## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST LITIGATION	) MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF) )
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. at AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SER LTD.	
Plaintiffs,	)
<b>v.</b>	) C.A. No. 05-441 (JJF)
INTEL CORPORATION and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,	) )
Defendants.	)
PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	) C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
Plaintiffs,	) CONSOLIDATED ACTION )
v.	)
INTEL CORPORATION,	)
Defendant.	)

#### NOTICE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 and Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a subpoena *ad testificandum* has been or will be served on Seeking Alpha, Inc., c/o PHS Corporate Svcs., Inc., 1313 N. Market Street, Suite 5100, Wilmington, DE 19801. A true and correct copy of the subpoena is attached as Exhibit A.

Defendant Intel Corporation will take the deposition upon oral examination of Seeking Alpha, Inc. regarding the subject matter set forth in Schedule A attached to the Subpoena. The deposition will take place before an authorized court reporter, commencing at 9:00 A.M. on June

9, 2009 at the offices of Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP, 1313 N. Market Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, or at such other time and place as agreed to by the parties. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed and shall be transcribed. You are invited to attend and cross-examine the witness.

#### OF COUNSEL:

Robert E. Cooper Daniel S. Floyd Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 900071 (213) 229-7000

Darren B. Bernhard Howrey LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 783-0800

Donn P. Pickett BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2268

Dated: May 15, 2009

916413/29282

#### POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

# EXHIBIT A

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the District of Delaware

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International  Plaintiff  v.  Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha  Defendant	) ) Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF ) (If the action is pending in another district, state where: ) District of Delaware
	STIFY AT A DEPOSITION UMENTS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: Seeking Alpha Inc. c/o PHS Corporate Svcs., Inc., 1313 N. Market Stre	eet Suite 5100, Wilmington, DE 19801
deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an o	
Place: Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 1313 N. Market St., 6th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801	Date and Time: 06/09/2009 9:00 am
The deposition will be recorded by this method:	Audiovisual and stenographic
☐ Production: You, or your representatives, must electronically stored information, or objects, and material:	also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, I permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this su attached.	to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule abpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are
Date: 05/13/2009 CLERK OF COURT	or D. Hardy Sal.
Signature of Clerk or Deput	y Clerk Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the Intel Kabushiki Kaisha	attorney representing (name of party) Intel Corporation and , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
W. Harding Drane, Jr. Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP,	1300 N. Market STreet, Wilmington,
DE 19801: (302) 984-6000: wdra	ne@potteranderson.com

Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena for	(name of individual and title, if any)		
ceived by me on (date	•		
☐ I personally serv	ved the subpoena on the individual at	(place)	
_		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the subpos	ena at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with (name)	
	, a pe	rson of suitable age and discretion who	resides the
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the sub	роепа оп (name of individual)		, who i
designated by law	to accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the su	ibpoena unexecuted because		
		States, or one of its officers or agents,	
Unless the subpoer	ness fees for one day's attendance, an	States, or one of its officers or agents, d the mileage allowed by law, in the a	
Unless the subpoer tendered to the wit	ness fees for one day's attendance, an		
Unless the subpoer tendered to the wit \$es are \$	for travel and \$nalty of perjury that this information i	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and the mileage allowed by law, in the mileage allo	mount of

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

#### (c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

- (1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense, Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees on a party or attorney who fails to comply.
  - (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.
- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

#### (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
  - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

- (d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.
- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.

  These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

#### (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
  - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

#### SCHEDULE A

#### **DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

The following terms shall have the meanings set forth below whenever used in any discovery request.

- 1. The term "AMD, Inc." means Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd., and any parent, subsidiary or affiliate entities, as well as the owners, partners, officers, directors, employees, agents, and other representatives of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd.
- 2. The term "Seeking Alpha" means Seeking Alpha, Inc. and any affiliate entities, as well as the owners, employees, agents, and other representatives of Seeking Alpha, Inc.
- 3. The term "Analyst and Earnings Call Reports" refers to any reports, transcripts, summaries, or analyses of periodic teleconference calls between officers or directors of a public company and analysts, reporters, other members of the investment or media industries, shareholders and/or the general public.

#### **DEPOSITION TOPICS**

- Authentication of Analyst And Earnings Call Reports for AMD, Inc. that were recorded, transcribed, produced, created, edited, distributed, posted, or published by Seeking Alpha from 2000 to present.
- Description of the process of creating and preparing Analyst And Earnings
   Call Reports for AMD, Inc. that were recorded, transcribed, produced, created, edited,
   distributed, or published by Seeking Alpha from 2000 to present.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr. hereby certify that on May 15, 2009, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein
Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Chad M. Shandler
Steven J. Fineman
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

James L. Holzman J. Clayton Athey Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on May 15, 2009, I have Electronically Mailed the documents to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond
Linda J. Smith
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
cdiamond@omm.com
lsmith@omm.com

Mark A. Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 msamuels@omm.com

Salem M. Katsh
Laurin B. Grollman
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP
1633 Broadway, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10019
<a href="mailto:skatsh@kasowitz.com">skatsh@kasowitz.com</a>
<a href="mailto:lgrollman@kasowitz.com">lgrollman@kasowitz.com</a>

Daniel A. Small
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 500, West Tower
Washington, DC 20005
dsmall@cmht.com

Craig C. Corbitt
Judith A. Zahid
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
ccorbitt@zelle.com
jzahid@zelle.com

Guido Saveri R. Alexander Saveri Saveri & Saveri, Inc. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 guido@saveri.com rick@saveri.com

Michael P. Lehmann
Jon T. King
Hausfeld LLP
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com
jking@hausfeldllp.com

Steve W. Berman
Anthony D. Shapiro
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
steve@hbsslaw.com
tony@hbsslaw.com

Michael D. Hausfeld Brent W. Landau Hausfeld LLP 1146 19<sup>th</sup> Street, NW Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036 mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com blandau@hausfeldllp.com

By: /s/W. Harding Drane, Jr.
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com
Attorneys for Defendants
Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kasiha