3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 (602) 916-5000

Amy Abdo Admitted in Arizona, California and Nevada Direct Phone: (602) 916-5399 Direct Fax: (602) 916-5599 amy@fclaw.com

 Law Offices

 Phoenix
 (602) 916-5000

 Tucson
 (520) 879-6800

 Nogales
 (520) 281-3480

 Las Vegas
 (702) 692-8000

 Denver
 (303) 291-3200

July 1, 2008

### Via Electronic Mail Poppiti@BlankRome.com

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti Blank Rome LLP Chase Manhattan Centre, Suite 800 1201 North Market Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

#### Re: Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. et al v. Intel Corp. et al. USDC, District of Delaware, Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF In re: Intel Corp., MDL Docket No. 05-1717-JJF

Dear Judge Poppiti:

We represent third party, Avnet, Inc. We received a copy of the Case Management Order No. 6 ("Order") signed by Judge Farnan on June 20, 2008. Paragraph 6 of the Order requires any third party that believes it cannot produce the subpoenaed documents on or before August 29, 2008 to apply to the Court on or before July 1, 2008 for relief from the August 29, 2008 deadline.

On June 24, 2008, on behalf of Avnet, we wrote to the attorneys requesting transactional data from Avnet and advised them that Avnet is unable to determine whether it can comply with the Court's August 29, 2008 production deadline. Avnet's uncertainty stems from the fact that Avnet is awaiting further instructions from the parties' counsel regarding the nature and scope of transactional data they want Avnet to produce. A copy of our June 26, 2008 letter is enclosed.

Although the parties and Avnet have cooperated in an effort to identify and produce responsive Avnet transactional data, Avnet's transaction records do not identify those parts (parts containing x86 microprocessors) with which the parties are most concerned. The parties have

The Hon. Vincent J. Poppiti July 1, 2008 Page 2

therefore struggled to devise a means by which they might obtain those records most likely to have relevant information, but without having to parse through or analyze so many individual records that paralysis results. In that connection, at the parties' request, on April 13, 2007, Avnet produced its parts list for three manufacturers of products distributed by Avnet. More than a year later, on June 2, 2008, the parties' counsel requested that Avnet produce its parts lists for an additional twelve manufacturers that the parties identified. On June 10, 2008, Avnet made its second production of parts lists. In total, Avnet's parts lists contain almost 1.4 million parts. On or about June 23, 2008, AMD's counsel advised Avnet that analysts are reviewing Avnet's parts lists in an effort to identify those parts containing x86 microprocessors. As we understand, once the analysts have completed their analysis of Avnet's parts lists, the parties hope to be able to identify the nature and scope of the precise transactional data they would like Avnet to produce.

On June 25, 2008, AMD's counsel made an alternative proposal to Avnet. That proposal requested that Avnet produce "all of its purchase and cost data" worldwide for fourteen manufacturers over a seven year time period. A copy of counsel's June 25, 2008 email is enclosed. As explained to counsel, this proposal is not acceptable for a number of reasons. Avnet cannot with any accuracy estimate the number of hours it would take to query its multiple databases for almost 1.4 million parts over a seven year period. However, even if Avnet devoted a full time employee to this task, Avnet could not meet the August 29, 2008 deadline. The recent proposal is decidedly broader than the subpoena and seeks from Avnet every part number for fourteen manufacturers not limited to parts containing x86 microprocessors that are at issue here. Minimally, it appears that AMD and Intel, as parties to the litigation, should be able to identify their part numbers containing x86 microprocessors which would enable Avnet to narrow its search and production with respect to its distribution of AMD and Intel products. The proposal presents demands on Avnet are that overreaching and unreasonable.

On July 1, 2008, Avnet made a proposal to the parties to produce sales and purchase data for transactions occurring in the United States on a year by year basis with the first production occurring on or around July 11, 2008. Counsel for the parties will review the production to determine its usefulness. Assuming the production is satisfactory to the parties, Avnet will continue to produce the data until it has completed its production for the seven year time period. Avnet has been advised that the data requested for transactions outside the United States may be produced in a summary manner and Avnet is exploring the availability of that information as requested by the parties.

As demonstrated, until the parties complete their analysis of Avnet's parts lists and identify the type and scope of information they are requesting from Avnet, or until the parties determine whether Avnet's July 1, 2008 proposal is satisfactory, Avnet cannot possibly

The Hon. Vincent J. Poppiti July 1, 2008 Page 3

determine whether it can comply with the Court's August 29, 2008 deadline. While Avnet and the parties are continuing to cooperate with one another to determine the most efficient manner to move forward with Avnet's production, Avnet may need relief from the August 29, 2008 deadline.

Very truly yours,

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

Mys talt Amy Abdo

AA/thl Enclosures

cc: Timothy J. Burke Jennifer Laser Richard A. Ripley Karen J. Marcus Jason Raofield James Pearl

### ABDO, AMY

From:	Laser, Jennifer [JLaser@OMM.com]
-------	----------------------------------

- Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 6:16 PM
- To: ABDO, AMY
- Cc: BURKE, TIM; Ripley, Richard A.; glueckm@capanalysis.com; Karen J. Marcus; Santesteban, Cristian; Jeff Brown

Subject: Avnet's data production

Dear Amy ---

As we discussed a couple of days ago, we would like to explore the possibility of having Avnet produce all of its purchase and cost data for a select group of manufacturers, without trying to identify relevant products or part numbers on the frontend. Instead, that task will be left to the parties and their consultants once they receive the data. This approach worked successfully with at least one distributor subpoenaed in this case, and we would like to see whether it might work for Avnet as well.

Below is the select group of manufacturers that the parties had previously agreed were most relevant to Avnet's data production:

#### AMD

Hewlett-Packard/Compaq Dell Fujitsu/Fujitsu-Siemens Hewlett-Packard/Compaq IBM Intel Hitachi Lenovo NEC Panasonic Sony Super Micro Toshiba

With respect to the data fields, we would like the full data production to include the same fields as were included in Avnet's sales data sample, plus the following three:

- 1. Manufacturer name
- 2. Ship-to location
- 3. Invoice date

Best regards,

Jennifer Laser O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars #700 Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 246-8445 direct line (310) 246-6779 fax jlaser@omm.com

This message and any attached documents contain information from the law firm of O'Melveny & Myers LLP that may be confidential

6/30/2008

and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not read, copy, distribute, or use this information. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and then delete this message.

3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 (602) 916-5000

Amy Abdo Admitted in Arizona, California and Nevada Direct Phone: (602) 916-5399 Direct Fax: (602) 916-5599 amy@fclaw.com

 Law Offices

 Phoenix
 (602) 916-5000

 Tucson
 (520) 879-6800

 Nogales
 (520) 281-3480

 Las Vegas
 (702) 692-8000

 Denver
 (303) 291-3200

June 24, 2008

Jennifer Laser (<u>JLaser@OMM.com</u>) O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-6035

Karen J. Marcus (<u>kjm@ftllaw.com</u>) Finkelstein Thompson & Loughran 1050 30th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007 Richard A. Ripley (<u>richard.ripley@bingham.com</u>) Washington, DC 20006-1806 Bingham McCutchen LLP 2020 K Street NW Washington DC 20006

Matthew W. Ruan (<u>mruan@heinsmills.com</u>) Heins Mills & Olson, P.L.C. 310 Clifton Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55403

#### Re: Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. et al v. Intel Corp. et al. USDC, District of Delaware, Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF In re: Intel Corp., MDL Docket No. 05-1717-JJF

Dear Counsel:

We have received a copy of Case Management Order No. 6 ("Order") signed by Judge Farnan on June 16, 2008. Paragraph 6 of the Order requires any third party that believes it cannot produce the subpoenaed documents on or before August 29, 2008 to apply to the Court on or before July 1, 2008 for relief from the August 29, 2008 deadline.

At this time, it is unknown whether Avnet will be able to comply with the August 29, 2008 production deadline. As you know, we are awaiting further instructions from you regarding the production of transactional data. On April 13, 2007, at your request, Avnet produced its master parts list for three manufacturers of products offered by Avnet. More than a year later, on June 2, 2008, you requested that Avnet produce parts lists for an additional twelve manufacturers that you identified. Avnet made its second production of master parts lists (containing approximately 1,300,000 parts) in a timely manner on June 10, 2008. As we understand, your analysts are reviewing Avnet's parts lists to determine the most efficient way to expedite review.

Jennifer Laser Richard A. Ripley Karen J. Marcus Matthew W. Ruan June 24, 2008 Page 2

Avnet's compliance with the August 29, 2008 production deadline is dependent upon (i) how soon the parties identify the information they would like Avnet to produce, and (2) the scope of that request. Unless and until we receive further instruction from you outlining the information you want from Avnet in response to Avnet's production of its master parts lists, Avnet cannot reasonably determine whether it can meet the Court's August 29, 2008 deadline.

Based on the foregoing, we will provide this letter to the Court as the basis for our requested relief from the August 29, 2008 deadline. Avnet will continue to cooperate with the parties and respond to reasonable requests in a timely manner.

We would appreciate it if you would identify other counsel, if any, who you believe should receive a copy of this letter. Please call if you have any comments or questions.

Very truly yours,

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

Amy Abdo Abdo

AA/thl

cc: Timothy J. Burke Jason Raofield (<u>raofieldj@howrey.com</u>) James Pearl (<u>JPearl@OMM.com</u>)

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 1, 2008, I caused the foregoing to be filed electronically with

the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire Chad M. Shandler, Esquire Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A. One Rodney Square P.O. Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899

Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire W. Harding Drane, Jr, Esquire Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899

James L. Holzman, Esquire J. Clayton Athley, Esquire Prickett Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

Thomas G. Macauley (HD No. 3491)