IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:	``	
INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR)	MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION		
	,	
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and)	***************************************
AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE,)	
LTD.)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	C.A. No. 05-441 (JJF)
v.)	
INTEL CORPORATION and)	
INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,		
···	ý	
Defendants.	<u> </u>	
PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and)	C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
all others similarly situated,		C.A. NO. 03-463-JJF
an others similarly situated,))	CONSOLIDATED ACTION
Plaintiffs,	ý	
<i>,</i>) .	
v .)	
)	
INTEL CORPORATION,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM AND DUCES TECUM

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 26, Rule 30, and Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a subpoena *ad testificandum* and *duces tecum* has been or will be served on Plaintiffs' designated expert Keith B. Leffler. A true and correct copy of the subpoena is attached hereto.

Defendant Intel Corporation will take the deposition upon oral examination of Keith B. Leffler, before an authorized court reporter, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on October 8, 2009 and continuing on October 9, 2009, at the law offices of Bingham McCutchen LLP, 2020 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1806, or at such other time and place as agreed to by the parties. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed and shall be transcribed and videotaped. You are invited to attend and cross-examine the witness.

The subpoena also commands Dr. Leffler to produce documents, records and other materials described in Exhibit A to the subpoena, on or before October 8, 2009, at 9:00 a.m. at the law offices of Bingham McCutchen LLP, 2020 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1806, or at such other time and place as agreed to by the parties.

OF COUNSEL:

David M. Balabanian Donn P. Pickett Frank M. Hinman BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 (415) 393-2000

Dated: October 7, 2009

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: <u>/s/ W. Harding Drane Jr.</u> Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023) Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 North Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 (302) 984-6000

> <u>rhorwitz@potteranderson.com</u> wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant INTEL CORPORATION

AO 88A (Rev. 06/09) Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

)

))

District of Columbia

In re Intel Corporation Microprocessor Antitrust Litig.

Plaintiff V.

Defendant

Civil Action No. MDL 05-1717 (JJF)

(If the action is pending in another district, state where: District of Delaware)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Keith B. Leffler, 14516 Edgewater Lane NE, Seattle, WA 98155

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization that is *not* a party in this case, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

Place: Bingham McCutchen LLP, 2020 K Street NW,	Date and Time:
Washington, DC, 20006-1806	10/08/2009 9:00 am

The deposition will be recorded by this method: transcription and videotape

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

See Exhibit A

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are attached.

Date: <u>10/07/2009</u>	CLERK OF COURT	OR	My With
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		Attorney signature

The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Intel Corporation , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Mit Winter, Bingham McCutchen LLP, Three Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111, (415) 393-2840

Civil Action No. MDL 05-1717 (JJF)

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

\Box I served the sub	poena by delivering a copy to the name	ned individual as follows	:
		on (data)	; or
	ubpoena unexecuted because:		
	na was issued on behalf of the United tness fees for one day's attendance, an	States, or one of its offic	ers or agents, I have al
\$	•		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for	a total of \$0.0
and the second	nervennen var hen en e		a total of \$0.0
y fees are \$	for travel and \$		a total of \$0.0
animulturbit, r.a., Akkaran	nalty of perjury that this information i		
I declare under pe	nalty of perjury that this information i	s true.	
I declare under pe	nalty of perjury that this information i	s true. Server 's signatu	

.

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held:

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoended information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangihle things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After heing notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule $45(c)(3)(\Lambda)(i)$.

Exhibit A

Dr. Leffler is requested to produce copies of the following documents, records and other materials at Bingham McCutchen LLP, 2020 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1806, no later than October 8, 2009, at 9:00 a.m.:

1. All documents, records, or other materials Dr. Leffler considered in forming his opinions in this matter and that have not been previously identified in Exhibit B to the May 15, 2008 Declaration of Keith Leffler or Exhibit A to the July 23, 2009 Reply Declaration of Keith Leffler, including but not limited to any additional documents, records, or other materials that have been considered by Dr. Leffler after submitting his Reply Declaration.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr. hereby certify that on October 7, 2009, the attached

document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with

the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the

following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein Frederick L. Cottrell, III Chad M. Shandler Steven J. Fineman Richards, Layton & Finger One Rodney Square 920 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 James L. Holzman J. Clayton Athey Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on October 7, 2009, I have Electronically Mailed the

documents to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond Linda J. Smith O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 <u>cdiamond@omm.com</u> lsmith@omm.com

Salem M. Katsh Laurin B. Grollman Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP 1633 Broadway, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10019 <u>skatsh@kasowitz.com</u> lgrollman@kasowitz.com Mark A. Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 <u>msamuels@omm.com</u>

Daniel A. Small Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C. 1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 500, West Tower Washington, DC 20005 dsmall@cmht.com Craig C. Corbitt Judith A. Zahid Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP 44 Montgomery Street Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 <u>ccorbitt@zelle.com</u> jzahid@zelle.com

Guido Saveri R. Alexander Saveri Saveri & Saveri, Inc. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 guido@saveri.com rick@saveri.com

Michael P. Lehmann Jon T. King Hausfeld LLP 44 Montgomery Street Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 <u>mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com</u> jking@hausfeldllp.com Steve W. Berman Anthony D. Shapiro Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 <u>steve@hbsslaw.com</u> tony@hbsslaw.com

Michael D. Hausfeld Brent W. Landau Hausfeld LLP 1146 19th Street, NW Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036 <u>mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com</u> blandau@hausfeldllp.com

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr. Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023) POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 (302) 984-6000 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com wdrane@potteranderson.com Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kasiha