IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE, LTD.,)))
Plaintiffs,)
) C. A. No. 05-441 (JJF)
v.)
INTEL CORPORATION and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,)))
Defendants.))
IN RE:	
) MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF)
INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself)
and all others similarly situated,) C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
)
Plaintiffs,) CONSOLIDATED ACTION
ν.)
)
INTEL CORPORATION,	
Defendant.)

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 26 and 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha, by their counsel, have served or will serve the attached subpoena *ad testificandum* (Exhibit A) on McKinsey & Company.

Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha, will take the deposition upon oral examination of Custodian of Records of McKinsey & Company, regarding McKinsey's production of documents in the above-captioned case. The deposition will take place before an authorized court reporter, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on May 26, 2009, at the law offices of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10166, or at such other time and place as agreed to by the parties and will cover the subject matter set forth in the Subpoena attached as Exhibit A. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed and shall be transcribed and videotaped. You are invited to attend and cross-examine the witness.

The subpoenaed party is:

<u>Name</u>

McKinsey & Company. 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055 Attn: Jean Molino

OF COUNSEL:

Robert E. Cooper Daniel S. Floyd Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 900071 (213) 229-7000

Darren B. Bernhard Howrey LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 783-0800

Donn P. Pickett BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2268

Dated: May 1, 2009 914334/29282

Date/Location of Document Production

May 26, 2009 @ 9:00 a.m. Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: <u>/s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.</u> Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023) Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 (302) 984-6000 <u>rhorwitz@potteranderson.com</u> wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

EXHIBIT A

AO 88A (Rev. 01/09) Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition or to Produce Documents in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

١

)

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International Plaintiff

۳. ۷.

Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

Defendant

Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF

(If the action is pending in another district, state where: District of Delaware

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION OR TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: McKinsey & Company

55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055 Attn: Jean Molino

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization that is not a party in this case, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

Custodian of records for McKinsey's production of documents in the above-referenced case.

Place: Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	Date and Time:
200 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10166-0193	05/26/2009 9:00 am

The deposition will be recorded by this method: <u>Audiovisual and stenographic</u>

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material;

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are attached.

Date:	05/01/2009	CLERK OF COURT	OR	ALD.P	li
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		Atto	ney's signature
The na	me, address, e-ma	il, and telephone number of the attorne	y represen	ting (name of party)	Intel Corporation and
		Intel Kabushiki Kaisha		who issues or requ	ests this subpoena, are:
1050 (w Cline Connecticut Ave., 87-3698 acline@g	NW, Ste. 300, Washington, DC 20036 gibsondunn.com			

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, baving been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

AO 88A (Rev. 01/09) Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition or to Produce Documents in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

s received by me on (d	ate)		
I personally set	erved the subpoena on the individual at (p	lace)	
		оп (date)	; or
🗇 I left the subp	oena at the individual's residence or usua	l place of abode with (name)	
	, a pers	on of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides there
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the ir	ndividual's last known address; or	
I served the s	ubpoena on (name of individual)	•	, who is
designated by la	w to accept service of process on behalf o	f (name of organization)	
	n (n	On (date)	; 07
I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because		;
tendered to the w	ena was issued on behalf of the United St vitness fees for one day's attendance, and		
Unless the subpotendered to the w	ena was issued on behalf of the United St vitness fees for one day's attendance, and	the mileage allowed by law, in the a	mount of
Unless the subpo tendered to the w	ena was issued on behalf of the United St		
Unless the subportendered to the ws fees are \$ I declare under p	ena was issued on behalf of the United St vitness fees for one day's attendance, and	the mileage allowed by law, in the a for services, for a total of \$	mount of
Unless the subportendered to the w \$ fees are \$ I declare under p	ena was issued on behalf of the United St vitness fees for one day's attendance, and for travel and \$	the mileage allowed by law, in the a for services, for a total of \$	mount of
Unless the subpotendered to the ws	ena was issued on behalf of the United St vitness fees for one day's attendance, and for travel and \$	the mileage allowed by law, in the a for services, for a total of \$ rue.	mount of

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr., hereby certify that on May 1, 2009, the attached

document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with

the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the

following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein Frederick L. Cottrell, III Chad M. Shandler Steven J. Fineman Richards, Layton & Finger One Rodney Square 920 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 James L. Holzman J. Clayton Athey Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on May 1, 2009, I have Electronically Mailed the documents

to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond Linda J. Smith O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 <u>cdiamond@omm.com</u> <u>lsmith@omm.com</u>

Salem M. Katsh Laurin B. Grollman Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP 1633 Broadway, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10019 <u>skatsh@kasowitz.com</u> <u>lgrollman@kasowitz.com</u> Mark A. Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 <u>msamuels@omm.com</u>

Daniel A. Small Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C. 1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 500, West Tower Washington, DC 20005 <u>dsmall@cmht.com</u> Craig C. Corbitt Judith A. Zahid Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP 44 Montgomery Street Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 <u>ccorbitt@zelle.com</u> jzahid@zelle.com

Guido Saveri R. Alexander Saveri Saveri & Saveri, Inc. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 <u>guido@saveri.com</u> <u>rick@saveri.com</u>

Michael P. Lehmann Jon T. King Hausfeld LLP 44 Montgomery Street Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 <u>mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com</u> jking@hausfeldllp.com Steve W. Berman Anthony D. Shapiro Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 <u>steve@hbsslaw.com</u> tony@hbsslaw.com

Michael D. Hausfeld Brent W. Landau Hausfeld LLP 1146 19th Street, NW Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036 <u>mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com</u> <u>blandau@hausfeldllp.com</u>

By: /s/W. Harding Drane, Jr. Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023) POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 (302) 984-6000 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com wdrane@potteranderson.com Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kasiha