IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES,

Plaintiffs,

O5-441-JJF

V.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Teleconference in above matter taken pursuant to notice before Renee A. Meyers, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, Wilmington, Delaware, on Friday, October 20, 2006, beginning at approximately 11:30 a.m., there being present:

BEFORE:

VINCENT J. POPPITI, ESQ., SPECIAL MASTER

APPEARANCES:

O'MELVENY & MYERS
CHARLES DIAMOND, ESQ.
LINDA J. SMITH, ESQ.
ILESHA HANCOCK, ESQ.
1999 Avenue of the Stars
Los Angeles, California 90067
for AMD

CORBETT & WILCOX
Registered Professional Reporters
230 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 571-0510
www.corbettreporting.com

```
Page 2
 1 APPEARANCES (Continued):
            RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER
 2
            FREDERICK L. COTTRELL, ESQ.
 3
            STEVEN J. FINEMAN, ESQ.
               One Rodney Square
 4
               Wilmington, DE 19899
             for AMD
 5
            POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON
            RICHARD L. HOROWITZ, ESQ.
 6
                1313 North Market Street, 6th Floor
               Wilmington, DE 19899
 7
             DARON BERNHARDT, ESQ.
 8
             DANIEL FLOYD, ESQ.
             for Intel
 9
            PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT
             JAMES L. HOLZMAN, ESQ.
10
            CLAY ATHEY, ESQ.
                1310 King Street
11
               Wilmington, DE 19801
            ALLISON BAKER, ESQ.
12
            THOMAS DOVE, ESQ.
13
            for Class
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

- 1 SPECIAL MASTER: Roll call. Start with
- 2 AMD, please.
- 3 MR. COTTRELL: Yes, Judge. Fred
- 4 Cottrell and Steve Fineman at Richards, Layton in
- 5 Wilmington, and, of course, from O'Melveny, Chuck
- 6 Diamond, Linda Smith, and Ilesha Hancock.
- 7 SPECIAL MASTER: For Intel, please.
- 8 MR. HOROWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 9 It's Rich Horowitz at Potter, Anderson here in
- 10 Wilmington, and on the line also, Daron Bernhardt from
- 11 Howry and Daniel Floyd from Gibson Dunn.
- JUDGE POPPITI: Thank you.
- 13 Mr. Holzman for the Class.
- MR. HOLZMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Jim
- 15 Holzman, Prickett, Jones for the Class, along with my
- 16 partner, Clay Athey. Also on the phone is Allison Baker
- 17 of the Cohen Millstein firm and Tom Dove.
- 18 JUDGE POPPITI: Thank you. And I do
- 19 sincerely appreciate all of you accommodating a quick
- 20 request that I made yesterday in spite of e-mail that you
- 21 saw -- actually, it was a telephone call that I received
- 22 late in the day from Mr. Dillon, Tim Dillon, requesting,
- 23 I guess help is the best way to describe it, and I didn't
- 24 want to have an extended telephone conversation with him,

Page 4 1 I thought that was inappropriate. I did tell him that I thought, though, 3 it was important for me to have whatever document he was 4 referring me to so that I could respond in what I think 5 was an appropriate fashion and then engage all of you on 6 the issue that precipitated Mr. Dillon's telephone call, 7 namely, and perhaps I should add that I received, and I 8 think some of you may have received an e-mail late 9 yesterday night, late, not that late, 6:42, I was not in 10 the office, Wells Fargo, of a similar nature, namely, 11 copying me on correspondence in light of what I expect is 12 AMD's practice and what I expect may be Intel's practice 13 of trying to engage third parties with respect to 14 documents that you intend to divulge in third parties to 15 make appropriate responses, that is, objections, to me if 16 they have issue with respect to any individual document. The concern is this: Knowing that, and 17 18 I think this is a fair way to say it, you don't want me 19 involved unless I need to be involved. I don't know that 20 I need to be involved at this stage of the game unless 21 there is an application that is made consistent with 22 everyone's expectation under the -- under the rules of 23 engagement, if you will. And, yet, I have a sense that, by virtue

24

- 1 of AMD's letter to third parties, it may be that others
- 2 are going to make an effort to either contact me for
- 3 quote/unquote guidance, which I don't think is
- 4 appropriate, or at least contact me and ask me what, you
- 5 know, What is this all about? How can I get an extension
- 6 on the time that, for example, AMD is proposing in its
- 7 letter that initiated the contact from Mr. Dillon.
- 8 That's really the backdrop of some of my
- 9 concern to want to get you all on the line today to say,
- 10 you know, When is it appropriate to approach Vincent
- 11 Poppiti with a dispute and did you all intend that it be
- 12 this early and in this fashion?
- MR. DIAMOND: Judge, this is Chuck
- 14 Diamond of O'Melveny. And probably, for purposes of this
- 15 call, you just need Dan Floyd and me because, in the
- 16 first instance, we have been the ones who have been
- 17 wrestling with the nondisclosure agreement issue, but I'd
- 18 like to just give you sort of some perspective on this.
- 19 This was an issue we anticipated, as did you, and it's
- 20 dealt with in our protective order.
- JUDGE POPPITI: Yes.
- 22 MR. DIAMOND: The protective order
- 23 specifies that neither of us can withhold documents from
- 24 the other based on the existence of non-disclosure

```
Page 6
 1 agreements that may cover them so long as we agree to
 2 treat the documents as confidential discovery material.
                    JUDGE POPPITI: Yes.
 3
                    MR. DIAMOND: And so long as we notify
 5 affected parties.
                    JUDGE POPPITI: Correct.
 6
                    MR. DIAMOND: We -- in trying to meet
 8 the judge's new target date of March to complete our
 9 document exchange among the parties, we are poised to
10 begin the initial exchange and we are talking millions of
11 pages.
12
                    JUDGE POPPITI: Yes.
                    MR. DIAMOND: Literally millions of
13
14 pages. It is -- and you need to understand that in the
15 -- in the high tech. business, virtually every
16 conversation or every exchange of documents between
17 corporations is accompanied by a nondisclosure agreement.
18 I think they print the terms on the toilet paper in Santa
19 Clara.
20
                    MR. FLOYD: Two or three ply?
21
                    MR. DIAMOND: In triplicate, probably.
                    We have a database, I remember giving
22
23 the Dan the number, and I think it's in the neighborhood
24 of -- or in excess of 8,000 nondisclosure agreements.
```

- 1 can only imagine that Intel's is a multiple of that.
- 2 Unless we want to complete this document
- 3 exchange by March 27th, 2027, it is impossible for our
- 4 document reviewers to look at each page of AMD material,
- 5 hold it up against a list of 8,000 nondisclosure
- 6 agreements, and make a judgment as to whether anything on
- 7 that page may be covered by it.
- JUDGE POPPITI: I understand.
- 9 MR. DIAMOND: So Mr. Floyd and I, being
- 10 what we consider reasonable people, decided that the best
- 11 way to deal with this problem was simply to call our
- 12 lists, try to identify the individuals and companies with
- 13 whom our companies had nondisclosure agreements, whose
- 14 material could reasonably conceivably be included in a
- 15 production --
- JUDGE POPPITI: Yes.
- 17 MR. DIAMOND: -- and put them on notice
- 18 but with appropriate assurances that they were not going
- 19 to be compromised.
- JUDGE POPPITI: I understand.
- 21 MR. DIAMOND: And I haven't seen Dan's
- 22 letter. I know they did send some of them out. Dan has
- 23 my letter.
- MR. FLOYD: This is Dan Floyd. I

Page 8 1 believed that they had gone out yesterday, but I believe, 2 in fact, they are going to go out today, the first try. 3 So I just wanted to clarify that. MR. DIAMOND: But, in any event, the 5 letter, as you can see, says we are bound to engage in a 6 mass of document exchange. It may include materials that 7 are subject to a nondisclosure agreement in every 8 company. It may not, but it may. The volume is really 9 too great for us to practically identify any documents. 1.0 Here is the protective order. 11 how it's going to work. At a minimum, should anybody ask 12 that a document that contains your information be 13 de-designated, we will call that to your attention as 14 well as the document that they want to be designated and 15 give you an opportunity to participate and object. Otherwise, you are safe to assume that 16 17 your documents are going to be treated pursuant to the 18 confidentiality order and they will remain confidential 19 and non-public and will not be exchanged to business 20 people of the non-producing party. Needless to say, you know, with in-house 21 22 counsel at virtually all of these thousands of companies, 23 there are going to be a handful who are still nervous and

24 who don't appreciate the magnitude of the exchange we are

- 1 about to embark on and the impracticality of trying to
- 2 identify document by document what may be covered by
- 3 their agreement.
- 4 Ms. Hancock has been fielding all of the
- 5 telephone calls that AMD has received and that we at
- 6 O'Melveny have received, and, by and large, people are
- 7 being reasonable.
- JUDGE POPPITI: Okay.
- 9 MR. DIAMOND: I assume there are going
- 10 to be a couple of outliers who are going to want you to
- 11 rewrite a new set rules just for them. And we have
- 12 already seen it with Kyocera, who would like to amend the
- 13 protective order and preclude the designated litigation
- 14 inside counsel from seeing Kyocera's documents. You
- 15 know, I am not asking you to prejudge any of this, but,
- 16 obviously, we will all be in turmoil if we have several
- 17 hundred different sets of agreements applying to
- 18 different people.
- 19 JUDGE POPPITI: I understand.
- 20 MR. DIAMOND: And we were hoping that we
- 21 will have a uniform regime. Virtually all of the
- 22 arguments that anybody could raise about the protective
- 23 order were raised by the subpoenaed third parties, so you
- 24 have probably heard from that constituency and -- and it

Page 10 1 was probably as voluble as it could have been. You know, I doubt that anybody is going 3 to be able -- will make any argument for particularized 4 treatment that suggests that you should treat them 5 separately and you ought to have a different protective 6 regime for them. But we followed the protective order. 7 We gave notice to the parties, whose materials we think 8 we may be including in our production, we gave them a 9 reasonable period to object, sent them the rules for 10 proceedings before Special Master. JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. 11 MR. DIAMOND: And, most importantly, we 12 13 have tried to head off any problems. 14 We are happy to get in touch with, and, 15 Ilesha can tell me whether she's had discussions with 16 Kyocera's counsel, we are happy to try to assuage their 17 concerns, but if not, they need to file a formal 18 objection and we will respond to it. 19 You know, I point out that, as a 20 practical matter, we can't start the production until the 21 last of the objections is resolved, and I understand 22 that, because we don't know, you know, whose material --23 so one outlier could hold up this whole process. Our letters went out in sufficient time 24

- 1 so that we will begin -- we will be ready to begin an
- 2 exchange absent any outstanding objections on the 1st of
- 3 November or shortly thereafter. I think Intel is a
- 4 little bit behind us because their letter is just going
- 5 out now.
- 6 JUDGE POPPITI: I think it's important
- 7 for me to say I certainly, by virtue of reading AMD's
- 8 letter, understand what you intend to do. I understand
- 9 how you -- I understand why you did it and the way you
- 10 are doing it. My concern is that I am not sure it's
- 11 clear to the third parties, at least based on my one
- 12 contact from Mr. Dillon, how I fit into the process of
- 13 before they raise a legitimate objection to a particular
- 14 document.
- MR. FLOYD: This is Dan Floyd from
- 16 Intel. I would say that, for us, what we will do -- I
- 17 will just, our letters are going to go out -- I think
- 18 what we will want to do is make sure that, in the first
- 19 instance, if there is an issue, that they contact us
- 20 directly.
- JUDGE POPPITI: I think that's --
- MR. FLOYD: And then we can say that if
- 23 they have an issue, then we can try to work it out with
- 24 them and then we can work with them to present it to you

Page 12 1 in as expeditious a fashion as possible, something along 2 those lines. So, that way, in the first instance, they 3 are not just contacting you for advice or for 4 information. So at least we can deal with our side. I think Mr. Diamond's explanation of the 6 circumstances was basically accurate. We are on board 7 with that. That's why we have this approach. They may 8 have some issues now. Unfortunately, we are trying to 9 deal with, maybe there will only be one or two and it 10 won't be an issue, but we will try to head that off in 11 terms of our letter so we don't create a further issue in 12 that regard. SPECIAL MASTER: I think that will be 13 14 very helpful and I think that was really my concern. I 15 didn't want to be -- I didn't want there to be an 16 expectation that I was going to be receiving requests for 17 clarification, requests for assistance unless it was 18 within the process. And I wanted you all to be aware of 19 that sooner than later. And I think, with that, and I 20 would expect that Intel, by virtue of being on the 21 telecon and understanding my concern, will address it in 22 a similar fashion with their letters.

24 think you have heard from everybody you are going to hear

23

MR. DIAMOND: Yeah. And I don't -- I

- 1 from, barring, you know, those who, after, you know,
- 2 after we talked to are still dissatisfied. Ultimately,
- 3 we are going to have to tell them to file a formal
- 4 objection if they are not happy with this regime because
- 5 it's, as I say, for the reasons we have set out, it's
- 6 just impossible to have a separate scheme applied to each
- 7 and every disclosure agreement signatory. It will just
- 8 be nightmare-ish.
- 9 For the same reason, we are reluctant to
- 10 sort of liberally extend time because simply extending
- 11 the time for one objector has the impact of pushing back
- 12 the commencement of the document exchange because we
- 13 can't take the risk that we are going to inadvertently
- 14 produce somebody's confidential information while that
- 15 objection is outstanding.
- 16 SPECIAL MASTER: I understand that.
- 17 MR. DIAMOND: So we have been trying to
- 18 be reasonable, but, nonetheless --
- 19 JUDGE POPPITI: I understand that. Are
- 20 there any other comments, then, with respect to the issue
- 21 that I raised? Okay. If none, then I, to all, I expect
- 22 that got my correspondence of yesterday, and I will just
- 23 leave it to you all to update me by the end of business
- 24 today on the information I requested in that letter

```
Page 14
 1 rather than asking you to do that now.
                    MR. DIAMOND: That's fine because we
 2
 3 need to talk.
                   JUDGE POPPITI: And I anticipated you
 5 would. Thank you all for jumping on the phone as quickly
 6 as you did from a request from yesterday. I sincerely
 7 appreciate it. Have a great day.
                  (The teleconference was adjourned at
 9 11:47 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
CERTIFICATE.
 1
 2 STATE OF DELAWARE:
 3 NEW CASTLE COUNTY:
            I, Renee A. Meyers, a Registered Professional
 5 Reporter, within and for the County and State aforesaid,
 6 do hereby certify that the foregoing arbitration hearing
 7 was taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and
 8 place indicated; that said witness was by me duly sworn
 9 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
10 truth; that the testimony of said witness was correctly
11 recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter
12 transcribed under my supervision with computer-aided
13 transcription; that the foregoing arbitration hearing is
14 a true record of the testimony given by the witness; and
15 that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said
16 action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.
17
            WITNESS my hand and official seal this 26th day
18 of October A.D. 2006.
19
20
21
               RENEE A. MEYERS
                REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
                CERTIFICATION NO. 106-RPR
22
               (Expires January 31, 2008)
23
24
```