

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE: INTEL CORPORATION)
MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST) Civil Action No. 05-MD-1717-JJF
LITIGATION)

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a)
Delaware corporation, and AMD)
INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE, LTD.,)
a Delaware corporation,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.) Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF
)
INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware)
corporation, and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,)
a Japanese corporation,)
)
Defendants.)

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and all others)
similarly situated,)
)
Plaintiffs,) Civil Action No. 05-485-JJF
)
v.) CONSOLIDATED ACTION
)
INTEL CORPORATION,)
)
Defendant.)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING THE
PRODUCTION OF DELL TRANSACTIONAL DATA**

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2009, plaintiffs in consolidated class actions (“Class Plaintiffs”) filed a motion to compel Dell Corporation (“Dell”) to complete its production of certain transactional data (D.I. 1895 in 05-MD-1717) in response to Class Plaintiffs’ June 22, 2006 subpoena and a March 9, 2009 agreement between Class Plaintiffs and Dell for the production of

Dell transactional data, including information contained in a March 10, 2009 e-mail between Class Plaintiffs and Dell (the “March 9 Agreement”);

WHEREAS, Dell opposed Class Plaintiffs’ motion to compel on the grounds, *inter alia*, that it had already produced sufficient transactional data pursuant to Class Plaintiffs’ subpoena and the March 9 Agreement; and

WHEREAS, a hearing on Class Plaintiffs’ motion to compel Dell was held before the Special Master on June 23, 2009, during which Class Plaintiffs and Dell agreed that Dell will produce certain additional transactional data for July 14, 2006 with the understanding that such additional production will resolve Class Plaintiffs’ motion to compel and satisfy Dell’s obligations to produce transactional data pursuant to Class Plaintiffs’ subpoena and the March 9 Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, Class Plaintiffs and Dell hereby stipulate, through their respective counsel of record, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

1. On or before June 29, 2009, Dell shall produce to Class Plaintiffs its U.S. transactional sales data for all computers purchased from Dell containing x86 microprocessors with an invoice date of July 14, 2006. Dell shall produce the data in the same format and with the same data fields as the data production Class Plaintiffs received from Dell on April 28, 2009.
2. The production of the July 14, 2006 transactional data by Dell shall resolve Class Plaintiffs’ motion to compel and satisfy Dell’s obligations to produce transactional data pursuant to Class Plaintiffs’ subpoena and the March 9 Agreement.

PRICKETT JONES & ELLIOTT, P.A.

ASHBY & GEDDES

By: /s/ J. Clayton Athey

James L. Holzman (#663)
jlholzman@prickett.com
J. Clayton Athey (#4378)
jcathey@prickett.com
1310 King Street
P.O. Box 1328
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 888-6500
Interim Liaison Counsel for Class Plaintiffs

By: /s/ Lauren E. Maguire

Richard I. G. Jones, Jr. (I.D. #3301)
rjones@ashby-geddes.com
Lauren E. Maguire (#4261)
lmauire@ashby-geddes.com
500 Delaware Avenue
P.O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 654-1888
Attorneys for Dell Corporation

Dated: June 25, 2009

ENTERED this 25th day of June, 2009.



Vincent J. Poppiti (#100614)
Special Master

SO ORDERED this _____ day of June, 2009.

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.
United States District Judge
District of Delaware