

J BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

| • | • | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|
| IN RE INTEL MARKET PRACTICES | : | |
| ANTITRUST LITIGATION | * | MDL DOCKET NO |
| | | |
| | : | • |

PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL BRAUCH AND ANDREW MEIMES' MOTION TO TRANSFER AND COORDINATE OR CONSOLIDATE FOR PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407

Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes ("Plaintiffs") respectfully move the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML" or "Panel") for an Order, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, that would accomplish the following.

First, Plaintiffs ask the JPML to transfer four actions currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, as well as any cases that may subsequently be filed asserting similar or related claims, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division. The actions currently pending in the District of Delaware are as follows: (1) Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. v. Intel Corp., et al. No. 1:05-cv-00441 (D. Del., filed June 27, 2005); (2) Kidwell, et al. v. Intel Corp., No.

1:05-cv-00470 (D. Del., filed July 6, 2005); (3) Rainwater, et al. v. Intel Corp., No. 1:05-cv-00473 (D. Del., filed July 6, 2005); and (4) Kravitz, et al. v. Intel Corp., No. 1:05-cv-476 (D. Del., filed July 8, 2005).

Second, Plaintiffs ask the JPML to consolidate the above four proceedings with the ten actions that are currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. As of July 11, 2005, Plaintiffs are aware of the following actions pending in the Northern District: (1) Brauch, et al. v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2743 (BZ) (N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005); (2) Konieczka v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2700 (MHP) (N.D. Cal., filed June 30, 2005); (3) Prohias v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2699 (JL) (N.D. Cal., filed June 30, 2005); (4) Niehaus v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2720 (JCS) (N.D. Cal., filed July 1, 2005); (5) Hamilton v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2721 (JCS) (N.D. Cal., filed July 1, 2005); (6) Baxley v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2758 (EMC) (N.D. Cal., filed July 6, 2005); (7) Lipton v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2699 (MHP) (N.D. Cal., filed June 29, 2005); (8) Frazier, et al. v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2813 (N.D. Cal., filed July 11, 2005); (9) Dickerson v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2818 (JL) (N.D. Cal., filed July 11, 2005); and (10) The Harman Press v. Intel Corp., (this case was filed late in the day therefore no number has been assigned by the court as of the date of this filing) (N.D. Cal., filed July 11, 2005). In support of their motion for transfer and consolidation, Plaintiffs state as follows.

of the same or similar illegal antitrust conduct and allege substantially similar claims. One of the actions was brought by Advanced Micro Devices ("AMD"), a competitor of defendant Intel Corporation ("Intel"). The remaining thirteen actions are brought on behalf of consumers who purchased Intel microprocessor computer chips ("Intel Chips"). All fourteen actions allege that Intel and its controlled subsidiaries and/or affiliates illegally maintained its monopoly power in the relevant microprocessor market and/or that Intel conspired to fix, raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices for Intel Chips sold in the United States and elsewhere. Intel manufactures, distributes, advertises and sells Intel Chips throughout the United States and the world.

- Plaintiffs propose that the four actions pending in the District of
 Delaware be consolidated with the ten actions currently pending in the Northern District of
 California.
- 3. All fourteen actions arise out of a common core of factual allegations, namely, that Intel illegally maintained its monopoly power in the relevant microprocessor market and/or engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in that market by fixing the prices of and/or allocating markets for Intel Chips sold in the United States and elsewhere, thus overcharging Original Equipment Manufacturer purchasers and consumers for prices paid for Intel Chips during the relevant time period.
- 4. The centralization of these actions in a single judicial district for consolidated pretrial proceedings will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions, will serve the convenience of all parties and witnesses, and will promote the interest of justice because all fourteen actions involve the same or similar factual and legal issues.
- 5. Consolidation of the actions before a single court will conserve judicial resources, reduce litigation costs, prevent potentially inconsistent pretrial rulings, eliminate duplicative discovery, and permit the cases to proceed to trial more efficiently.
- 6. All fourteen actions are in the very early stages of litigation; no responsive pleadings have been filed nor has any discovery been conducted.
- 7. The proposed transfer and consolidation in the Northern District of California "will be for the convenience of parties and witnesses and will promote the just and efficient conduct" of these actions because it is expected that for each Plaintiff in each of the fourteen actions, counsel will take discovery of the same witnesses and documents to prove the same or similar conspiracy or conduct.
- 8. Furthermore: (a) the majority of the cases (ten out of the fourteen cases) were filed in the Northern District of California; (b) the Northern District of California has the resources and judicial expertise to properly conduct this case; (c) Intel's principal place of business is located in the Northern District of California; (d) AMD's principal place of business is located in the Northern District of California; (e) likely witnesses and documentary

evidence are located in the Northern District; (f) the Court is centrally located to all parties; and (g) docket conditions favor the Northern District of California over the District of Delaware.

9. Plaintiffs' motion is based on the accompanying memorandum of law, the filed pleadings and papers, and other materials that may be presented to the Panel before or at the time of any hearing in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Panel order that the four actions pending in the District of Delaware, as well as any cases that may be subsequently filed asserting related or similar claims, be transferred to the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division for consolidated and coordinated pretrial proceedings.

Dated: July 11, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Michael P. Lehmann (Cal. Bar. No. 77152)

Thomas P. Dove (Cal. Bar. No. 51921)

Alex C. Turan (Cal. Bar. No. 227273)

THE FURTH FIRM LLP 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 433-2070

Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

Francis O. Scarpulla (Cal. Bar. No. 41059)

LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS O.

SCARPULLA

44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 788-7210

Facsimile: (415) 788-0707

Craig C. Corbitt (Cal. Bar. No. 83251) ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL MASON & GETTE, LLP

44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 693-0700

Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Alex C. Turan, on behalf of Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes, hereby certify that true and correct copies of the following documents were served on July 11, 2005, via U.S. mail on the court, counsel and/or parties of record listed below:

- Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Motion to Transfer and Coordinate or Consolidate for Pretrial Proceedings in the Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407;
- Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Motion to Transfer and Coordinate or Consolidate for Pretrial Proceedings in the Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407;
- Exhibits to Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Motion to Transfer and Coordinate or Consolidate for Pretrial Proceedings in the Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407;
- Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Reasons Why Oral Argument Should Be Heard;
- Certificate of Service;
- Cover letter to Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California; and
- Cover letter to Clerk, United States District Court for the District of Delaware.

COURTS

Clerk of Court U.S. District Court Northern District of California 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 522-2000

Clerk of Court
U.S. District Court
District of Delaware
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 573-6170

56625.1 -1-

DEFENDANT

Intel Corporation c/o CT Corporation System 818 West Seventh Street Los Angeles, CA 90017

PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL IN RELATED ACTIONS

Lee M. Gordon

Elaine T. Byszewski

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP

700 South Flower Street, Suite 2940

Los Angeles, CA 90017-4101

Telephone:

(213) 330-7150

Facsimile:

(213) 330-7152

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Lipton, Konieczka, Prohias, Hamilton and Niehaus Actions

Steve W. Berman

Anthony D. Shapiro

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP

1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900

Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone:

(206) 623-7292

Facsimile:

(206) 623-0594

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Lipton, Konieczka, Prohias, Hamilton and Niehaus Actions

Jeffrey F. Keller

Kathleen R. Scanlan

Law Offices of Jeffrey F. Keller

425 Second Street, Suite 500

San Francisco, CA 94107

Telephone:

(415) 543-1305

Facsimile:

(415) 543-7861

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Lipton, Konieczka, Prohias, Hamilton, Niehaus and Baxley Actions

Jeffrey S. Goldenberg

John C. Murdock

Murdock Goldenberg Schneider & Groh, L.P.A.

700 Walnut Street, Suite 400

Cincinnati, OH 45202-2011

Telephone:

(513) 345-8291

Facsimile:

(513) 345-8294

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Konieczka and Niehaus Actions

Lance A. Harke

Howard M. Bushman

Harke & Clasby LLP

155 South Miami Avenue, Suite 600

Miami, FL 33130

Telephone:

(305) 536-8222

(305) 536-8229

Facsimile:

Counsel for Plaintiff in *Prohias* Action

-2-56625.1

Francis O. Scarpulla Law Offices of Francis O. Scarpulla

44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 788-7210 Facsimile: (415) 788-0707

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Brauch Action

Craig C. Corbitt
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400

San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Brauch Action

Mark Reinhardt Garrett D. Blanchfield, Jr. Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield 332 Minnesota Street, Suite E-1250

St. Paul, MN 55101 Telephone: (651) 287-2100 Facsimile: (651) 287-2103

Counsel for Plaintiff in Baxley Action

Eric J. Belfi Murray Frank & Sailer, LLP 275 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 682-1818

Facsimile: (212) 682-1818

Counsel for Plaintiff in Baxley Action

Michele C. Jackson Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Frazier Action

David S. Stellings
Jennifer Gross
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP
780 Third Avenue, 48th Floor
New York, NY 10017-2024
Telephone: (212) 355-9500
Facsimile: (212) 355-9592

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Frazier Action

56625.1 -3-

Spencer Hosie

Bruce J. Wecker

Hosie McArthur LLP

One Market

Spear Street Tower, #2200

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone:

(415) 247-6000

Facsimile:

(415) 247-6001

Counsel for Plaintiff in Dickerson Action

Guido Saveri

R. Alexander Saveri

Geoffrey C. Rushing

Cadio Zirpoli

Saveri & Saveri, Inc.

111 Pine Street, Suite 1700

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone:

(415) 217-6810

Facsimile:

(415) 217-6813

Counsel for Plaintiff in Harman Press Action

Randy R. Renick

Law Offices of Randy R. Renick

128 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204

Pasadena, CA 91103

Facsimile:

Telephone: (626) 585-9608 (626) 585-9610

Counsel for Plaintiff in Harman Press Action

Jesse A. Finkelstein

Frederick L. Cottrell, III

Chad M. Shandler

Steven J. Fineman

Richards, Layton & Finger

One Rodney Square

P.O. Box 551

Wilmington, DE 19899

Telephone:

(302) 651-7500

Facsimile:

(302) 651-7701

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Advanced Micro Devices Action

Charles P. Diamond

Linda J. Smith

O'Melveny & Myers LLP

1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 900.67

Telephone: (310) 246-6800

Facsimile:

(310) 246-6779

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Advanced Micro Devices Action

-4-56625.1

Mark A. Samuels

O'Melveny & Myers LLP

400 South Hope Street

Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899 Telephone: (213) 430-6340 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Advanced Micro Devices Action

Pamela S. Tikellis

Robert J. Kriner, Jr.

A. Zachary Naylor

Robert R. Davis

Chimicles & Tikellis LLP

One Rodney Square

P.O. Box 1035

Wilmington, DE 19999

Telephone:

(302) 656-2500

Facsimile:

(302) 656-9053

Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in Kidwell Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in Rainwater Action

Francis M. Gregorek

Betsy C. Manifold

Francis A. Bottini, Jr.

Rachele R. Rickert

Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP

750 B Street, Suite 2770

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 239-4599

Facsimile:

(619) 234-4599

Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in Kidwell Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in Rainwater Action

Fred Taylor Isquith

Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP

270 Madison Avenue

New York, NY 10016

Telephone:

(212) 545-4600

Facsimile:

(212) 545-4653

Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in Kidwell Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in Rainwater Action

Mary Jane Edelstein Fait

Adam J. Levitt

Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP

55 West Monroe Street, Suite 1111

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone:

(312) 984-0000

Facsimile:

(312) 984-0001

Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in Kidwell Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in Rainwater Action

-5-56625.1

Ann Lugbill

2406 Auburn Avenue Cincinnati, OH 45219

Telephone:

(513) 784-1280

Facsimile:

(513) 784-1449

Counsel for Plaintiff Reeder in Kidwell Action

Brandon N. Voelker 28 West 5th Street Covington, KY 41011

Telephone: (859) 491-5551

Counsel for Plaintiff Reeder in Kidwell Action

Gene Summerlin

Ogborn, Summerlin & Ogborn, P.C.

210 Windsor Place 330 South Tenth Street Lincoln, NE 68508

Telephone: Facsimile:

(402) 434-8040

(402) 434-8044

Counsel for Plaintiffs JWRE, Moeller and Harms in Kidwell Action

Robert J. Sharkey

Vandervoort, Christ & Fisher, PC Fifth Third Bank Building, Suite 312

67 West Michigan Avenue Battle Creek, MI 49017

Telephone: (269) 965-7000

Facsimile:

(269) 965-0646

Counsel for Plaintiff Rainwater in Rainwater Action

Richard A. Lockridge Robert K. Shelquist

Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.

100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200

Minneapolis, MN 55401 Telephone: (612) 339-6900

(612) 339-0981 Facsimile:

Counsel for Plaintiff Chapman in Rainwater Action

Noah Golden-Krasner

Law Offices of Noah Golden-Krasner

354 West Main Street Madison, WI 53703

Telephone:

(608) 441-8924

(608) 442-9494 Facsimile:

Counsel for Plaintiff Yaco in Rainwater Action

Joel Friedlander

James G. McMillan, III

Bouchard Margules & Friedlander, P.A.

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400

Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone: Facsimile:

(302) 573-3500 (302) 573-3501

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Kravitz Action

-6-56625.1

Daniel Hume
David Kovel
Kirby McInerney & Squire LLP
830 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 371-6600

Telephone: (212) 371-6600 Facsimile: (212) 751-2540

Counsel for Plaintiffs in Kravitz Action

Dated: July 11, 2005

THE FURTH FIRM LLP

By:

Alex C. Turan (Cal. Bar. No. 227273

THE FURTH FIRM LLP 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-2070 Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes