0001 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 3 ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, : 4 Plaintiff, 5 v. : Civil Action No. : 05-441-JJF 6 INTEL CORPORATION, : Defendant. 7 8 Teleconference in the above matter taken 9 pursuant to notice before Gloria M. D'Amore, Registered 10 Professional Reporter, in the law offices of Blank Rome, LLP, 1201 N. Market Street, Suite 800, Wilmington, 11 12 Delaware, on Thursday, November 16, 2006, beginning at 13 approximately 11:05 a.m., there being present: 14 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE VINCENT J. POPPITI 15 **APPEARANCES:** 16 O'MELVENY & MYERS BY: CHARLES DIAMOND, ESQUIRE 17 and LINDA J. SMITH, ESQUIRE 1999 Avenue of the Stars 18 Los Angeles, California 90067 19 Attorneys for AMD 20 21 22 23 CORBETT & WILCOX Registered Professional Reporters 24 230 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801 0002 1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 2 RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER 3 BY: FREDERICK L. COTTRELL, III, ESQUIRE and CHAD M. SHANDLER, ESQUIRE 4 One Rodney Square 920 N. King Street 5 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 6 Attorneys for AMD 7 POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON BY: RICHARD L. HORWITZ, ESQUIRE 8 1313 N. Market Street, 6th Floor P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, Delaware 19899 9 Attorney for Intel 10 On behalf of GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 11 BY: DANIEL FLOYD, ESOUIRE Attorney for Intel 12

On behalf of HOWREY 13 DARREN BERNHARDT, ESQUIRE Attorney for Intel 14 On behalf of BINGHAM, McCUTCHEN 15 RICHARD RIPLEY, ESQUIRE Attorney for Intel 16 PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT 17 BY: JAMES L. HOLZMAN, ESQUIRE 1310 King Street 18 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Attorney for Plaintiff, Class 19 On behalf of Cohen Milstein 20 DAN SMALL, ESQUIRE Attorney for Plaintiff, Class 21 22 23 24 0003 JUDGE POPPITI: Please do a roll call. 1 MR. COTTRELL: In Wilmington for AMD is 2 3 Fred Cottrell and Chad Shandler, and, of course, on the 4 West Coast from O'Melveny and Myers is Chuck Diamond and 5 Linda Smith. JUDGE POPPITI: Next, please. 6 7 MR. FLOYD: Dan Floyd from Gibson, Dunn 8 and Crutcher for Intel. 9 JUDGE POPPITI: Next. 10 MR. HORWITZ: Your Honor, it's Rich 11 Horwitz in Wilmington for Intel, as well, with Potter, 12 Anderson. And Darren Bernhardt from --13 JUDGE POPPITI: Mr. Horwitz, would you 14 go back over that. There was some interference on the 15 line. I didn't hear what you said. 16 MR. HORWITZ: Rich Horwitz from Potter 17 Anderson for Intel. And also on the line for Intel is 18 Daron Bernhardt from Howrey and Rick Ripley from Bingham, 19 McCutchen. 20 MR. HOLZMAN: Jim Holzman at Prickett, 21 Jones for the plaintiff, Class, along with Dan Small of 22 the Cohen Milstein firm in Washington. 23 JUDGE POPPITI: Thank you. Does that 24 round out everyone? 0004 1 Mr. Cottrell, are you taking the lead 2 today? 3 MR. COTTRELL: I think Chuck had a few 4 things, and then we can add, if necessary. 5 MR. DIAMOND: Judge, there were three issues that it seemed appropriate to raise with you this 6 7 morning. 8 One is the status of the MDA responses 9 that both AMD and Intel have been receiving from parties 10 whose information we may be producing for one another.

The second is the letter that was sent 11 to you, at least, under Paul Weiss' letterhead --12 13 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. I have that. MR. DIAMOND: -- dated November 13th and 14 15 what to do with that during the pendency of the currently 16 brief Intel -- I'm sorry -- our motion to compel foreign 17 conduct discovery. 18 And then, the third issue is sort of an 19 update as to where we stand with third-party 20 negotiations. You wanted to be able to monitor that. 21 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. 22 MR. DIAMOND: So, I thought we ought to 23 give you sort of a thumbnail sketch of where we stand. 24 JUDGE POPPITI: That's great. 0005 1 MR. DIAMOND: We can do those in any 2 order you prefer. 3 JUDGE POPPITI: You call off the order, 4 follow the order that you suggested. I'm fine with that. 5 MR. DIAMOND: Let me start with the 6 MDA's then. I think there were about a half dozen --7 four to a half dozen letters that were sent to you in 8 total. We received about a dozen or so letters from 9 recipients of our notification letter. 10 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. 11 MR. DIAMOND: We have resolved those 12 issues with respect to everyone who has written to you. JUDGE POPPITI: That's great. 13 14 MR. DIAMOND: At least we think so. 15 The last one we provided information to about 10 days ago, we haven't heard anything further, and 16 17 they haven't returned calls or E-mails. So, I think that 18 one is resolved, as well. 19 However, we recently got it, and I just 20 confirmed that Intel received the same thing from -- you will remember Mr. Holstein, who represented the 21 22 subpoenaed parties in connection with the protective 23 order. 24 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. 0006 MR. DIAMOND: He has written on behalf 1 2 of his clients, HP and an HP subsidiary, objecting to the 3 way that AMD and Intel proposes to proceed and request 4 the right to inspect any document that is or contains any materials subject to a nondisclosure agreement with HP. 5 6 For the reasons that we've discussed, 7 particularly with a company like HP, that will be so 8 dominant in both our corporate vials, that's, for 9 practical reasons, a nonstarter. 10 We have conveyed that to both 11 Mr. Holstein and the client level to the inside counsel's office and are in discussions. I don't know where Intel 12 13 stands on that. 14 But we are desperate to get this 15 document exchange rolling. And I think we need to, or I 16 think you need to sort of set a fish or cut bait time 17 very soon, perhaps, as early as next week that -- you

18 know -- to the extent we haven't worked out any problems 19 with any of the recipients that we tee that up in front 20 of you and get it resolved. 21 I'm becoming increasingly concerned 22 about the April document exchange cutoff, if we are 23 continued to be delayed by these third-party objections, 2.4 which ultimately you will have to resolve, and we don't 0007 view as well taken in view of the protective order. 1 2 It is probably useful to hear from Intel 3 as to where they stand. 4 JUDGE POPPITI: I agree. 5 MR. BERNHARDT: This is Daron Bernhardt, б Your Honor. 7 I think, generally, we would be 8 amendable to some sort of cutoff date. I rather not do 9 it next week just because of the holiday, but if we can 10 do it the end of the following week. 11 We have not started the negotiations 12 with HP because I think we sent our letter after AMD did, 13 and we just received Mr. Holstein's letter. 14 We would hope to be able to do this 15 through some sort of negotiated resolution. Most of the 16 third parties have not raised any objection to the 17 production of documents given that there is a protective 18 order in place. And we are going to try to engage with 19 HP to see if we can alleviate their concerns either by 20 agreeing to give them some sort of truncated list, some 21 word searches or something, or a date cutoff, or getting 22 them to have a better understanding of the protections 23 the protective order provides. 24 But in any event, if we could have a 0008 1 little bit of time to do that, I'm optimistic that we 2 would be able to resolve it with them short of a motion. If there has to be a motion, there has to be a motion. 3 4 I agree with what Mr. Diamond has said, 5 that for all practical purposes, having to identify every 6 single document from every third party just would not be 7 workable for either AMD or Intel. 8 JUDGE POPPITI: Any other comments about 9 that issue? 10 Well, it seems to me that if next week 11 doesn't work because of the holiday, certainly pick any 12 day in the following week that makes sense to the both of 13 you to permit the amount of discussion, dialogue, 14 negotiation that you need. And then, once that date 15 passes, permit there to be motion practice on the issue. 16 And I'm happy to let you pick that date. 17 MR. DIAMOND: This is Mr. Diamond. 18 In light of Mr. Bernhardt's comments, I 19 don't know that we need a hard-and-fast date. I think if 2.0 you tell us this needs to be resolved by the end of the 21 week of November 27th, if we reach impasse with HP 22 earlier than that, we're just going to tee this up and it will get resolved when it gets resolved. 23 24 We'll file on Monday the 27th, but I

1 don't know that you'll want, necessarily, to wait until 2 Friday, December 1st. If we know we are not going to get 3 anywhere, we will go ahead and tee this up. 4 JUDGE POPPITI: Then here is what I 5 would --6 MR. BERNHARDT: Your Honor, could I just mention one other point. This is Mr. Bernhardt again. 7 8 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes, please. 9 MR. BERNHARDT: I should have mentioned 10 this before. 11 As a result of getting AMD's MDA list 12 and some additional work that we have done, we may have a 13 few more letters that have to be sent out. I just want 14 to alert the court to that. There ought not to be too 15 many and, hopefully, they won't raise objections. 16 But given that we have been given two 17 weeks' time to get that notice to get a response back for 18 every third party, the last week of November may not work 19 for us. Certainly, for those where the letters have 20 already been sent, it would work. 21 JUDGE POPPITI: Mr. Diamond. 22 MR. DIAMOND: Unless --JUDGE POPPITI: We don't want to be 23 24 doing these piecemeal. 0010 1 MR. DIAMOND: Unless Mr. Bernhardt can 2 filter out any documents from those companies, what this 3 means is, the date last for the last objector to file the 4 last objection is really the first date that we can begin 5 the document exchange. 6 I would think that under these 7 circumstances, maybe what we ought to do is propose that 8 we agree that seven days to object in the case of these 9 outliers be the notice period rather than the 15. So, if 10 they go out now, we can tee this up quickly. Obviously, 11 if somebody needs more than seven days to sort out their 12 difficulties, they can come back and ask Daron for some 13 additional time, and we can discuss that. 14 But, again, if we start a 15-day clock 15 running the end of this week, given the fact that 16 unresolved objections are going to take two weeks to 17 resolve, potentially an appeal to the District Court --18 we will be putting off this exchange until some time in 19 mid-January. And that's just hopeless, from our 20 standpoint. 21 Any objection that we just give seven 22 days notice on the last couple of letters that go out. 23 MR. BERNHARDT: No objection from us, 24 Your Honor. 0011 1 JUDGE POPPITI: Well, if you think it's 2 going to work given the holiday, then I will let you 3 drive the train, so to speak. 4 And what I would like, because I think 5 it's important for whatever order that gets entered, I 6 would like you to draft the form of order that would be

0009

7 entered so that the third parties, I expect they're 8 monitoring the docket, can see whatever language you 9 choose. 10 MR. DIAMOND: I will undertake to draft 11 something and shoot it by Mr. Bernhardt and Mr. Floyd. 12 JUDGE POPPITI: Should I look for that by date certain or just leave it to your energy to get it 13 done and in due course? 14 15 MR. DIAMOND: We will probably have 16 something to you by Monday. 17 JUDGE POPPITI: That's fine. 18 MR. DIAMOND: I will certainly have 19 something to Daron by tomorrow. 20 JUDGE POPPITI: Okay. That's fine. 21 And I think what we may want to be sensitive to, knowing 22 everyone's concern about getting this rolling and getting 23 it accomplished by the date that the court has already 24 set, should we discuss now whether there -- with respect 0012 1 to motion practice, are we satisfied that the process for 2 motion practice should stay as it is in terms of the time frames involved, or should there be a separate schedule, 3 4 if you will, and truncated? 5 MR. DIAMOND: With respect to the MDA 6 issue? 7 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. I anticipate that 8 whatever motion practice there is, the way I would like 9 to approach it, and this is best circumstance, is it 10 opens and closes as quickly and efficiently as possible. 11 And we set a date when there can be a telephonic hearing 12 on those motions and a decision can be made during the 13 course of the hearing with a form of order as we've 14 contemplated in earlier discussion drafted by you, so 15 that I don't have to take the time necessary to create 16 the kind of record that the court would expect me to 17 create with any motion on a finding and recommendation. 18 I mean, I don't know whether you want to 19 discuss that now, or you want to give it some thought. 20 What I don't want to do is to set forth in a process that 21 is going to get in any sense bogged down. I don't want 22 it to be. 23 MR. BERNHARDT: Your Honor, this is 24 Daron Bernhardt. 0013 1 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes, please. MR. BERNHARDT: 2 What I would suggest is 3 that we consult with AMD's counsel on this and get back to you. I think we actually are consulting with them on 4 5 some other issues tomorrow and maybe we could put that on 6 our agenda. But I would like the chance to think about 7 it and to talk to them about it -- the proposal. 8 JUDGE POPPITI: That's fine. And, 9 perhaps, if you all agree, then, I know you'll tell me 10 that. If you can do it by Monday, that would be great 11 because I think I would like to wrap whatever we do into 12 the order that I'm going to be entering. 13 And if necessary, during your meet and

14 confer, although I got a fairly busy schedule tomorrow, 15 if you all put out a call for me, I'll find a way to get 16 back to you. 17 MR. DIAMOND: We will put it on the 18 agenda for us to discuss between ourselves. 19 JUDGE POPPITI: Next matter, please. 20 MR. DIAMOND: The November 13th letter 21 from Paul Weiss' firm. 22 Let me say for the record, I am speaking 23 on behalf of AMD only with respect to Fujitsu and NEC. 24 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. 0014 1 MR. DIAMOND: AMD is separately 2 represented in connection with negotiations by two firms 3 with respect to Sony, and one of Mr. Cottrell's partner's is handling the Toshiba negotiations. 4 5 I don't know, quite frankly, Your Honor 6 what to make of this letter. Let me just give you the 7 background. 8 We, after serving subpoenas on the 9 Japanese OEM, the four named here and the one additional 10 one, we entered into an agreement that, in substitution for compliance with the subpoena, each of the Japanese 11 12 OEM's could produce to AMD, in the first instance the 13 documents that they gave or had collected from them by 14 the Japanense Fair Trade Commission, which conducted an 15 investigation into Intel's practices in 2005. 16 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. I'm aware of it, 17 and I'm familiar with it. 18 MR. DIAMOND: The understanding was, we 19 would use that collection of documents, which, obviously, 20 would be very easy to collect and produce to narrow the 21 universe of our request both in terms of custodians who 22 we were interested in the subject matter. 23 We bore all of the expense for the 24 copying of production of those materials. And we agreed 0015 to discuss in the future an allocation of expense with 1 2 respect to any further production. 3 About three to four weeks ago, we sent 4 to each of the four signatories to this letter a revised 5 proposal significantly narrowing the scope of our 6 request, in light of what they had already produced to 7 us. 8 We have not heard back from a single one 9 of them. No objections. No proposal to narrow. No 10 discussions whatsoever as to scope. 11 And I had assumed that was because they 12 are all hanging fire waiting for the outcome of our 13 motion to compel. Intel's production of foreign conduct 14 documents. 15 This letter, as far as I'm concerned, is 16 wholly out of compliance with what we would have 17 expected. 18 JUDGE POPPITI: It looks like an 19 uninvited amicus. 20 MR. DIAMOND: Well, I won't put Dan and

21 Daron on the spot, but I suspect it was invited. 22 JUDGE POPPITI: Okay. 23 MR. DIAMOND: But in any event, your 24 Rule 3 of your June 28th procedures --0016 1 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. MR. DIAMOND: -- is guite expressed that 2 3 there has to be a meet and confer. If we're going to go 4 to war with the Japanese OEM's over compliance, number one, we're happy to talk to them both about narrowing 5 6 scope and assuming some portion of the costs of 7 collecting documents as both we and Intel have done with 8 respect to all of the subpoenaed parties. And Linda can 9 comment more on those. But we haven't foreclosed that. 10 But it seems to me that if we're going to litigate this now, each of these can be litigated on a 11 12 case-by-case basis. There's history behind each of the 13 negotiations, and we're going to get into burden 14 questions and cost allocation questions that may be 15 rendered mute by -- well, that may well largely be 16 disposed of by your ruling on our motion to compel 17 Intel's production. 18 JUDGE POPPITI: Well, certainly that's 19 going to be a significantly umbrella and it may be. 20 MR. DIAMOND: I think we can all agree 21 that if we are not entitled to foreign conduct discovery, 22 bearing on Intel's activities with respect to purely foreign companies, we are probably not entitled to it 23 24 from the purely foreign companies themselves. I put a 0017 1 pin in that because companies like Sony are both domestic 2 and foreign. They operate and purchase microprocessors 3 for use here in the United States, as well as Asia. 4 JUDGE POPPITI: I understand. 5 MR. DIAMOND: But by and large, it seems 6 to me that it is premature to go litigate these things. 7 There is nothing raised with respect to the foreign 8 conduct issues in this letter that's not already on the 9 table by virtue of Intel's opposition. 10 And what I would propose is that we 11 contact, through the appropriate counsel, each of these 12 four parties and tell them that we have been instructed 13 to meet and confer with respect to the burden issues 14 before we litigate that. But in any event, it's your 15 preference to decide the foreign conduct discovery issue 16 in the context of the Intel motion, and then we will 17 visit these as necessary. 18 JUDGE POPPITI: Any other comments? 19 MR. BERNHARDT: Daron Bernhardt for 20 Intel, Your Honor. 21 I have two overall comments. One 22 procedural and one substantive. 23 The Japan OEM's have moved the court and 24 asked the court to address the jurisdictional decision 0018 1 that Judge Farnan made and the impact that has on 2 discovery as it applies to them.

3 And in their letter brief they state 4 that Your Honor's decision on AMD's discovery motion to 5 compel will have a great impact on them. They asked the 6 court to consider that letter now, and they set out all 7 of the reasons why they think it ought to be considered 8 now. 9 Now, outside of their presence, what AMD 10 is effectively doing is saying defer or strike their motion and we'll put it off. And, I think, that, with 11 12 all due respect to Mr. Diamond, that procedurally that's 13 not the appropriate way to go. Intel ought not to be in 14 the position of speaking on behalf of the third parties 15 simply because they agree with our interpretation of The 16 Court FTAIA decision. 17 And if AMD has a motion that it wants to 18 bring to strike or defer against that third party letter 19 brief, it ought to bring it, give the third parties the 20 opportunity to be heard on the issue, allow Intel to be 21 heard on it, and then the court can make a decision in 22 that fashion. I think that can be done very quickly. 23 AMD could get that on file in a day or two and the third parties and Intel can respond certainly by the end of the 24 0019 1 day Wednesday of next week. 2 JUDGE POPPITI: And let me make an 3 observation about that. I mean, it does seem to me that, 4 if you will, an amicus submittal may serve some purpose. 5 And yet, at the same time, if I were to 6 view this document as an amicus submittal, the document 7 is filed against some background of those facts contained 8 in the November 13th correspondence. 9 So, it really isn't, if you will, a 10 traditional amicus focused squarely on the issue that you 11 are teeing up for me. It does have, if you will, some 12 legs of its own. And if that's the case, should there 13 not, at least be requirement, if you all don't agree that this letter should stand, and they should be permitted to 14 15 participate, if you will, in the fashion of an amicus, do 16 you not agree that they should, at least, fulfill the 17 requirement of meet and confer. 18 MR. BERNHARDT: Your Honor, I think, 19 certainly, they could have a very quick conversation with 20 AMD about whether AMD is willing to completely forego 21 foreign conduct discovery. I think we know what the 22 answer already to that is. And, therefore, it would be a 23 proforma meet and confer, but the result would be that 24 they want to participate and have their views heard, as 0020 1 Your Honor adjudicates AMD's motion to compel against 2 Intel. 3 JUDGE POPPITI: And I'm not one for form 4 over substance. And yet, at the same time, I would be 5 very concerned at the front end of the process that I 6 will be involved with, I would, by virtue of in action 7 suggest that meet and confer isn't important because it 8 will be. 9 MR. BERNHARDT: One way to deal with

10 that, then, Your Honor, would be to ask AMD to try to 11 have that meet and confer in the next couple of days and 12 to report to the court some time next week about whether 13 any resolution is possible. 14 If the answer is, no, the court can then 15 take under submission this letter brief, have an amicus filing and use it for whatever value The Court deemed 16 17 that it has. And the court could separate out those 18 parts that are relevant to a filing as an amicus and 19 those parts that the court thinks raised separate issues 20 that could be deferred. 21 JUDGE POPPITI: Mr. Diamond. 22 MR. DIAMOND: Your Honor --23 JUDGE POPPITI: And Mr. Diamond, before 24 you respond, let me just make another observation. 0021 If an amicus makes any sense, and help 1 2 is always sensible, if it's helpful, I don't want to have 3 to perform the task of parsing out those sections that 4 are focused on the OEM's concerns themselves. If it's 5 supposed to be a pure amicus and it is expected to be 6 helpful, then I want it to be an amicus. I want it 7 focused on the issue that is before me. At least it 8 seems to me. 9 MR. DIAMOND: And I was going to sort of 10 raise the same objection to Mr. Bernhardt's last comment. 11 What parts of this are amicus and what parts of these are 12 motions. 13 I am happy to begin the meet and confer 14 process. Obviously, we are singularly focused in getting 15 a reply done and to you on Tuesday, and given the holiday 16 next week, this is not likely to happen until the 17 following week. 18 I have no objection if you treat the 19 portion of these letters dealing with the purely legal issue of foreign conduct discovery and take those into 20 21 account, if you think that there's anything worth taking 22 into account. 23 But a good portion of this letter is 24 devoted to the burden arguments. And those ought to be 0022 the subject of meet and confer because nobody knows how 1 2 burdensome this discovery is going to be because the 3 parties haven't defined what they need to do and what AMD 4 and Intel will pay for and what we won't pay for. 5 So, I think it's totally premature to 6 litigate those issues. 7 And I'm sensitive to Mr. Bernhardt's 8 comments about procedural niceties. 9 But on its face, this letter violates 10 your June 28th order. And I'm happy to write a letter to 11 you to that effect and ask you to strike it until such 12 time. 13 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes. And I was just 14 going to suggest that the procedural posture of the 15 letter, if you will, at least places before me the 16 argument being made on behalf of the OEM's.

17 And I think it's important for them to 18 have some voice in the matter, other than the letter. At 19 this juncture, we are on the phone without them. And it 20 seems to me that for purposes of making any judgment, 21 rounding out the record is important to do. 22 So, I will leave it to you, Mr. Diamond, 23 to do whatever you think is appropriate with respect to 24 this letter. And depending upon what you do, I think it 0023 1 may be important for me to convene, if necessary, a 2 conference, so that I can have the OEM's voice or argue 3 their position. 4 And I think it is also important that in 5 having whatever you filed with me, not necessarily in 6 conjunction with that, but I believe it is important for 7 the OEM's to have a copy of this transcript insofar as it 8 deals with the November 13th letter. And I would look to 9 counsel to make sure that that occurs. 10 MR. DIAMOND: Why don't I attach that to 11 the letter I will send you next week. 12 JUDGE POPPITI: Please. 13 MR. DIAMOND: I am not quite sure what 14 time frames apply to this letter because the letter is 15 not in conformance with your rules either in procedure or 16 length. 17 JUDGE POPPITI: I understand what you're 18 saying. MR. DIAMOND: I don't know whether we're 19 20 operating under the Delaware local rules, your rules, but 21 we are not going to address the merits of this. We are 22 simply going to address the procedural deficiencies, and 23 I will attach the transcript. 24 And if Counsel for the OEM's want to 0024 1 have a hearing on the propriety of the motion in its 2 current form, we can do that. 3 I will need until next week, because, as 4 I said, I only represent AMD with respect to some of, but 5 these not all of these OEM's, and I need to get the other 6 Counsel on board. I will get that to you before you 7 leave for the holidays. 8 JUDGE POPPITI: That's fine. What you 9 are saying is Wednesday of next week, that's fine. 10 That's fine with me. 11 MR. BERNHARDT: Your Honor, Darren 12 Bernhardt. 13 Can I make one other point? 14 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes, please. 15 MR. BERNHARDT: We have a hearing 16 scheduled, I believe, on November 29th. And it is quite 17 clear that the third parties want to have their voice in 18 adjudication of this motion and, therefore, we are under 19 some time pressure here. 20 If AMD is going to say, Look, you should 21 have had a meet and confer, and, therefore, your letter 2.2 is procedurally inappropriate, again, the meet and confer 23 is going to be proforma because AMD is not going to agree

24 with the third parties position that no foreign conduct 0025 1 discovery should go forward. 2 So, if the court could impose some 3 deadline on that meet and confer, that would give the 4 parties an opportunity to refile before the hearing. 5 MR. DIAMOND: I totally object to that. 6 We are under orders from Judge Farnan to properly dispose 7 of the foreign conduct discovery issue. We have adopted 8 a schedule for that. This is going to be briefed by next 9 Tuesday. It will be argued on the 29th. There is no 10 right of these OEM's to inject themselves into that 11 process, either on their own, or if, it was the case, by 12 invitation of Intel. 13 We have enough lawyers on this dispute 14 as it is and don't need another legion. 15 If the Japanense OEM's wanted to 16 crystallize this issue in a time frame that would have 17 paralleled the Intel motion, they certainly had every 18 ability to do so and do so in an appropriate way. 19 I don't think that Mr. Bernhardt ought 20 to shoehorn them in so that it's five against one instead 21 of one against one. 22 JUDGE POPPITI: I expect the OEM's, 23 through their able counsel, know how to serve up a 24 request to participate in briefing -- an amicus briefing, 0026 1 if you will. 2 Although, this letter, as I said, 3 suggest that this is an uninvited amicus, there has been 4 no request appropriately filed for them to participate. 5 So, it was important for me to read the б letter, for purposes of having this conversation. But I 7 can say, at this juncture, I don't intend to do anything 8 with that letter until I see what Mr. Diamond's submittal 9 is going to be, responding, if you will, to same. 10 Intel, if you choose, you can also file 11 a simultaneous view, if you will, of that letter, and I 12 will make some judgment as to whether the letter stands 13 and whether there is even sufficient time to permit 14 amicus filings. 15 We're rolling toward a hearing date. Your final brief is due next week. Again, I expect the 16 17 OEM's have been monitoring the docket. So, it should be 18 no surprise to them, and it appears not to be a surprise, 19 if they know we're in briefing. 20 I am not going to make any judgement 21 with respect to this letter at this juncture. But I 22 think you can hear my concerns about it. 23 MR. SMALL: Your Honor, this is Dan 24 Small. If I may interject something for the Class 0027 1 plaintiffs. 2 JUDGE POPPITI: Yes, please. 3 MR. SMALL: We have our next brief due 4 in this process for the motion to compel on Tuesday. And 5 we are working very hard to deal with the legal issues

6 that have been raised by the motions to compel. 7 The letter that was submitted by the 8 Japanense OEM's, in addition to raising that legal issue, 9 raised factual and different legal issues relating to 10 burden. And for us to be able to address that, we 11 certainly could not do it by Tuesday. JUDGE POPPITI: And I understand that. 12 13 And that is why I say the letter certainly had some focus 14 on the briefing that is soon to be closed before me. But 15 it also had square and sharp focus on their own interest. 16 And that's not what this briefing and what these issues 17 are designed to do, or to address in this first round of 18 briefing. 19 It may be that whatever decision I make 20 impacts on the OEM's. But we are not going know that until a decision is issued. And I don't want to wrap in 21 22 to this journey focus on the OEM's from their individual 23 perspectives. That's not the purpose of this briefing. 24 MR. SMALL: Your Honor, for the Class 0028 1 plaintiffs, we may be able to ride the coattails of AMD's 2 very able counsel. 3 But if we find it necessary to submit 4 our own submission to you on Wednesday, can we do that? 5 JUDGE POPPITI: I did not mean to 6 exclude you. 7 MR. HORWITZ: Your Honor, this is Rich 8 Horwitz. 9 Having not been involved directly in any 10 of the meet and confers in the past that the parties have 11 had, I just wonder if it would be appropriate, based on 12 what --13 JUDGE POPPITI: Mr. Horwitz, you are 14 cutting off again. 15 MR. HORWITZ: Somebody must have a 16 Blackberry close to their phone. 17 It sounds like based on the conversation 18 today, if a meet and confer happens, and Mr. Diamond 19 hasn't suggested anything to the contrary, that it is 20 going to be perfunctory. And I have no idea when it would happen, but I just hope it won't be delayed, if, in 21 22 fact, it is just going to be perfunctory, so if the OEM's want to take a position, there won't be any efforts by 23 24 the parties from here on out that would make that delay 0029 1 even further out, if you understand what I'm saying. 2 JUDGE POPPITI: I do. And what I would 3 expect is, even understanding your respective positions, 4 I certainly would not appreciate a discussion later on 5 suggesting to me that the reason why we are having a late 6 discussion is because a meet and confer that could have 7 been accomplished in short order didn't occur. 8 I'm not going to push you -- I think it 9 would be inappropriate for me to push to a meet and 10 confer as a result of this November 13th letter. But 11 there are issues raised in that November 13th letter that 12 should be addressed. There should be a meet and confer

13 and that may help round out the record that I need to 14 deal with the substance or the process of a November 13th 15 letter. 16 So, I would urge that that occurs as 17 quickly as possible without directing it. 18 MR. DIAMOND: Your Honor, the third 19 point concerns the status of the third-party 20 negotiations. And I think I ought to let Linda talk 21 about that because she and Dan have been principally 22 involved in it. 23 JUDGE POPPITI: Please. Ms. Smith. 24 MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. Basically, 0030 1 I think we've made considerable progress. 2 And what I would like to do is not go 3 through on a third party by third party basis, but on 4 several of the large OEM's, I think we're about to 5 conclude agreements which will result in production 6 finally. 7 But I would like at some point, perhaps, 8 once we get past the foreign conduct briefing and hearing 9 to actually take the list that we have provided to you 10 and give you a quick summary of both the way we're 11 addressing the negotiations in terms of we have a 12 bifurcated approach. 13 One is, to designate custodians as a 14 third party and search terms and limit the search to 15 IMB's designated custodians, and within that have 16 custodian search terms. 17 And then, the other piece, which is, 18 perhaps, more germane to Intel and the Class Action 19 Plaintiffs is what we call transactional data, which has 20 to do with a very detailed series of questions about 21 sales and pricing, et cetera, which are what we call 22 corporate request. 23 And what we would like to do at some 24 point is to go through the protocols on the way we've 0031 1 negotiated these, so you'll have a general understanding, 2 unless Your Honor is desirous having a three-hour seminar 3 on E-discovery and all of the issues involved, which I 4 would have to get other forensic people from my firm to 5 participate on my behalf. 6 JUDGE POPPITI: No. I am happy to do 7 the general discussion. I've had pleasure of teaching 8 some E-discovery courses. I haven't done one this year, 9 but the general understanding will be good enough. 10 MS. SMITH: Otherwise, I am still 11 pushing for the meeting in Maui. 12 JUDGE POPPITI: I will tell you what. 13 If it's in Maui, we can be as specific as we need to be. 14 MS. SMITH: I think what the parties 15 would like to do, once we get this briefing behind us is 16 qo through -- you know -- this is concluded. This is 17 outstanding. This is an impasse. Just so you have a 18 general sense of what's coming. 19 And I think that is something that Your

20 Honor expressed a desire to understand before we get to 21 this December 22nd motion practice commencement date so you are not surprised. And we can, perhaps, organize it 22 23 into some groupings that makes sense in terms of the 24 briefing if there remains to be a briefing to be done. 0032 1 So far, we are making very good 2 progress, and as I said, about to, it looks like, 3 conclude deals with very -- agreements with very big 4 players like IBM, HP and Dell. 5 JUDGE POPPITI: Great. That all makes 6 sense. And I think I would leave it, certainly, to you 7 to suggest when we do that. And I expect we will use one 8 of our Thursdays for that purpose, correct? 9 MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. 10 JUDGE POPPITI: Do you want to suggest a 11 date now, or do you want to wait until all of your work 12 is completed next week? 13 MS. SMITH: Yes. We don't have that 14 much time. I think it should be either the first week of December, or the first session we have in December, or 15 16 the second. 17 What I would like to give Your Honor 18 sufficient time to do is decide the pending motion. 19 JUDGE POPPITI: I agree. 20 MS. SMITH: Then we will move on. 21 JUDGE POPPITI: That's good. Any other matters, then, please. Then, I will be looking for a 22 23 form of order. And I am going to be expecting some 24 submittal with respect to the November 13, 2006 letter. 0033 1 MR. DIAMOND: Then you will have both 2 next week. 3 JUDGE POPPITI: That's great. Thank 4 you, all. Have a pleasant, safe and plentiful 5 Thanksgiving. 6 (Teleconference was concluded at, 7 approximately, 11:50 a.m.) 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 0034 1 CERTIFICATE

2	STATE OF DELAWARE:
	:
3	NEW CASTLE COUNTY:
4	I, Gloria M. D'Amore, a Registered
5	Professional Reporter, within and for the County and
6	State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the foregoing
7	Teleconference was taken before me, pursuant to notice,
8	at the time and place indicated; that the statements of
9	said parties was correctly recorded in machine shorthand
10	by me and thereafter transcribed under my supervision
11	with computer-aided transcription; that the
12	Teleconference is a true record of the statements given
13	by the parties; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin
14	to any party in said action, nor interested in the
15	outcome thereof.
16	WITNESS my hand and official seal this
17	17th day of November A.D. 2006.
18	
19	
20	GLORIA M. D'AMORE
	REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
21	CERTIFICATION NO. 119-PS
22	
23	

PAC762908v.1 09/04/2008 1:12 pm