IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE, LTD.,)))
Plaintiffs,)) C.A. No. 05-441 (JJF)
v.	
INTEL CORPORATION and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,)))
Defendants.	
IN RE INTEL CORPORATION MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST LITIGATION) MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF)

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on or before February 18, 2008, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha, by their counsel, have issued a subpoena duces tecum with accompany schedule of document requests (attached hereto as Exhibit 1), which has been or will be served, on the third party listed below.

The subpoena commands the third party to produce documents and things, pursuant to Rule 45, Fed. R. Civ. P., concerning the categories identified in Schedule A attached to the subpoena. The document production will take place within 30 days of service of the subpoena, at the location listed below, or at such alternative dates, times, and/or locations as may be mutually agreed upon by counsel.

The subpoenaed party is:

<u>Name</u>

The Portland Group, Inc. c/o CT Corporation, Registered Agent 388 State Street, Suite 420 Salem, Oregon 97301

OF COUNSEL:

Robert E. Cooper Daniel S. Floyd Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 229-7000

Peter E. Moll
Darren B. Bernhard
Howrey LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 783-0800

Dated: February 18, 2008

#849134/29282

Date/Location of Document Production

March 24, 2008 @ 5:00 p.m. CT Corporation 388 State Street, Suite 420 Salem, Oregon 97301

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

EXHIBIT 1

Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International Sales & Services, Ltd.	SUBPOENA IN	A CIVIL CASE
Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha and In Re: Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation	Case Number: ¹	05-441-JJF; 05-1515-JJF United States District Court, District of Delaware
TO: The Portland Group, Inc. c/o CT Corporation 388 State Street, Suite 420 Salem, Oregon 97301 YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States D testify in the above case.	istrict court at the place, date, a	nd time specified below to
PLACE OF TESTIMONY		COURTROOM
		DATE AND TIME
deposition in the above case. PLACE OF DEPOSITION YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit instance the place, date, and time specified below (list document See Schedule).	spection and copying of the tests or objects): A attached hereto	DATE AND TIME following documents or objects at
PLACE The Portland Group, Inc c/o CT Corporation 338 State Street, Suite 420 Salem Oregan 97301 YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the	e following premises at the d	DATE AND TIME March 24, 2008@5:00 p.m. or at such alternative dates, times and/or locations as may be mutually agreed upon late and time specified below. DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to the matters on which the person will testing	i testity on its benail, and may s	et totut, tot eacht person designated,
ISSUING OFFICER'S AIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNE		i i
4 39.53	Attorney for Defendants	February 18, 2008
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER David E. Moore, Esquire, Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP, 131 (See Rule 45 Federal Rules of Circumstance)	3 N. Market St., Wilmington, I	DE 19899 (302) 984-6147

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 1/94) Subpoena in a Civil Case	
PROOF OF SERVICE	
DATE	PLACE
SERVED	
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF SERVICE
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)	TITLE
SERVED B1 (LIGHT MANE)	
DE	CLARATION OF SERVER
I declare under penalty of perjury under the contained in the Proof of Service is true and contained in the Proof	ne laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information rect.
Executed on	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER
	ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d) (2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to comply production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,
 - (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a

party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c) (3) (B) (iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert=s opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert=s study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in who behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

SCHEDULE A

DEFINITIONS

The following terms shall have the meanings set forth below whenever used in any discovery request.

- 1. The term "AMD" means Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd., and any parent, subsidiary or affiliate entities, as well as the owners, partners, officers, directors, employees, agents, and other representatives of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd.
- 2. The term "INTEL" means Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha and any parent, subsidiary or affiliate entities, as well as the owners, partners, officers, directors, employees, agents, and other representatives of Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha.
- 3. The term "REPRESENTATIVE" means any employee, consultant, expert, attorney, contractor or other individual or entity engaged by the designated individual or entity to perform some task or assignment for the individual or entity.
- 4. The term "COMMUNICATION" means the transmittal of information and encompasses every medium of information transmittal, including, but not limited to, oral, written, graphic and electronic communication.
- 5. The term "**DOCUMENT**" is synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of the term in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a), including, without limitation, electronic or computerized data compilations. A draft or non-identical copy constitutes a separate document within the meaning of the term.
- 6. The terms "relate to," "relating to," "related to," "refer to," "referring to" or "concerning" mean constituting, pertaining to, making reference to, comprising, evidencing,

alluding to, responding to, connected with, commenting on, with respect to, about, regarding, resulting from, embodying, explaining, supporting, discussing, showing, describing, reflecting, analyzing, setting forth, in respect of, having a direct relationship to or in any way being factually, legally or logically connected to, in whole or in part, the stated subject matter.

- 7. "YOU" or "YOUR" means The Portland Group, Inc. and its predecessors, present and former divisions, subsidiaries, parents, branches and affiliates, authorized agents, employees, officers, directors, and representatives.
- 8. "AMD-SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE" means any feature of an AMD microprocessor that is not also available in an INTEL microprocessor.
- 9. "INTEL-SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE" means any feature of an INTEL microprocessor that is not also available in an AMD microprocessor.
 - 10. Any term stated in the singular includes the plural and vice versa.
- 11. Whenever the conjunctive is used, it shall also be taken in the disjunctive, and vice versa.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 12. Documents to be produced include documents in your possession, custody, or **CONTROL** wherever located.
- 1. Unless otherwise specifically stated herein, the time period covered by each of these requests is from January 1, 2005 to the date this subpoena was issued.
- 2. Documents must be produced as they are kept in the usual course of business, or must be organized and labeled to correspond to the document requests by number.
- 3. To the extent that you withhold from production any responsive document on the grounds of a claim of privilege or attorney work product, please provide the total number of

responsive documents withheld from production. You are not required to provide at the time of production a privilege log or other description of the nature of any such documents. Intel expressly reserves its right to seek a privilege log at a later date.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

- 1. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to any difference in the performance or functionality of any of **YOUR** products based on whether the product is used in conjunction with an **INTEL** microprocessor or an **AMD** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such difference.
- 2. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to any difference in the level or quality of support in any of **YOUR** products based on whether the product is used in conjunction with an **INTEL** microprocessor or an **AMD** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such difference.
- 3. All DOCUMENTS relating to any difference in the level or quality of support in any of YOUR products for any AMD-SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN

 FEATURE as compared to any INTEL-SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN

 FEATURE, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such difference.
- 4. All DOCUMENTS relating to any difference in the number or quality of optimizations or enhancements to any of YOUR products for any AMD-SPECIFIC

 MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE as compared to any INTEL-SPECIFIC

 MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such difference.
- 5. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to any difference in the number or quality of optimizations or enhancements to any of **YOUR** products based on whether the product is used

in conjunction with an INTEL microprocessor or an AMD microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such difference.

- 6. All DOCUMENTS relating to differences in the level of investment that YOU have made to develop, market, sell, support or implement products that invoke, implicate, take advantage of, employ, support or is optimized for any AMD-SPECIFIC

 MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE as compared to any INTEL-SPECIFIC

 MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such difference.
- 7. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to differences in the level of investment that **YOU** have made to develop, market, sell, support or implement products that are designed to function better or to produce superior benchmarking results when used in conjunction with an **AMD** microprocessor as opposed to an **INTEL** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such difference.
- 8. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any agreement or understanding between **YOU** and **AMD** to design, develop, market, sell, support or implement any products that invoke, implicate, take advantage of, employ, support or is optimized for any **AMD**-**SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE**, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.
- 9. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any agreement or understanding between **YOU** and **AMD** to develop, market, sell, support or implement any products that are designed to function better or to produce superior benchmarking results when used in conjunction with an **AMD** microprocessor as opposed to an **INTEL** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.

- between YOU and AMD that in any way restricts, limits or prohibits YOUR ability to design, develop, market, sell, support or implement products that invoke, implicate, take advantage of, employ, support or is optimized for any INTEL-SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.
- between YOU and AMD that in any way restricts, limits or prohibits YOUR ability to design, develop, market, sell, support or implement products that are designed to function better or to produce superior benchmarking results when used in conjunction with an INTEL microprocessor or a microprocessor from a supplier other than AMD, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.
- 12. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any agreement or understanding between **YOU** and **AMD** that in any way restricts, limits or prohibits **YOUR COMMUNICATIONS**, work or collaborations with **INTEL** or any microprocessor supplier other than **AMD**, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.
- between YOU and AMD that in any way grants AMD any exclusive, superior or preferred right, vis-à-vis INTEL or any other microprocessor supplier, with respect to any aspect of the design, development, marketing, sales, or support of YOUR products, including but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.
- 14. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any decision by **YOU** to design, develop, market, sell, support or implement any products that invoke, implicate, take advantage

of, employ, support or is optimized for any AMD-SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such decision.

- 15. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any decision by **YOU** to develop, market, sell, support or implement any products that are designed to function better or to produce superior benchmarking results when used in conjunction with an **AMD** microprocessor as opposed to an **INTEL** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such decision.
- 16. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any decision by **YOU** to not design, develop, market, sell, support or implement products that invoke, implicate, take advantage of, employ, support or is optimized for any **INTEL-SPECIFIC MICROPROCESSOR DESIGN FEATURE**, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such decision.
- 17. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any decision by **YOU** to not develop, market, sell, support or implement products that are designed to function better or to produce superior benchmarking results when used in conjunction with an **INTEL** microprocessor as opposed to an **AMD** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such decision.
- 18. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to **YOUR** and **AMD'S** cooperation to ensure that **YOUR** products are highly tuned for the new micro-architectures and features of **AMD'S** quadcore Opteron microprocessors.
- 19. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any agreement or understanding between **YOU** and **AMD** for **YOU** to develop, market, sell, support or implement any compiler that is in any way optimized for any **AMD** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.

- 20. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any agreement or understanding between **YOU** and **AMD** concerning compilers wherein **AMD** agreed to provide consideration, monetary or otherwise, to **YOU**, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such agreement or understanding.
- 21. All **DOCUMENTS** sufficient to show the amount or level of consideration, monetary or otherwise, that **AMD** has provided or has agreed to provide to **YOU**.
- 22. All **DOCUMENTS** relating to or reflecting any decision by **YOU** to design, develop, market, sell, support or implement any compiler that is in any way optimized for any **AMD** microprocessor, including, but not limited to, any reason for any such decision.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr., hereby certify that on February 18, 2008, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

HAND DELIVERY:

Jesse A. Finkelstein
Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Chad M. Shandler
Steven J. Fineman
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

James L. Holzman J. Clayton Athey Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on February 18, 2008, I have sent the documents to the following non-registered participants in the manner indicated:

ELECTRONIC MAIL:

Charles P. Diamond Linda J. Smith O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 cdiamond@omm.com lsmith@omm.com Mark A. Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 <u>msamuels@omm.com</u>

Salem M. Katsh
Laurin B. Grollman
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP
1633 Broadway, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10019
skatsh@kasowitz.com
lgrollman@kasowitz.com

Michael D. Hausfeld
Daniel A. Small
Brent W. Landau
Allyson B. Baker
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.
1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20005
mhausfeld@cmht.com
dsmall@cmht.com
blandau@cmht.com
abaker@cmht.com

Thomas P. Dove Alex C. Turan The Furth Firm LLP 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 tdove@furth.com aturan@furth.com Steve W. Berman
Anthony D. Shapiro
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
steve@hbsslaw.com
tony@hbsslaw.com

Guido Saveri
R. Alexander Saveri
Saveri & Saveri, Inc.
111 Pine Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111
guido@saveri.com
rick@saveri.com

Michael P. Lehman Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C. One Embarcadero Center, Suite 526 San Francisco, CA 94111 mlehmann@cmht.com

OF COUNSEL:

Robert E. Cooper Daniel S. Floyd GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 900071 (213) 229-7000

Peter E. Moll Darren B. Bernhard HOWREY LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 783-0800

Dated: February 18, 2008

849050 / 29282

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha