## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| IN RE.<br>INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR<br>ANTITRUST LITIGATION  | ) ) MDL Docket No. 05-1717-JJF  |
|---|---------------------------------|
| ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation, and AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE LTD, a Delaware corporation,, | )<br>)<br>)<br>)                |
| Plaintiffs,<br>v.   | Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF     |
| INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA, a Japanese corporation,                            | )<br>)<br>)                     |
| Defendants  | )<br>)<br>)                     |
| PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,  | )<br>)<br>)                     |
| Plaintiffs,   | ) ) Civil Action No. 05-485-JJF |
| v. INTEL CORPORATION,   | ) CONSOLIDATED ACTION )         |
| Defendant.  | )                               |

## STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER ON PROCEDURES FOR THE HANDLING OF DISCOVERY DISPUTES BEFORE SPECIAL MASTER

WHEREAS, by ORDER dated April 27, 2006, Vincent Poppiti was appointed as Special Master in Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF; and

WHEREAS, by ORDER dated May 11, 2006, Vincent Poppiti was appointed as Special Master in MDL Docket No. 05-1717-JJF and Consolidated Civil Action No. 05-485-JJF; and

RLF1-3015413-1 CC1:743994,2 RLF1-3029055-1 WHEREAS, the Special Master was authorized to hear, resolve, and rule on all disputes regarding discovery and to establish procedures for handling such disputes; and

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of all parties to streamline the discovery process and to facilitate the efficient and expeditious resolution of discovery disputes;

NOW THEREFORE, this \_\_\_ day of May, 2006, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the following procedures shall govern the handling of discovery disputes:

Any party wishing to raise a discovery dispute shall serve a letter brief on 1. the Special Master, on all parties, and on any third parties involved in the dispute. The moving party shall include in the subject matter line of its letter brief a DM number (Discovery Matter) number), which shall be assigned in sequential order (and supplied and/or verified, if necessary, by calling the office of the Special Master), and which responding parties shall also include on their submissions. The letter brief shall not exceed four (4) pages, single-spaced, unless the Special Master permits otherwise Opposition letter briefs shall be served no later than five (5) days following service of the letter application and shall not exceed four (4) pages, singlespaced, unless the Special Master permits otherwise Reply letter briefs shall be served no later than two (2) days following service of the opposition letter brief and shall not exceed two (2) pages, single-spaced, unless the Special Master permits otherwise. All letter briefs shall set forth in a plain and concise manner the issue(s) in dispute, the party's position on the issue(s), and the basis for the party's position. These page limits do not apply to attachments or exhibits. To the of voluminous extent practicable, counsel should attempt to minimize the submission attachments and exhibits. All prior filings shall be referenced in the letter brief by their Docket Number, and insofar as practicable and necessary, each party shall attach to its briefs all referenced filings and copies of the key decisions relied upon (other than those already submitted to the Special Master in connection with that discovery dispute).

2 Letter briefs shall be served on the Special Master by both (1) e-mail and (2) either by hand or overnight mail, at the discretion of the serving party Any party

**Deleted:** Any e-mail effecting service shall be sent no later than 6:00 p m . Eastern Time,

communicating with the Special Master by e-mail shall copy opposing parties, and, where applicable, relevant third parties. All papers served on the Special Master also shall be filed with

the Court within two (2) days of service on the Special Master.

3 Pursuant to L.R. 71.1, any party wishing to bring a discovery dispute

before the Special Master must first meet and confer with counsel for the appropriate parties.

Each party submitting a letter brief must certify pursuant to L.R. 7.1.1 in that letter brief that the

relevant parties previously have conferred in good faith in an effort to resolve the dispute being

put before the Special Master.

4. All references to "days" in these procedures shall refer to business days.

Where the last day of a briefing period falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the period

shall run until the next day that is not one of the aforementioned days. No additional days shall

be added to the time periods prescribed in paragraph 1 as a result of service by overnight mail or

e-mail, notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

regarding service by means other than hand delivery.

5. At the sole discretion of the Special Master, any discovery dispute noticed

in accordance with the procedures set forth herein may be (1) heard by telephone conference, (2)

ruled upon without oral argument, or (3) heard at a specially scheduled In-Person Conference to

be held in the J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building or at an alternate location approved by the Special

Master. With the Special Master's permission, telephonic appearances shall be permitted for

those counsel who cannot appear in person.

6. If a party believes that circumstances require a discovery dispute to be

resolved more quickly than contemplated under paragraph 1 of this agreement, a party may

request expedited resolution of the issue in its initial letter brief The Special Master may, at his

discretion, shorten the time periods set forth in paragraph 1 in order to resolve a particular

discovery dispute on an expedited basis

3

7. If a dispute arises during the course of a deposition, any party may contact

the Special Master during or immediately after the deposition in order to seek his immediate

intervention in or resolution of a dispute concerning matters covered by Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure 30(d) (3) and (4) Substantive disputes involving events at depositions shall be

resolved on the papers, unless the parties otherwise agree with respect to a specific dispute

8. In resolving the dispute, the Special Master shall enter an Order that sets

forth his ruling and explains the reasons for it in sufficient detail for the Court to be able to

evaluate and review the ruling. This Order may be delivered orally on the record before a court

reporter. In appropriate cases, the Special Master may request the prevailing party to prepare a

proposed Statement of Decision, describing the nature of the dispute, the Special Master's

decision and the basis for it, which the prevailing party shall circulate to all parties to the dispute

for their approval as to form. The Proposed Statement of Decision, and any objections to its

form, shall be filed not later than two days following the request, unless the Special Master

permits otherwise. Any action on the Special Master's Order shall be adopted without further

action from the Court, unless a Party files objections or moves to modify under Federal Rule of

Civil Procedure 53.

9. At the request of any party to a discovery dispute before the Special

Master, hearings shall be transcribed by a court reporter arranged for by that party, but the costs

shall be borne equally by all parties ordering transcripts.

10 These procedures may be modified from time to time by order of the

Special Master, as approved by the Court.

4

Jesse A. Finkelstein (#1090)
finkelstein@rlf com
Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
cottrell@rlf.com
Chad M. Shandler (#3796)
shandler@rlf com
Steven J. Fineman (#4025)
fineman@rlf.com
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

Chuck Diamond Linda Smith Mark Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899

Counsel for Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International Sales & Services. Ltd. Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) rhorwitz@potteranderson com W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023) wdrane@potteranderson com Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951

Robert E. Cooper Daniel S. Floyd Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071

Peter E. Moll Darren B. Bernhard Howrey, LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Attorneys for Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

James L. Holzman (#663) jlholzman@prickett.com J. Clayton Athey (#4378) jcathey@prickettt.com Prickett Jones & Elliott, PA 1310 King Street P. O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

Interim Liaison Counsel and Attorneys for Phil Paul, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

| SO APPROVED this    | lay of | , 2006                                       |
|---------------------|--------|--|
| SO ORDERED this day | S      | incent J. Poppiti<br>pecial Master<br>, 2006 |
|                     |        |  |
|                     |        | seph J. Farnan, Jr.                          |