RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

FREDERICK L COTTRELL. III

ONE RODNEY SQUARE
920 NORTH KING STREET
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801
(302) 651-7700
FAX (302) 651-7701

WWW.RLF.COM

Direct Dial (302) 651-7509 COTTRELL@RLF COM

June 2, 2006

VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti Blank Rome LLP Chase Manhattan Centre, Suite 800 1201 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801-4226

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al. v. Intel Corporation, et al. C.A. No. 05-441-JJF, In re Intel Corporation, C.A. No. 05-MD-1717-JJF, and Phil Paul, et al. v. Intel Corporation, C.A. 05-485-JJF

Dear Judge Poppiti:

In anticipation of the hearing set for June 12, 2006, to discuss the Protective Order in the above matter, the following is a list of documents Your Honor may wish to review before the hearing. For your convenience, we have included the docket item numbers from the *Advanced Micro Devices Inc. v. Intel Corp.*, C.A. No. 05-441 docket:

D.I. 109	Joint Proposed Order re: Protective Order Approval Process (Proposed Protective
	Order attached as Exhibit A)
D.I. 115	Stipulation and Order Regarding Protective Order Approval Process
D.I. 127	Objections by Hewlett Packard
D.I. 128	Objections by Egenera
D.I. 132	Objections by Best Buy
D.I. 133	Objections by Fujitsu Ltd., NEC Corp., Sony Corp., Sony Elecs. Inc., Toshiba
	Corp.
D.I. 134	Objections by Circuit City
D.I. 136	Objections by Acer Am. Corp.
D.I. 139	Objections by ASI Computer Techs., Inc., Avnet Inc., Ingram Micro Inc., Synnex
	Corp., Tech Data Corp.
D.I. 141	Objections by Microsoft Corp.

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti June 2, 2006 Page 2

D.I. 142	Objections by Int'l Business Machine Corp.
D.I. 143	Objections by Dell
D.I. 144	Objections by Lenovo Group
D.L. 145	Objections by Fry's Elecs.
D.I. 148	Response of Intel Corp., et al. to the Objections of the Third Parties to the
	Protective Order
D.I. 149	Response of Advanced Micro Devices Inc., et al. to the Objections of the Third
	Parties to the Protective Order
D.I. 150	Letter to the Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. re: Revised Protective Order
D.I. 151	Letter to the Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. re: Revised Protective Order
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Letter to The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan dated May 19, 2006 from Willam A. Streff, Jr., Esq., re: Hitachi's comments to the Proposed Protective Order (not efiled)

If Your Honor should need copies of any of the foregoing, we will be happy to provide them to you.

On a related matter, the parties will provide notice to third parties of the hearing scheduled for June 12, 2006 ("Notice") so that third parties have an opportunity to attend the hearing and be heard on the matter. However, we anticipate that there will be many third parties who elect not to travel to Delaware for the hearing, and instead would prefer to rely on the arguments and objections contained in their papers. We would like to represent to third parties in the Notice that their failure to attend in person will not prejudice their position and that the Special Master will consider all objections presented in the papers as well as at the hearing. The following is what we propose to send in the Notice to third parties:

"Upon receipt of the third party responses and objections to the Proposed Protective Order as well as Intel's and Plaintiffs' responses to those objections, this matter has been referred to the Special Master by Judge Farnan. The Special Master has called a hearing to be held on June 12 at 10:00 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Wilmington, DE. At this hearing, any interested third party who filed objections may attend. If a third party elects not to attend the hearing, the Special Master will consider the objections submitted and rule on that basis."

Intel has informed us that it opposes the inclusion of the last sentence of this proposed Notice and will send a letter explaining why. AMD believes it would be burdensome

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti June 2, 2006 Page 3

and costly for the third parties and the litigants to require every third party to attend in person and restate their written objections.

Please let us know as soon as possible whether this Notice accurately reflects how the Court intends to handle this hearing. Once we receive approval (or revised language), we will notify each third party of the hearing.

Respectfully,

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)

The Could -

FLC,III/cml

cc: Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire (via hand delivery)
James L. Holzman, Esquire (via hand delivery)