IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: INTEL CORPORAT MICROPROCESSOR ANTI LITIGATION))) MDL No. 05-1717 - JJF)
ADVANCED MICRO DEVIDERANCE OF	MD))
v.	Plaintiffs,) C.A. No. 05-441-JJF)
INTEL CORPORATION, a and INTEL KABUSHIKI Kacorporation,	-))))
PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,)))
	Plaintiffs,) C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
v. INTEL CORPORATION,	:) CONSOLIDATED ACTION)
<u> </u>	Defendant.))

INTEL'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO TED CLARK

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 and Rule 30(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the attached subpoena has been or will be served on Ted Clark, c/o Paul D. Weller, Esq., Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, 1701 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921.

Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushika Kaisha, through their undersigned counsel, will take the deposition upon oral examination of Ted Clark on July 29, 2009,

commencing at 9:00 A.M. at the offices of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, 1000 Louisiana St., Suite 4200, Houston, TX 77002-5006, or at such other time and place as the parties may agree. The deposition will be recorded stenographic and sound-and-visual (videographic) means, will be taken before an officer authorized to administer oaths, and will continue from day to day until completed, weekends and public holidays excepted.

OF COUNSEL:

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Darren B. Bernard Howrey LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 783-0800 By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Kabushiki Kaisha

Dated: July 21, 2009

2

925676v.1/29282

wdrane@potteranderson.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of Texas

In re Intel Corp Microprocessor Antitrust Litig, P.Paul)		
Plaintiff V.) Civil Action No.	MDL No. 05-1717-JJF	
INTEL Corporation) (If the action is pendi	ng in another district, state where:	
Defendant Defendant	· ·	strict of Delaware	
SURPOFNA TO TES	FY AT A DEPOSITIO	N	
OR TO PRODUCE DOCU			
To: Ted Clark c/o Paul D. Weller, Esq Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	_P - 1701 Market Street,	Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921	
Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to apped deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an or one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or deabout the following matters, or those set forth in an attack	anization that is <i>not</i> a par gnate other persons who	ty in this case, you must designate	
Place: Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Date and Time:		
1000 Louisiana St., Suite 4200	(07/29/2009 9:00 am	
Houston, TX 77002-5006			
The deposition will be recorded by this method:	tenographic and video r	ecording	
☐ Production: You, or your representatives, must a electronically stored information, or objects, and material:			
The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating t 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this sub attached.			
Date: 07/20/2009			
CLERK OF COURT	0. D	£	
	OR 1	4	
Signature of Clerk or Deputy		Attorney's signature	
The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the		of party) Defendant INTEL Corp. es or requests this subpoena, are:	
W. Harding Drane, Jr.			
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP P.O. Box 951, 1313 N. Market Street	-		
	~	·	
Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 984-6000			

Civil Action No. MDL No. 05-1717-JJF

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena for	(name of marrianal and line, if any)		
as received by me on (da	te)		
☐ I personally se	rved the subpoena on the individual at	(place)	
— 			; or
☐ I left the subpo	pena at the individual's residence or us		
1		erson of suitable age and discretion who	o resides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the sul	bpoena on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by lav	v to accept service of process on behal		
***************************************		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the s	subpoena unexecuted because		; or
☐ Other (specify):			
Unless the subpoe		States, or one of its officers or agents, d the mileage allowed by law, in the a	
Unless the subpoe	itness fees for one day's attendance, an		
Unless the subpoe tendered to the wi	itness fees for one day's attendance, an		
Unless the subpose tendered to the wi	itness fees for one day's attendance, an for travel and \$	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and the mileage allowed by law, in the mileage allowed by l	mount of
Unless the subpoot tendered to the wi	itness fees for one day's attendance, an	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and the mileage allowed by law, in the mileage allowed by l	mount of
Unless the subpoetendered to the wise series are \$ I declare under pe	itness fees for one day's attendance, an for travel and \$	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and the mileage allowed by law, in the mileage allowed by l	mount of
Unless the subpoetendered to the wise specific states are \$ I declare under pe	itness fees for one day's attendance, an for travel and \$	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and the mileage allowed by law, in the mileage allowed by l	mount of
Unless the subpoetendered to the wise series are \$ I declare under pe	itness fees for one day's attendance, an for travel and \$	of the mileage allowed by law, in the and the mileage allowed by law, in	mount of
Unless the subpoot tendered to the wi	itness fees for one day's attendance, an for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$ s true. Server's signature	mount of

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) **Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.**These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr. hereby certify that on July 21, 2009, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein
Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Chad M. Shandler
Steven J. Fineman
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

James L. Holzman J. Clayton Athey Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on July 21, 2009, I have Electronically Mailed the documents to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond Linda J. Smith O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 <u>cdiamond@omm.com</u> lsmith@omm.com Mark A. Samuels
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071
msamuels@omm.com

Salem M. Katsh
Laurin B. Grollman
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP
1633 Broadway, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10019
skatsh@kasowitz.com
lgrollman@kasowitz.com

Daniel A. Small
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 500, West Tower
Washington, DC 20005
dsmall@cmht.com

Craig C. Corbitt
Judith A. Zahid
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
ccorbitt@zelle.com
jzahid@zelle.com

Guido Saveri
R. Alexander Saveri
Saveri & Saveri, Inc.
706 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
guido@saveri.com
rick@saveri.com

Michael P. Lehmann
Jon T. King
Hausfeld LLP
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com
jking@hausfeldllp.com

Steve W. Berman Anthony D. Shapiro Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 steve@hbsslaw.com tony@hbsslaw.com

Michael D. Hausfeld Brent W. Landau Hausfeld LLP 1146 19th Street, NW Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036 mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com blandau@hausfeldllp.com

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com
Attorneys for Defendants
Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kasiha