc.
Kou 4 5	Press release (NEC)	Copy	9/29/1999	NEC	The fact that NEC and Intel were aligned in provider business, etc.
Kou 4 6	Web article (I・O DATA)	Copy	9/3/1999	IO Data	The fact that world expo' 99 was held, etc.
Kou 4 7	Press release (NEC)	Copy	1/24/2000	NEC	The launch date of Valuestar U, etc.
Kou 4 8	The list of CPUs mounted in NEC Valuestar 2002 Q4 ~2003 Q1)	Copy	11/1/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	The fact that Intel CPUs were adopted in all products of NEC Valuestar launched from 2002 Q4 to 2003 Q1 models, etc.
Kou 4 9-1	Product information NEC Lavie L300	Copy	10/22/2001	NEC	The fact that NEC Lavie L 300 launched in October, 2001 mounted AMD' s CPU, etc.
Kou 4 9-2	Product information about NEC Lavie L(Silver slim type)	Copy	1/2003	#	The fact that CPU mounted in NEC Lavie L300 model had been changed to Intel CPUs by January, 2003 at latest, etc.
Kou 5 0	Transition of CPU share in NEC PCs	Copy	11/1/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	The transition of market share of Intel CPUs and AMD CPUs in NEC PC Products, etc.
Kou 5 1-1	A description of product information of FMV C-300 on Fujitsu websites.	Copy	around June to August, 2002	Fujitsu	The fact that NEC' s product information website page has descriptions of the specification of C-600 but not that of C-300, etc.
Kou 5 1-2	A description of specification the above PC model	Copy	#	#	The fact that AMD CPU is mounted on C-300 can be found only when you correctly link to the specification page, etc.

No.	Title (Original or copy)		the Date evidence was made	Originat or	Object of verification
Kou 5 2	A general catalogue of FMV desktop series (Except)	Copy	1/2003	#	The fact that C-300 and C-600 are placed equally on the general catalogue published in January, 2003, etc.
Kou 5 3	Press release (plaintiff)	Copy	3/12/2003	Plaintiff	The launch date of low voltage Athlon XP for thin and light notebook models, etc, etc.
Kou 5 4 - 1	A general catalogue of FMV LIFEBOOK (April, 2002)	Copy	4/2002	Fujitsu	The fact that Intel CPUs were mounted in all of the MG series (Thin and Light model) for commercial use, etc.
Kou 5 4 - 2	A general catalogue of FMV LIFEBOOK (December, 2002)	Copy	12/2002	#	• #
Kou 5 5 - 1	Product information of FMV BIBRO NB (January, 2003)	Copy	1/2003	#	The fact that A4 size notebook "NB series" for consumers had AMD based models, etc.
Kou 5 5 - 2	# (September, 2003)	Copy	9/2003	#	The fact that all models of "NB series" for 2003 winter model mounted Intel CPUs, etc.
Kou 5 6 - 1	Product information of FMV BIBRO MG series (May, 2003)	Copy	5/2003	#	The fact that 2003 summary model "MG series" for consumers had AMD based models, etc.
Kou 5 6 - 2	# (September, 2003)	Copy	9/2003	#	The fact that all models of 2003 fall-winter "MG series" for consumers mounted Intel's CPU, etc.
Kou 5 7 - 1	Product information of VAIO notebook FR series (Spring model 2003)	Copy	2/2003	Sony	The fact that 2003 spring VAIO notebook FR series had AMD based models, etc.

No.	Title (Original or copy)		the Date evidence was made	Originat or	Object of verification
Kou 5 7 - 2	# (Summer model 2003)	Copy	5/2003	#	The fact that all models of 2003 summer VAIO note FR series mounted Intel CPUs, etc.
Kou 5 8 A	Sony Meeting Minutes (5/29/2003)	Copy	5/29/2003	Plaintiff	The contents of the meeting between plaintiff and Sony on May 29, 2003, etc.
Kou 5 8 B	Translation of above	Copy	11/1/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	• #
Kou 5 9 - 1	Press release	Copy	4/23/2003	Sony	The fact that as to Vaio RZ series Sony changed defective parts for free, etc.
Kou 5 9 - 2	Press release (5/27/2003)	Copy	5/27/2003	Sony	The fact that as to Vaio RZ series, desktop series, Sony changed defective parts for free, etc.
Kou 6 0	Transition of CPU share in Sony PCs	Copy	11/1/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	The transition of market share of AMD CPUs and Intel CPUs in Sony PCs, etc.
Kou 6 1	A list of specifications of Hitachi notebook PCs for consumers (From 2002 to July, 2004)	Copy	#	#	The fact that Prius Note 200E launched in February, 2003 was the last notebook model for consumers that mounted AMD CPUs, etc.
Kou 6 2	A list of Specifications of Hitachi desktop PC for consumers (from April, 2002 to July 2004)	Copy	#	#	The fact that Prius Air 670G launched in October, 2003 was the last desktop model for consumers that mounted AMD CPUs, etc.
Kou 6 3 A	E-mail titled “VP Conf Material” (Excep t)	Copy	#	#	The fact that Hitachi suddenly stopped all procurement of AMD CPUs CPU for consumer models in 2003 Q3, etc.

No.	Title (Original or copy)		the Date evidence was made	Originat or	Object of verification
Kou 6 3 B	Translation of above	Copy	"	"	• "
Kou 6 4	A list of specifications of commercial desktop models for use (from 2002 to July 2004)	Copy	"	"	The fact that all the Hitachi commercial desktops got to mount Intel CPUs and Intel chipsets after June, 2003 models, etc.
Kou 6 5	Transition of CPU Share in Hitachi PCs	Copy	"	"	The transition of market share of Intel CPUs and AMD CPUs in Hitachi PC, etc.
Kou 6 6	Press release (Toshiba)	Copy	4/17/1996	Toshiba	The fact that launched Toshiba "Libretto 20", etc.
Kou 6 7	Web article (PC Watch)	Copy	"	Impress	The fact that the launch event of Libretto 20 was held, etc.
Kou 6 8	A chart of specifications of Libretto series	Copy	"	Toshiba	The fact that CPUs mounted in Libretto 20 have an entry "equivalent to DX4 (75MHz) "
Kou 6 9	Document titled "Compari son of Mobile Athlon and Desktop Pentium III in notebook application"	Copy	around 2001	An plaintiff ' s employee of technical marketing department	The fact that AMD Athlon CPU has better thermal specification than Intel Pentium CPU, etc.
Kou 7 0	Product information of Satellite 1800 series	Copy	10/2001	Toshiba	Specification of Satellite 1800 series, etc.
Kou 7 1	Purchase order	Copy	3/26/2001	"	The fact that Toshiba ordered plaintiff 2000 units of "Athlon 900" s, etc.
Kou 7 2	Transition of CPU share in Toshiba PCs	Copy	11/1/2006	Attorneys of plaintiff	The transition of CPU share of Intel CPUs and AMD CPUs in Toshiba PCs, etc.

concluded

Case No. (wa) 13151 of 2005, Suit for Damages

Plaintiff: AMD Japan, Inc.

Defendant: Intel K.K.

List of evidence(4)

December 1, 2006

To: Tokyo District Court, Civil Dept. Panel 16, Collegiate court
Section 1

Attorneys for the Plaintiff: Hideo Chikusa
Motokazu Kikuchi (chief)
Yukio Yanagida
Naoki Yanagida
Keiko Kono
Kazuyasu Yoneyama
Yusuke Kawashima

No.	Title (Original or copy)		Date the evidence was made	Origina tor	Object of proof
Kou 7 3	The graph titled “Change of share in Sharp”	Copy	12/01/2006	Attorney s for the plaintif f	The change of the share of AMD’ s CPUs, as compared to Intel’ s CPUs mounted in PCs manufactured and sold by Sharp, etc.
Kou 7 4	The chart titled “The specification list of Sharp Mebius series (2000) ”	Copy	12/01/2006	#	Specifications of all PC products in the Mebius series released by Sharp in 2000, etc.
Kou 7 5	The chart titled “The specification list of Sharp Mebius series (2001) ”	Copy	12/01/2006	#	The CPUs mounted in all PC products in the Mebius series released by Sharp in 2001, etc.
Kou 7 6	The chart titled “The specification list of Sharp Mebius series (2002) ”	Copy	12/01/2006	#	The CPUs mounted in all PC products in the Mebius series released by Sharp in 2002, etc.
Kou 7 7	Press release (plaintiff)	Copy	01/13/1999	Plaintif f	The fact that plaintiff launched Mobile K6-2 on January 13, 1999, etc.
Kou 7 8	Web article (PC Watch) “Market price information of notebookPCs ” (Fou rth week in February, 2000)	Copy	02/24/2000	Impress Watch Corporat ion	The fact that the number of K6-2 based Value-end notebook PC models dramatically increased in February, 2000, etc. The fact that Sharp sold small numbers of Mobile K6-2 based PC-BJ120 as an unannounced model, etc.
Kou 7 9	Product information of Mebius PC-BJ120M	Copy	Unknown	Sharp	The fact that Intel’ s “Celeron 433MHz” was mounted in the Mebius PC-BJ120M model, etc.
Kou 8 0	Product information of Mebius PC-BJ140M	Copy	Unknown	Sharp	The fact that AMD’ s “K6-2+475MHz” was mounted in the PC-BJ140M model, etc.
Kou 8 1	Web article (PC Watch)	Copy	06/01/2000	Impress Watch Corporat ion	The fact that the PC-BJ140M was sold as an unannounced model, etc.

Kou 8 2	Product information of Mebius PC-BJ150M	Copy	unknown	Sharp	The fact that AMD's "K6-2+500MHz" CPU was mounted in the Mebius PC-BJ150M model, etc.
Kou 8 3	Web article (PC Watch) "Information about the market price of notebook PCs" (Fifth week in July, 2000)	Copy	07/27/2000	Impress Watch Corporation	The fact that the product information of Mebius PC-150M was not disclosed on the website of Sharp on July 26, 2000, etc.
Kou 8 4	Product information of Mebius PC-BJ300M	Copy	Unknown	Sharp	The fact that AMD's "K6-2+533MHz" CPU was mounted in Mebius PC-BJ300M model, etc.
Kou 8 5	Web article (PC Watch) "Sharp, three kind of Mebius notebook PC using Windows Me"	Copy	09/11/2000	Impress Watch Corporation	The fact that Sharp announced the launch of the Mebius PC-BJ300M, etc.
Kou 8 6	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	05/15/2001	Plaintiff	The fact that plaintiff released Mobile Athlon4 and Mobile Duron, etc.
Kou 8 7	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	05/23/2001	Plaintiff	The fact that Mobile Athlon4 and Mobile Duron were adopted in not a single PC model by any of the major PC manufacturers immediately after their launch, etc.
Kou 8 8	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	10/10/2001	Plaintiff	The fact that plaintiff launched a Athlon XP new CPU for desktop PCs, on October 10, 2001, etc.
Kou 8 9	Press release (Defendant)	Copy	07/09/1997	Defendant	The fact that Sharp and defendant entered into a license agreement on flash memories in 1997, etc.
Kou 9 O A	E-mail(03/07/2003, 15:37)	Copy	03/07/2003	plaintiff's chief manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that defendant proposed Sharp to exclude AMD CPUs on the condition that defendant discounted the license fees relating to flash memories, etc. • The contents of defendant's proposal to Sharp, etc.

Kou 9 0 B	Translation of the above.	Copy	12/01/2006	Attorneys for the plaintiff	• the same as above
Kou 9 1	The chart titled “ Defendant’s proposal to Sharp(presumed) ”	Copy	12/01/2006	Plaintiff	The contents of proposals by plaintiff and defendant to Sharp on their transaction with Sharp, etc.
Kou 9 2	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	04/17/2002	Plaintiff	The fact that plaintiff launched Mobile Athlon XP on April 17, 2002, etc.
Kou 9 3	Product information of Mebius PC-GP10-BM/BE	Copy	2002, Q4	Sharp	Specifications of the PC-GP10-BM/BE, etc.
Kou 9 4	Product information of Mebius PC-MV1-VCI	Copy	the end of 2002	Sharp	Specifications of the PC-MV1-VCI, etc.
Kou 9 5 A	Minutes of Dinner Meeting with Sharp	Copy	12/09/2002	Plaintiff	The contents of the meeting between plaintiff and Sharp held on December 9, 2002, etc.
Kou 9 5 B	Translation of the above	Copy	12/01/2006	Attorneys for the plaintiff	• the same as above
Kou 9 6	Product information of Mebius PC-GP10-DH	Copy	April, 2003	Sharp	Specifications of the PC-GP10-DH, etc.
Kou 9 7	Product information of Mebius PC-CL1-7DA and Mebius PC-CL1-5CA/CC	Copy	April, 2003	Sharp	Specifications of the PC-CL1-7DA and the PC-CL1-5CA/CC, etc.
Kou 9 8	Product information of Mebius PC-MC1-3CA	Copy	April, 2003	Sharp	Specifications of the PC-MC1-3CA, etc.
Kou 9 9	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	03/12/2003	Plaintiff	The fact that plaintiff launched the low voltage Athlon XP-M on March 12, 2003, etc. The fact that a comment by Sharp’s director used in the plaintiff’s press release, etc.

Kou100	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	03/12/2003	Plaintiff	The fact that plaintiff announced in its press release that AMD's Mobile Athlon XP-M was used in the Mebius MURAMASA PC-MV1-VCL, and the contents of the release.
Kou101	Press release of Sharp (March, 2003)	Copy	March, 2003	Sharp	The fact that Sharp also announced in its news release that AMD's Mobile Athlon XP-M was used in the Mebius MURAMASA PC-MV1-VCL.
Kou102	Press release (Defendant)	Copy	03/12/2003	Defendant	The fact that defendant announced "Centrino Mobile Technology" on March 12, 2003.
Kou103 A	E-mail(03/20/2003, 2:30:08)	Copy	03/20/2003	Plaintiff's Chief manager	The transaction situation between plaintiff and Sharp around March, 2003.
Kou103 B	The translation of the above	Copy	12/01/2006	Attorneys for the plaintiff	• the same as above

No.	Title (Original or copy)		Date the evidence was made	Origina tor	Object of proof
Kou104	Homepage titled “ Intel Channel Partner Program” (Outline)	Copy	Unknown	Defendan t	Outline of Intel Channel Partner Program, etc.
Kou105	Homepage titled “ Intel Channel Partner Program” (Structure of program rank)	Copy	Unknown	Defendan t	Programing structure of Intel Channel Partner Program, etc.
Kou106	Homepage titled “ Intel Channel Partner Program” (Privilege)	Copy	Unknown	Defendan t	The privileges to be awarded to Intel Channel Partners, etc.
Kou107	Homepage titled “ Intel Channel Partner Program” (Conditions of membership)	Copy	Unknown	Defendan t	Conditions of membership of Intel Channel Partner Program, etc.
Kou108	Homepage titled “Intel Authorized Distributer”	Copy	Unknown	Defendan t	Details of Intel Authorized Distributer, etc.
Kou109	“Channel and trademark license agreement for Intel logo and Intel selling promotion material” (CTLA agreement)	Copy	Unknown	Defendan t	The contents of CTLA agreement, etc.
Kou110					
Kou111	Press release (Defendant)	Copy	05/17/2002	Defendan t	The fact that defendant initiated the application of Intel Premier Provider Program in Japan, and the names of companies authorized initially by Intel, etc.

Kou 1 1 2	JCS news, 2002	Copy	In and after 2002	JCS	The fact that JCS was authorized as an Intel Premier Provider by Intel on October 1, 2002, etc.
Kou 1 1 3	Homepage titled "Intel Premier Provider Program"	Copy	July, 2006	Defendant	Names of authorized companies in November, 2006, etc.
Kou 1 1 4	Homepage (JCS)	Copy	Unknown	JCS	Corporate overview of JCS, etc.
Kou 1 1 5	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	04/23/2003	Plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that Plaintiff released Opteron, etc. • The fact that Opteron was evaluated as 64 bit processor with the highest quality for servers at the time of its launch, etc.
Kou 1 1 6	Web article(IT PRO)	Copy	04/23/2003	Nikkei BP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that Plaintiff released Opteron, etc. • The fact that Opteron was evaluated as 64 bit processor with the highest quality for server at the time of its launch, etc.
Kou 1 1 7	Web article(ASCII24)	Copy	04/23/2003	ASCII24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that Plaintiff released Opteron, etc. • The fact that Opteron was evaluated as 64 bit processor with the highest quality for servers at the time of its launch, etc.
Kou 1 1 8	Web article (PC Watch)	Copy	04/23/2003	Impress	The state of the Opteron launch event held by plaintiff, etc.
Kou 1 1 9	Web article(PC Watch)	Copy	04/23/2003	Impress	The state of the Opteron launch event held by plaintiff, etc.
Kou 1 2 0	News release of JCS (April, 2003)	Copy	In and after 2003	JCS	The fact that JCS had a plan to sell its server products using Opteron on April 29, 2003, just after the launch of Opteron, etc.
Kou 1 2 1	Homepage titled "64bit Solutions server mounting AMD Opteron"	Copy	April, 2003	JCS	The specifications of the JCS server which used Opteron launched on April 29, 2003, etc.

Kou 1 2 2	Homepage titled "64bit Solutions AMD Opteron workstation Type WR"	Copy	April, 2003	JCS	The specifications of the JCS workstation which used Opteron launched on April 29, 2003, etc.
Kou 1 2 3	E-mail (03/19/2000, 15 : 22)	Copy	03/19/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The contents of the meeting between plaintiff and JCS held on March 19, 2003, etc.
Kou 1 2 4	E-mail (03/04/21, 12 : 10)	Copy	04/21/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The fact that JCS had previously signed on as a launch partner of Opteron, etc.
Kou 1 2 5	E-mail (03/04/21, 12 : 22)	Copy	04/21/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The fact that the plaintiff adjusted the details of the launch event with JCS, and the content of the communication, etc.
Kou 1 2 6	E-mail (03/04/21, 14 : 59)	Copy	04/21/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The fact that JCS answered the plaintiff's questions, and the contents of the answers, etc.
Kou 1 2 7	E-mail (03/04/21, 17 : 40)	Copy	04/21/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The fact that JCS's representative refused to make a speech at the Opteron launch event, etc.
Kou 1 2 8	E-mail (03/04/22, 10 : 26)	Copy	04/22/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The final status of attendee for the Opteron launch event, etc. • The fact that JCS was a participant of the Opteron launch event at the time, etc.
Kou 1 2 9	Internal AUDIX memo (Plaintiff)	Copy	04/22/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The fact that JCS suddenly declined to be a Opteron launch partner due to pressures by defendant, etc.

Kou 1 3 0	News release (Plaintiff)	Copy	04/22/2003	Plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that JCS' s name remained on the news releases paper handed out at the launch event because of JCS' s sudden withdrawal the launch event. • The state of Opteron launch event held by plaintiff, etc.
Kou 1 3 1	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	09/24/2003	Plaintiff	The fact that plaintiff released Athlon64, etc.
Kou 1 3 2	Web article (PC Watch)	Copy	09/24/2003	Plaintiff	#
Kou 1 3 3	Homepage titled "Corporate overview of Thirdwave"	Copy	Unknown	Thirdwave	Corporate overview of Thirdwave.
Kou 1 3 4	Press release (Plaintiff)	Copy	02/10/2003	Plaintiff	The fact that Thirdwave became a launch partner not only of Athlon 64 but also of Athlon XP 3000+, etc.
Kou 1 3 5	E-mail (03/09/03, 17 : 23)	Copy	09/03/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The fact that Thirdwave once agreed to be a launch partner of Athlon64, etc.
Kou 1 3 6	Internal AUDIX memo (Plaintiff)	Copy	09/18/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	The fact that Thirdwave declined to participate in the Athlon 64 launch event due to pressures by defendant, etc.
Kou 1 3 7	E-mail (03/09/19, 12 : 20)	Copy	09/19/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	#
Kou 1 3 8	E-mail (03/09/19, 13 : 20)	Copy	09/19/2003	An employee of the Plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pressures by defendant to Thirdwave to cancel the participation in the Athlon 64 launch event, etc. • Intra-office communication about arrangements of CPU samples after Thirdwave' s refusal, etc.

No.	Title (Original or copy)		Date the evidence was made	Origina tor	Object of proof
Kou 1 3 9	Overview of Melco Holdings Inc.	Cop y	Unknown	Melco Holding Inc.	Corporate overview of Melco Holding Inc, etc.
Kou 1 4 0	Homepage titled “Corporate overview”	Cop y	October, 2003	MOE	Corporate overview of MOE, etc.
Kou 1 4 1	E-mail (03/07/16, 9 : 15))	Cop y	07/16/2003	An employee of Melco	The fact that defendant pressured to Melco, etc.
Kou 1 4 2	E-mail (03/10/8, PM3 : 00)	Cop y	10/08/2003	An employee of Melco	The fact that MOE submitted the inner specification of the shop named “Real Vana’ diel” to plaintiff, and the contents of the specification, etc.
Kou 1 4 3	E-ma (03/10/30, PM11 : 52)	Cop y	10/30/2003	An employee of MOE	The fact that MOE requested the plaintiff for some comments to be run on a press release scheduled to be November 7, 2003, etc.
Kou 1 4 4	Press release 11/07/2003 (MOE)	Cop y	11/07/2003	MOE	• Contents of the press release of MOE dated Nov.7,2003, etc.
Kou 1 4 5	Web article (Slash Games)	Cop y	11/07/2003	RBB Today	• The fact that MOE opened “Real Vana 'diel” in Akihabara on December 12, 2003, etc. • The fact that originally AMD CPUs were planned to be used in all desktops placed in “Real Vna’ diel” , etc.
Kou 1 4 6	Web article (Web BCN)	Cop y	11/07/2003	BCN	#
Kou 1 4 7	Web article (Game Watch)	Cop y	11/07/2003	Impress	#
Kou 1 4 8	Press release (Defendant)	Cop y	10/29/2003	Defendan t	The fact that defendant and SQUARE ENIX agreed to cooperate to optimize game circumstances in PCs and mobile phones on October 28, 2003, and the contents of the agreement, etc.

Kou 1 4 9	AUDIX memo	Cop y	12/04/2003	An employee of the Plaintif f	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content of defendant's obstruction toward plaintiff's business, etc. • The fact that all desktops to be placed in "Real Vana' diel" were changed to Epson brand desktops mounted Intel CPUs as a result of defendant's obstruction, etc.
Kou 1 5 0	Press release (Defendant)	Cop y	06/26/2003	Defendan t	The fact that defendant and Melco agreed on spreading public wireless LAN services in 2003, and the contents of the alignment, etc.
Kou 1 5 1	A handwritten memo	Cop y	12/05/2003	An employee of the Plaintif f	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content of defendant's obstruction toward plaintiff's business, etc. • The fact that all desktops to be placed in "Real Vana' diel" were changed to Epson brand desktops mounted Intel CPUs as a result of defendant's obstruction, etc.
Kou 1 5 2	Homepage titled "What is "real vana diel"	Cop y	December, 200 3	MOE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overview of "Real Vana' diel" and information about PCs placed in it. • The fact that all PCs to be placed in "Real Vana' diel" were changed to Epson branded desktops mounted Intel CPUs, etc.
Kou 1 5 3	Web article (Slash Games)	Cop y	12/12/2003	RBB Today	//
Kou 1 5 4	Web article (DENGKI Online.COM)	Cop y	12/11/2003	Media Works	//
Kou 1 5 5	Homepage titled "The list of new information in 2003"	Cop y	in and after 2003	Epson	The fact that PCs actually placed in "Real Vana' diel" were Epson Direct desktops mounted Intel CPUs, etc.
Kou 1 5 6	Homepage titled "The list of specification: Epson Direct Pro2500"	Cop y	Unknown	Epson	Specifications of the Pro2500, which was actually placed in "Real Vana 'diel" , etc

Kou 1 5 7	Web article (Hermitage Akihabara) (Extract)	Copy	December, 2003	GDM	The fact that desktops (specially organized with Athlon64FX-5) to be placed in "Real Vana' diel" were sold at discount price as PC kit, etc.
Kou 1 5 8 - 1	Web article (PC Watch)	Copy	12/06/2003	Impress	"
Kou 1 5 8 - 2	Web article (PC Watch) (Enlarged photo)	Copy	12/06/2003	Impress	"
Kou 1 5 8 - 3	Web article (PC Watch) (Enlarged photo)	Copy	12/06/2003	Impress	"
Kou 1 5 8 - 4	Web article (PC Watch) (Enlarged photo)	Copy	12/06/2003	Impress	"
Kou 1 5 8 - 5	Web article (PC Watch) (Enlarged photo)	Copy	12/06/2003	Impress	"
Kou 1 5 9	Plan sheet on "real vana diel"	Copy	10/20/2003	MOE	• The fact that MOE decided to adopt AMD Athlon64 FX-51 on October 20, 2003, at the latest, etc.
Kou 1 6 0	Sales data as to Melco in the fourth quarter of 2003	Copy	Unknown	CFD	• The fact that plaintiff sold 22 "Athlon64 FX-51" s to MOE by the time of "Real Vana' diel" ' s opening, etc.

concluded

Case No. (wa) 13151 of 2005, Suit for Damages

Plaintiff: AMD Japan, Inc.

Defendant: Intel K.K.

List of Evidence(5)

January 31, 2007

To: Tokyo District Court, Civil Dept. Panel 16, Collegiate court
Section 1

Attorneys for the Plaintiff: Hideo Chikusa
Motokazu Kikuchi (chief)
Yukio Yanagida
Naoki Yanagida
Keiko Kono
Kazuyasu Yoneyama
Yusuke Kawashima
Toshihiko Noguchi

No.	Title (Original or copy)		the Date evidence was made	Origi nator	Object of verification	notes
Kou 1 6 1	Press release titled " Ten years from the start of Intel Inside Program"	C o p y	05/28/2001	Defen dant	• The fact that defendant has been operating the Intel Inside Program since 1991, etc.	
Kou 1 6 2 A	Homepage titled "Intel Inside Program Anatomy of a Brand Campaign"	C o p y	01/23/2007	Intel USA	• Background of the Intel Inside Program, etc.	
Kou 1 6 2 B	the translation of above	C o p y	01/30/2007	Attor neys of plaint iff	"	
Kou 1 6 3 A	Homepage titled "Intel Inside Program Online Resource Center"	C o p y	01/24/2007	Intel USA	• The fact that the account of each company participating the Intel Inside Program is classified into three categories, "track1", "track2" and "Agents", etc.	The top page of the homepage for Intel Inside Program.
Kou 1 6 3 B	the translation of above	C o p y	01/30/2007	Attor neys of plaint iff	"	
Kou 1 6 4 A	Homepage titled "Learn More About Cooperative Marketing"	C o p y	01/22/2007	Intel USA	• The purpose of and qualifications for entry into the Intel Inside Program, etc.	Homepage which explains the program for those who want to participate in that.

Kou 1 6 4 B	the translation of above	C o p y	01/31/2007	Attor neys of plaint iff	#	
Kou 1 6 5 A	Homepage titled "Learn More"	C o p y	01/22/2007	Intel USA	• The minimum purchase amount of Intel authorized products from the Intel authorized distributor, which is one of the qualifications for entry into the Intel Inside Program, etc.	When you click the link "Click here" in the homepage as shown in Kou 164A, you will jump to this page.
Kou 1 6 5 B	the translation of above	C o p y	01/31/2007	Attor neys of plaint iff	#	
Kou 1 6 6 の 1 ~ 3 6	Animation Flash (Copy of each frame) with the Japanese translation.	C o p y	01/24/2007	(The upper sectio n)Inte l USA (The lower sectio n)Atto rneys of plaint iff	• The contents of animation outlining the Intel Inside Program (Track2), etc.	When you click the link "View" in the homepage as shown in Kou 164A, you will jump to this page.

Kou167 の1	Homepage titled “Pre-Qualification Questionnaire”	C o p y	01/24/2007	Intel USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The form and content of “Pre-Qualification Questionnaire” required to be qualified for entry into the Intel Inside Program (English version.), etc. 	When you click the link “Pre-Qualification Questionnaire” in the homepage as shown in Kou164A, you will jump to this page.
Kou167 の2	Homepage titled “Pre-Qualification Questionnaire”	C o p y	the same as above	Defen dant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the same as above (Japanese version) ,etc. 	Involves more Questions than a translation of Kou167-1.
Kou168	Magazine article (Extract) “PC Hihyou” (April, 2004)	C o p y	04/01/2004	Micro Magazi nes Co. Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that the magazine publishers endure various kind of pressure from defendant when they run articles recognized as unfavorable to Intel by the defendant, etc. 	

Concluded

Case No. (wa) 13151 of 2005, Suit for Damages

Plaintiff: AMD Japan, Inc.

Defendant: Intel K.K.

List of evidence(6)

January 31, 2007

To: Tokyo District Court, Civil Dept. Panel 16, Collegiate court
Section 1

Attorneys for the Plaintiff: Hideo Chikusa

Motokazu Kikuchi (chief)

Yukio Yanagida

Naoki Yanagida

Keiko Kono

Kazuyasu Yoneyama

Yusuke Kawashima

Toshihiko Noguchi

No.	Title (Original or copy)		Date evidence was made	Origi nator	Object of proof	Notes
Kou 169	A document starting with "From" (01/23/2004)	C o p y	01/31/2007	plaint iff	• The fact that Kou 40-1 is an affidavit of defendant's exclusionary acts concerning NEC, etc.	A copy of Kou 37-7 with the JFTC redaction (except for the OEM name).
Kou 170	A document titled "Fujitsu Meeting" (9/3/1999)	C o p y	"	"	• The fact that Kou 30-1 is an affidavit about defendant's exclusionary acts concerning Fujitsu, etc.	A copy of the 1 st page of Kou 30-2 with the JFTC redaction (except for the OEM name).
Kou 171	A document titled "NEC Meeting" (2/7/2002)	C o p y	"	"	• The fact that Kou 37-1 and 38-1 are affidavits about defendant's exclusionary acts concerning NEC, etc.	A copy of the 1 st page of Kou 37-6 with the JFTC redaction (except for the OEM name).
Kou 172	A document titled "Information about the newest AMD products and proposal for Toshiba" (6/23/2004)	C o p y	"	"	• The fact that Kou 41-1 is an affidavit about defendant's exclusionary acts concerning Toshiba, etc.	A copy of the 1 st page of Kou 41-3 with the JFTC redaction (except for the OEM name).
Kou 173	Name card	Co py	unknown	Mr. Yoshih isa Ishida	• The real name of "Bob" and his position around 2003, etc. • The fact that Kou 28-1 is an affidavit about defendant's exclusionary acts concerning SONY, etc.	

Kou 174	Press release titled "Personal revision and organization change" (10/1/2005)	Copy	10/01/2005	SONY	#	
Kou 175	The list of products described in the general catalogue: FMV BIBLO series (March, 2003)	Copy	April, 2003	Fujitsu	• Fujitsu's lineup of consumer notebook series, etc.	
Kou 176	New lineups of consumer notebooks by Fujitsu (2002-2003)	Copy	01/31/2007	plaintiff	• Sales timing of the new consumer notebooks by Fujitsu (2002-2003), etc.	
Kou 177	"Specifications of Fujitsu NB series" (2003)	Copy	01/31/2007	plaintiff	• Specifications of all models in Fujitsu NB series for 2003 Spring and Winter models, etc.	
Kou 178	"Specifications of Fujitsu MG series" (2003)	Copy	#	#	• Specifications of all models in Fujitsu MG series for 2003 Spring and Winter models, etc.	
Kou 179	"Specifications of Fujitsu LOOX T series" (2003)	Copy	#	#	• Specifications of all models in Fujitsu LOOX T series for 2003 Spring and Winter models, etc.	
Kou 180	A chart titled "Winter '03 Fujitsu Roadmap (proposal)"	Copy	#	#	• The fact that Kou 29-1 is an affidavit about defendant's exclusionary acts concerning Fujitsu, etc.	• A copy of Kou 29-4 with some of the redacted parts filled with plaintiff's reconstructions.

Kou 181	A catalogue titled "Toshiba IA Server: MAGNIA Z300"	Copy	May, 2001	Toshiba	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that Kou 21 is a document about defendant's exclusionary acts concerning Toshiba, etc. • Specifications of MAGNIA Z300, etc.
Kou 182	Press release (5/8/2001)	Copy	05/08/2001	#	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The specification and the launch date of MAGNIA Z300, etc.
Kou 183	Web article (ASCII24) (5/15/2001)	Copy	05/15/2001	ASCII Corp.	#
Kou 184	Dictionary as to IT words (e-Words) "Tualatin"	Copy	01/31/2007	Incept Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that the code name of the Intel CPU mounted in Toshiba's MAGNIA Z 300 is "Tualatin", etc.
Kou 185	Web article (IT media)	Copy	02/26/2002	ITmedia Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that defendant launched CPU for servers named "Xeon", and its core name was "Prestonia", etc.
Kou 186	The organization chart titled "Toshiba Digital Media Network Company"	Copy	02/15/2001	plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organization of DM around February, 2001, etc.
Kou 187	A general catalogue of SONY "Vaio" notebook series (Extract)	Copy	November, 2003	SONY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact that SONY manufactured and sold Vaio GR series and Vaio FR series at the time of 2003, and specifications of those series, etc.
Kou 188	TOSHIBA dynabook.com, search result. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • mini note • 2002/1~2007/1 	Copy	01/31/2007	plaintiff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Product information about Libretto series in Toshiba after 2002, etc.

Kou 189-1	TOSHIBA dynabook.com, search result. • Notebook PC • 2002/1~2002/12	Copy	#	#	• Product information about notebook PC series in Toshiba in 2002, etc.	
Kou 189-2	TOSHIBA dynabook.com, search result. • Mobile notebook PC • 2002/1~2002/12	Copy	#	#	• Product information about mobile notebook PC series in Toshiba in 2002, etc.	The result of the search was "None."
Kou 189-3	TOSHIBA dynabook.com, search result • Mobile notebook PC (Slim) • 2002/1~2002/12	Copy	#	#	• Product information about mobile notebook PC series (Slim) in Toshiba in 2002, etc.	
Kou 189-4	TOSHIBA dynabook.com, search result. • Tablet PC • 2002/1~2002/12	Copy	#	#	• Product information about Tablet PC series in Toshiba in 2002, etc.	
Kou 189-5	TOSHIBA dynabook.com, a search result. • Mini notebook PC • 2002/1~2002/12	Copy	#	#	• Product information about mini notebook PC series in Toshiba in 2002, etc.	The result of the search was "None."
Kou 189-6	TOSHIBA dynabook.com, search result. • AV notebook PC • 2002/1~2002/12	Copy	#	#	• Product information about AV notebook PC series in Toshiba in 2002, etc.	
Kou 190 A	Homepage titled "Toshiba In The Americas"	Copy	#	Toshiba Corporation	• Overview of Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. (TAIS), etc.	
Kou 190 B	Translation of above	Copy	#	Attorneys for the plaintiff	#	

Kou 191	The organization chart of Toshiba (4/1/2003)	Copy	June, 2003	Toshiba Corporation	Organization of Toshiba in 2003, etc.	
Kou 192	A chart of organization (4/1/2004)	Copy	June, 2004	"	Organization of Toshiba in 2004, etc.	
Kou 193	Press release titled "About the decision of proposal as to selection of President" (2/22/2005)	Copy	02/22/2005	"	The fact that Mr. Nishida was nominated as a successor of Mr. Okamura, the President of Toshiba, after the general meeting of shareholders (June, 2005), etc.	

EXHIBIT B

REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

EXHIBIT C



O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

CENTURY CITY
IRVINE
NEWPORT BEACH
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
SILICON VALLEY

400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071-2899

TELEPHONE (213) 430-6000
FACSIMILE (213) 430-6407
INTERNET: www.omm.com

TYSONS CORNER
WASHINGTON, D.C.
HONG KONG
LONDON
SHANGHAI
TOKYO

December 7, 2007

VIA E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Dan Floyd, Esq.
Gibson Dunn & Crutcher
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90071

OUR FILE NUMBER
008,346-163

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL
(213) 430-6574

WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS
mmaddigan@omm.com

Re: *AMD v. Intel*

Dear Dan:

I write to follow-up on an issue we discussed at the end of our November 6 meeting regarding corporate requests and databases – namely, Intel's production of documents related to the investigation of Intel by the JFTC. During our discussion, I asked whether Intel would be producing documents from the JFTC proceeding. You responded that Intel would be doing so, and that you believed the documents from the JFTC fell into three categories. As I understood them, those categories are:

(1) documents that the JFTC has in its possession but Intel (including its counsel) does not. This category might include, for example, documents that the JFTC generated during the course of proceedings and did not provide to Intel. You stated that Intel would not produce this category of documents in response to AMD's requests because those documents are not in Intel's possession, custody, or control, and you do not believe Intel has an obligation to try to obtain the documents from the JFTC on Intel's behalf.

(2) documents – including, for example, both documents produced by the parties and prepared by the JFTC – that Intel (including its counsel) has in its possession, custody, or control. You represented that Intel would produce all of the documents in this category, except for the documents contained in Category (3).

(3) documents within Category (2) that Intel is precluded from producing by confidentiality order or agreement.

In our conversation, you agreed to let me know whether there are any documents of which you are aware in each of these three categories. I am writing to request again that you do so. In particular, please confirm that (1) the only documents in category 3 (if there are any at all)

are documents previously produced by the JFTC to the Japanese District Court for which Intel specifically sought confidentiality protection and (2) the Japanese District Court order entered at Intel's request with respect to those documents is the only confidentiality order or obligation that Intel believes precludes it from producing them.

Finally, on a related note, we received a production of documents from Intel on Monday, November 19, that was labeled "JFTC Seized Documents." Please confirm that the production comprises all of the documents in Category 2 and that the only JFTC documents that Intel has not produced are those, if any, that fall within category (3).

Please feel free to call if you have any questions about this letter or would like to discuss any aspect of it in more detail.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Maddigan", with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Michael M. Maddigan
of O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

EXHIBIT D

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

LAWYERS

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-3197

(213) 229-7000

www.gibsondunn.com

DFloyd@gibsondunn.com

January 14, 2008

Direct Dial
(213) 229-7148

Fax No.
(213) 229-6148

Client No.
T 42376-00764

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Michael M. Maddigan
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Re: *AMD v. Intel*

Dear Mike:

This letter will respond to your letter of December 7, 2007 re Intel's production of documents related to the investigation of Intel by the JFTC. As I understand your letter, you would like Intel to confirm the scope of its production by reference to three categories that you define.

The first category referenced in your letter is documents that are in the possession of the JFTC, and which are not in the possession of Intel or its counsel. Intel has no specific knowledge of the documents in the possession of the JFTC, much less the ability or obligation to obtain documents that AMD may want from the JFTC, and therefore I can confirm that Intel has not, will not, and cannot, produce any such documents.

As for the second category referenced in your letter, Intel agreed to produce and has produced otherwise responsive documents seized by or otherwise produced to the JFTC to comply with a JFTC production or submission order as a "corporate request," subject to Intel's privilege objections. We have also in the process of producing all non-privileged responsive documents from the designated custodians, which may also contain other copies of such documents.

Finally, as to the third category referenced in your letter, it is my understanding that the only documents at issue were those submitted by the JFTC to the Japanese court in 2006, in


GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Michael M. Maddigan
January 14, 2008
Page 2

response to the court's document request. Those documents were supplied to both parties, and are subject to access restriction orders, in some instances requested by Intel, and in others by AMD, but in any event, now contained in court orders binding both parties. It is Intel's position that it is not required to produce to AMD any documents received by both parties from the Japanese court. Intel does not believe it has received any such documents that AMD has not. Whether the documents produced to the parties by the Japanese court can be used by either party in the Delaware litigation is an issue governed by the terms of the orders of the Japanese court. To the extent Intel independently had possession of documents provided to the parties from the Japanese court, we have already produced them or are in the process of doing so.

I believe this should clear up any questions you have.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Floyd", written over a horizontal line.

Daniel S. Floyd

DSF/dsf

100364152_1.DOC

EXHIBIT E

The JFTC rendered a recommendation to Intel K.K.

The Japan Fair Trade Commission (JFTC), March 8, 2005, rendered a recommendation to a Japan-based company, Intel Kabushiki Kaisha (IJKK), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intel International (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intel Corporation, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The recommendation requires IJKK to cease and desist its conducts which violate Section 3 of the Antimonopoly Act (Private Monopolization). The JFTC has been investigating since last April.

The Facts-Findings in the Recommendation

IJKK, since May 2002, has made the five major Japanese OEMs¹ refrain from adopting competitors' CPUs² for all or most of the PCs manufactured and sold by them or all of the PCs that belong to specific groups of PCs referred to as 'series', by making commitments to provide the five OEMs with rebates and/or certain funds referred as 'MDF' (Market Development Fund) in order to maximize their MSS³, respectively, on condition that

- (a) the Japanese OEMs make MSS at 100% and refrain from adopting competitors' CPUs.
- (b) the Japanese OEMs make MSS at 90%, and put the ratio of competitors' CPUs in the volume of CPUs to be incorporated into the PCs manufactured and sold by them down to 10%; or
- (c) the Japanese OEMs refrain from adopting competitors' CPUs to be incorporated into PCs in more than one series with comparatively large amount of production volume to others.

Based on the facts mentioned above, the ratio of the sales volume by AMD Japan and Transmeta USA among Total Domestic CPU Sales Volume decreased from approximately 24% in 2002 to approximately 11% in 2003.

By means of such conducts, IJKK has substantially restrained the competition in the market of CPUs sold to the Japanese OEMs, by acting to exclude its competitors' business activities related to the sales of CPUs to the five OEMs.

Summary of Measures Recommended

- (1) IJKK, when selling Intel's CPUs to the Japanese OEMs, shall terminate such conducts which have been engaged by IJKK since May 2002 as; with respect to the CPUs incorporated into the PCs manufactured and sold by the Japanese OEMs, by making commitments to provide the Japanese OEMs with the rebates and/or funds on condition that, as mentioned above, the Japanese OEMs refrain from adopting competitors' CPUs to be incorporated into all or most of the PCs which are manufactured and sold by them.

¹ Japanese manufacturers of PCs of which head offices are located in Japan.

² x86 series central processing units.

³ MSS is the ratio of the CPUs manufactured and sold by Intel ('Intel's CPUs') in the volume of CPUs to be incorporated into the PCs which are manufactured and sold by an OEM.

- (2) IJKK shall notify the following matters to all the Japanese OEMs with which IJKK deals, and shall also make them known to its employees thoroughly.
 - a) Measures taken by IJKK based on (1) above
 - b) IJKK, when providing the Japanese OEMs with such rebates and/or funds, has no intention to set condition which lead to exclude competitors' CPUs out of the PCs which are manufactured and sold by the Japanese OEMs
 - c) IJKK has already terminated the conduct to make a Japanese OEM not adopt competitors' CPUs in more than one groups of PCs, each of which has comparatively large amount of production volume to others, thereby making all the PCs in those groups of PCs at that OEM incorporate Intel's CPUs, by making a commitment to provide it with the rebates and/or funds on condition that the Japanese OEM change to Intel's CPUs competitors' CPUs previously incorporated into the PCs in those groups of PCs, and that it keep using Intel's CPUs in all the PCs in those groups of PCs.

- (3) IJKK, from now on, shall not exclude the business activities of the competitors for the sales of CPUs by employing following conducts:
 - a) The conduct to restrict the ratio in the volume of competitors' CPUs to be incorporated into the PCs manufactured and sold by a Japanese OEM at 10 percent or less, by making a commitment to provide the Japanese OEM with the rebates and/or funds on condition that it make MSS at 90% or more and maintain MSS at such level
 - b) The conduct to, without justification, make a Japanese OEM not adopt competitors' CPUs to be incorporated into PCs in more than one groups of PCs, each of which has comparatively large amount of production volume to others, thereby making all the PCs in those groups of PCs at that OEM incorporate Intel's CPUs, by making a commitment to provide the Japanese OEM with the rebates and/or funds on condition that it change to Intel's CPUs competitors' CPUs previously incorporated into the PCs in those groups of PCs, and that it keep using Intel's CPUs in all the PCs in those groups of PCs.

- (4) IJKK shall take measures to operate (i)Antimonopoly training for officers of sales department and their staffs engaged in promoting and selling CPUs, and (ii)periodical audits by legal section, thereby ensuring the conduct mentioned above in (3) shall not be caused hereafter.


Due Date of Acceptance or Rejection of the Recommendation

March 18, 2005

(If the recommendation is accepted, the JFTC will issue a decision, a legally binding order with the same corrective measures as those in the recommendation. Otherwise, the JFTC will initiate a hearing procedure.)

Contact point: Third Investigation Division, Investigation Bureau
03-3581-3345

Outline of Intel K.K.'s conducts



Share of competitors' CPU declined

	2003	2004
Share of competitors' CPU (i)	24%	11%

(i) Ratio of the sales volume of CPUs sold, either directly or through dealers, to the Japanese OEMs by AMD Japan and Transmeta USA in the total sales volume of CPUs sold by IJKK, AMD Japan and Transmeta USA (CPUs sold by IJKK, AMD Japan and Transmeta USA amount to almost all the CPUs sold in Japan.).