## In The Matter Of:

# Advanced Micro Devices v. Intel

Teleconference July 14, 2008



230 North Market Street • Wilmington, DE 19801 • phone 302.571. 0510 • fax 302.571.1321 15 East North Street • Dover, DE 19901 • phone 302.734.3534 • fax 302.734.3552 Corbett & Wilcox is not affiliated with Wilcox & Fetzer, Court Reporters

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
2
                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3
     ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES,
 4
                                        Civil Action No.
               Plaintiffs,
                                        05-441-JJF
5
     v.
 6
     INTEL CORPORATION,
 7
               Defendant.
8
                Teleconference in above matter taken pursuant
     to notice before Renee A. Meyers, Registered Professional
 9
     Reporter and Notary Public, in the offices of Blank Rome,
     LLP, 1201 North Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware, on
10
     Monday, July 14, 2008, beginning at approximately 4:30
     p.m., there being present:
11
12
     BEFORE:
13
          THE HONORABLE VINCENT J. POPPITI, SPECIAL MASTER
14
     APPEARANCES:
15
                O'MELVENY & MYERS
16
                CHARLES DIAMOND, ESQ.
                   1999 Avenue of the Stars
                   Los Angeles, California 90067
17
                for AMD
18
19
20
                          CORBETT & WILCOX
21
                  Registered Professional Reporters
22
         230 North Market Street
                                      Wilmington, DE 19899
                           (302) 571-0510
                   Corbett & Wilcox is not affiliated
23
                 With Wilcox & Fetzer, Court Reporters
24
```

1	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Let's, then,			
2	start with Que Choiser.			
3	MR. ATHEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.			
4	Clayton Athey of Prickett, Jones & Elliott for Que			
5	Choisir.			
6	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you,			
7	Mr. Athey.			
8	Anyone else joining you, sir?			
9	MR. KING: John King from Cohen,			
10	Milstein also from Que Choisir.			
11	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you.			
12	And from Intel, please.			
13	MR. DRANE: Good afternoon, Judge			
14	Poppiti. This is Harding Drane at Potter Anderson &			
15	Corroon for Intel.			
16	And with me on the phone is my			
17	co-counsel, Michael L. Denger, from Gibson, Dunn &			
18	Crutcher.			
19	MR. DENGER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.			
20	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Good afternoon			
21	to the both of you.			
22	MR. PICKETT: This is Don Pickett also			
23	for Intel.			
24	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you, sir.			

24

1	And any other parties joining us for
2	this teleconference?
3	MR. COTTRELL: Your Honor, in
4	Wilmington, for AMD, Fred Cottrell, and I believe
5	Mr. Diamond may be on the phone as well.
6	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you,
7	Mr. Cottrell.
8	MR. DIAMOND: I am, indeed. Good
9	afternoon, Your Honor.
10	SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you,
11	Mr. Diamond. Good afternoon.
12	Anyone else on the call, then, please?
13	Okay. The purpose of the call is to accomplish two
14	things. No. 1, to land on a date for determination of
15	Que Choisir's applications and argument and with respect
16	to that, and, No. 2, to discuss whether third parties
17	that want to participate, we will, first of all, explore
18	that to see if you are aware of any third parties that
19	want to participate and determine how that path should be
20	opened, if it should be opened.
21	So, I am up for discussion as to both.
22	And I think I understand that everyone is poised to look
23	at the week of September 8th; is that correct? If I

understood e-mails back in the early part of, or July 8th

from Mr. Drane, actually, there was e-mail from Mr. Drane to Mary LeVan on July 8th suggesting that the week of September 8th was one that no one could be available until that week from Intel.

MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John King for Que Choisir. And I actually had had it that we had arrived at a date and time, I may have this wrong, but of Tuesday, September 9th. And we had -- there was an initial date set, I believe, of August 21st, and that was bad for Que Choisir's end, so I don't know if anybody else believes differently on the call, but that was the time and date that -- that certainly works for me and I think others may have agreed upon it, too.

MR. DENGER: This is Mike Denger from Gibson, Dunn for Intel. That also was our understanding.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I apologize.

That date is not on my calendar, but it certainly works.

18 Let me just make sure. It does work for me. Did we 19 assign a time to that date yet?

MR. DENGER: I believe, Your Honor, you

assigned the time of 1:00 p.m.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: There we go.

Then it will be September 9th at 1:00 p.m.

MR. DRANE: Your Honor, I think in that

same e-mail, which was from Mary, it says that that hearing would be at the courthouse.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. Then everyone is further along than the information on my computer. So that's good.

Then let's talk about the other issue, and that is participation by third parties.

MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John King for Que Choisir. I think we might be able to hopefully short circuit that one as well in terms of Que Choisir has no problem with whatever third parties would like to participate and present. In particular, I suppose the ones that filed either oppositions or joinders and other oppositions and we certainly would not oppose them coming to present and certainly at least getting notice of the hearing.

And the only caveat would be, I suppose, if we could encourage them, if they can, to coordinate on the issues, as I suspect they would anyway, to try to limit any duplicative presentations, you know, that would be optimal. But I guess the only question is with respect to the third parties that did not file any oppositions or joinders, should they all get notice as well and Que Choisir is fine either way.

If we want to use the same mailing list that Intel did to mail out notice of the pendency of Que Choisir's motion, we can just do a blanket notice, but whatever Your Honor is comfortable with.

MR. DENGER: Michael Denger for Intel from Gibson, Dunn.

We, too, are comfortable either way in terms of providing notice to the third parties. These are to all third parties augmented by notice to the counsel for those parties, third parties who actually did file papers with the Court. And we, too, would have no problem with third parties being heard at the argument on this motion.

We would think, perhaps as an incentive to coordinate their presentations, one approach The Court might want to consider would be to set a given amount of time for argument by third parties and then let them work out among each other how they could most efficiently allocate it were they to want to present oral argument.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: That's an excellent suggestion. And I think the way, then, we should approach this is with respect to the notice to third parties, I would expect that you all could, or should, meet and confer about what that notice should say

in addition to advising of the date, time, and place of the hearing.

It seems to me it should indicate that those parties that have responded and that are represented by local counsel would have the opportunity to make comment.

I don't know whether you want to permit, whether you have, and I think I heard Que Choisir said they had no problem with someone that wanted to offer argument that did not file should also be permitted to do that. I am not sure that that makes sense, but I am happy to hear you, to hear everyone out with respect to that.

MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John. I may have misspoke. I guess I was thinking more in terms of they would get notice of the proceeding. As far as whether they would present, that does make sense that they should not present if they have not already filed some papers with the Court.

But I don't have an extremely strong feeling either way, sort of airing on the side of inclusiveness, so we are fine scaling it back as well. I suppose with some time limitations anyway, since we are starting at 1:00, that it would make sense to try to

limit those third parties that can present there.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Any comments on the opportunity for those that did not file, permitting them to make comment or coordinating their comment through another third-party that did?

Does Intel have any comment?

MR. DENGER: Mike Denger for Intel, Your Honor. We do not feel strongly on this. I would think that if we provide notice to everyone again and tell them there is going to be an opportunity for third parties who did file comments to participate in the oral argument, then if there is anyone else out there who did not file comments but still wishes to be heard, they would have notice at least and could raise the issue with Your Honor were they to want to be heard orally.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I don't want to make any -- I certainly don't want to make a mountain out of anything. If there is no dispute that those that have not filed should also have an opportunity to be heard in the interest of inclusion, then I am not going to have a problem with that so long as the comments are coordinated. And I do agree that the best way to do that is to suggest a specific amount of time for third parties to offer comment.

I don't know whether you want to discuss that now, whether you want to confer about it? I am not going to have any problem with any number that you land on in terms of a time frame.

MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John. In view of the fact that we will be starting at 1:00, do we have an idea of how much total time Your Honor will allocate for this hearing?

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, I don't want to cut you all short. You are all going to be traveling to make the effort to do the argument, so let me hear from you first in terms of how long you think you would like to take for argument and then I will tell you whether that makes sense to me.

MR. KING: Well, I think by the time
Your Honor receives all the papers, I think these issues
will be pretty substantially and heavily briefed, so my
intention was I can't see me needing to make a
presentation of more than, say, 15 minutes to a half
hour. In particular, if Your Honor has questions, I'd
rather address those at the hearing than, you know,
repeat everything we have written, so I don't --

that.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI:

I agree with

MR. KING: I don't initially see the 1 2 need for a whole lot of time from our side. MR. DENGER: I think we are in the same 3 position in terms of an affirmative statement of our 4 5 position, that we, obviously, have not seen Que Choisir's reply papers, but somewhere in the neighborhood of 15 to 6 30 minutes and then time to respond to any questions the 7 8 Court has. SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, those 9 10 time frames make sense, so how would you propose wedging 11 in third parties? 12 MR. DENGER: Well, I would think, you 13 know, if we urge them to coordinate their comments in one or two spokespersons, that one could allocate maybe 15 to 14 30 minutes to the third parties. Maybe a little more 15 16 if --SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: If there is 17 18 several, you may want to allocate a little more. 19 MR. DENGER: But they, in essence, were 20 making pretty much the same arguments. SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: 21 That's correct. 22 I would expect that they would based on even the reading that I have done up to this point that I have in front of 23 24 me.

Well, then, let's do this: I think the time frames that you are proposing, I think they make sense, and I think that the notice that goes to the third parties should reflect exactly what you propose for the parties' submittals. I am going to stretch it a bit only because they may elect to use more than one spokesperson. If they can be encouraged to use one, that's so much the better.

What I expect would make sense is if you arrange for some meet and confer within the next few days, at least to make the arrangement with them within the next few days and get the notice out. If the notice is agreeable to everyone, it's something certainly that I don't need to approve.

If there is some dispute with respect to the notice, and I expect you are going to want me to see it, if that's the case, it either has to be something that I review not later than the 18th -- actually, there is no -- I am not concerned. As long as it gets out by the 25th of July, I have more than sufficient time for third parties to make their arrangements if they haven't already done that with local counsel and to make whatever travel plans they choose to make.

MR. DENGER: May I make a suggestion,

Your Honor? That we could facilitate the third parties coordinate among themselves, rather than having them go to all the Docket entries, that we put sort of an appendix at the end just listing the third-party counsels and their contact information?

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Great idea.

That's a good idea.

And I did say the 25th. Let's do the 28th, not later than the 28th, either have a notice that's ready to go or notice that is something that you are going to want me to see because there is some dispute.

MR. DENGER: I would think that we hopefully would be able to get something out by the end of this week.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I would think you could as well. I would encourage that. If you want me to land on the 18th, I am happy to do that. Does anyone object to the 18th?

MR. KING: No, Your Honor, no.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Let's do, for purposes of my review, if there is a dispute, I'd like to see it not later than noon on Friday, and if there isn't a dispute, not later than the end of business on Friday.

MR. KING: In terms of the time to maybe shortcut what we will say in there, it sounds like both Que Choisir and Intel, we could say we will have up to a half hour, and then for the third parties, should we say 45 minutes in total, something like that?

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I think
45 minutes makes sense.

MR. KING: Okay. And clearly, I would presume that won't foreclose anyone if Your Honor has numerous questions for one side or the other from third parties, I think we can all adapt, but these guidelines seem like they will work for everybody.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: The time frames are really for your, so I will permit you the opportunity, if you are going to be standing and making argument, I certainly would expect that that time will be -- I will spend some more time with you questioning.

MR. KING: Okay.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: The only other question that I have with respect to the argument, I am mindful of the fact that in -- give me one moment -- in Intel's submittal, I am actually in the process of reading the view of several of what I would refer to as expert declarations, and I don't know whether you

anticipate that any of the persons that have offered declarations are going to be there for purposes of responding to any questions that I may have or whether counsel is going to be in a position to respond to questions that may relate to some of the statements or assertions made in the declarations.

For example, I am in the process of John Pierre Farge, am I saying that correctly?

MR. DENGER: Farge, F-a-r-g-e.

Your Honor, again, this is Michael

Denger for Intel, and I realize this is a little unusual,
but we have four declarants located in Europe. I wonder
if it would be possible or if it would facilitate
anything if Your Honor did have any declarations -- or
any question of a technical nature for these declarants,
rather than bring all four over, there are some
possibilities of potentially, if we were to have some
idea of the questions beforehand, we might be able to get
answers were they not answerable by counsel.

Alternatively, I guess, there may be ways to hook up the declarants by telephone if the Court has facilities so that the Court could put questions to them.

Again, I think it would be helpful if we

were to know in advance at least which declarants the Court may have questions for so we could make logistical arrangements.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I can do that and I will be in a better position to give you a date certain when you can expect to see something from me as soon as I get through the material that I have received so far and I have Que Choisir's closing paper.

MR. KING: It's John for Que Choisir. I would be remiss if I didn't mention something which I have mentioned to Intel just in a semi meet and confer type process, but we are, Que Choisir would be able to now, in its reply brief, be more specific on several issues.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay.

MR. KING: And I think that will impact the need to deal with those particular declarants, for starters. So I would suggest we may want to have this type of discussion, maybe another impromptu hearing like this, once we have filed our reply.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: That makes sense.

MR. KING: And what we did was invite, we think it will be appropriate for Intel to most likely

submit a further written submission, and they, having not seen our reply, so they didn't know of any need at the moment, but if they did, they would not oppose us submitting a possible supplemental reply.

And I think once -- however Your Honor decides on that, whether that's permissible or not, I think once our position is out there in writing, which it will be as of Wednesday, we will have a better idea of what issues are in play, and what, if any, declarants are needed.

So I just wanted to mention that now because I don't think that the focus will be much on those particular declarants.

I just wanted to mention it before people start making travel arrangements and that sort of thing. But on Wednesday, this will be a little more clear.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I appreciate that from Intel's perspective.

So, let's hold, then, until that is filed and that's coming in on the 23rd?

MR. KING: That is -- well, Que Choisir's reply is due this Wednesday, the 16th, a couple days.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay.

2 Is there anything else, then, please?

3 Thank you all very much.

MR. PICKETT: John Pickett. There is some confusion, I think, as to the hearing date for another matter, which, obviously, you didn't intend to deal with right now, but I do want to raise to you and your staff, there was a hearing that was -- this is on a motion from AMD to quash a subpoena and a motion to compel the subpoena corresponding from Intel. That has been set for August 21st along with this matter.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Right

MR. PICKETT: And, to my knowledge, that has not been set for a hearing. It is a hearing at which I think the attorneys want to attend in person.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: And it has not yet been set, counsel, and the reason for that is I am trying to coordinate a hearing date with Eric Friedberg and with his schedule.

MR. PICKETT: Thank you. I just wanted to make sure it's on the radar.

SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: It's on the radar. We just had a conversation him a little over a couple hours ago, and as soon as I have a handle on his

### Teleconference

```
19
     schedule, then I will be back checking dates with
1
 2
     everyone.
                                       Thanks very much.
                       MR. PICKETT:
 3
                                                   Thank you all
                        SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI:
 4
 5
     very much.
                        (The hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

#### CERTIFICATE

STATE OF DELAWARE:

NEW CASTLE COUNTY:

I, Renee A. Meyers, a Registered Professional Reporter, within and for the County and State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the foregoing teleconference was taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and place indicated; that the teleconference was correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my supervision with computer-aided transcription; that the foregoing teleconference is a true record; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.

WITNESS my hand this 14th day of July A.D. 2008.

RENEE A. MEYERS
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
CERTIFICATION NO. 106-RPR
(Expires January 31, 2011)

## In The Matter Of:

Advanced Micro Devices v.
Intel

Teleconference July 14, 2008



230 North Market Street • Wilmington, DE 19801 • phone 302.571. 0510 • fax 302.571.1321 15 East North Street • Dover, DE 19901 • phone 302.734.3534 • fax 302.734.3552 Corbett & Wilcox is not affiliated with Wilcox & Fetzer, Court Reporters

Original File Teleconference - Vol. I.txt
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

```
Page 3
                                                                Page 1
 1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Let's, then,
                                                                          1
 2
                     FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
                                                                          2 start with Que Choiser.
 3
                                                                                MR. ATHEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
                                                                          3
     ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES,
 4
                                                                             Clayton Athey of Prickett, Jones & Elliott for Que
                 Plaintiffs.
                                               Civil Action No.
 5
                                               05-441-JJF
                                                                          5 Choisir.
 6
                                                                                SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you,
                                                                          6
     INTEL CORPORATION.
 7
                                                                          7 Mr. Athey.
                 Defendant.
 R
                                                                                Anyone else joining you, sir?
                                                                          8
                Teleconference in above matter taken pursuant
    to notice before Renee A. Meyers, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, in the offices of Blank Rome, LLP, 1201 North Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware, on Monday, July 14, 2008, beginning at approximately 4:30 p.m., there being present:
 9
                                                                                MR. KING: John King from Cohen,
                                                                          9
10
                                                                             Milstein also from Que Choisir.
11
                                                                                SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you.
                                                                         11
12
                                                                                And from Intel, please.
                                                                         12
     BEFORE:
13
                                                                         13
                                                                                MR. DRANE: Good afternoon, Judge
           THE HONORABLE VINCENT J. POPPITI, SPECIAL MASTER
14
                                                                         14 Poppiti. This is Harding Drane at Potter Anderson &
     APPEARANCES:
15
                                                                         15 Corroon for Intel.
                 O'MELVENY & MYERS
                 CHARLES DIAMOND, ESQ.
1999 Avenue of the Stars
Los Angeles, California 90067
16
                                                                                And with me on the phone is my
                                                                         16
17
                                                                         17 co-counsel, Michael L. Denger, from Gibson, Dunn &
                 for AMD
18
                                                                         18
                                                                             Crutcher.
19
                                                                                MR. DENGER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
                                                                         19
                                                                                SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Good afternoon
20
                                                                         20
21
                                                                         21 to the both of you.
                             CORBETT & WILCOX
                    Registered Professional Reporters
          Registered Froressional Reporters
230 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 571-0510
Corbett & Wilcox is not affiliated
With Wilcox & Fetzer, Court Reporters
                                                                                MR. PICKETT: This is Don Pickett also
22
                                                                         22
                                                                         23 for Intel.
23
                                                                                SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you, sir.
                                                                         24
24
                                                                Page 2
     APPEARANCES (Continued):
 1
                                                                                And any other parties joining us for
                                                                          1
 2
                 RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER FREDERICK L. COTTRELL, III, ESQ.
                                                                          2
                                                                             this teleconference?
 3
                     One Rodney Square Wilmington, DE 19899
                                                                                 MR. COTTRELL: Your Honor, in
                                                                           3
 4
                 for AMD
                                                                             Wilmington, for AMD, Fred Cottrell, and I believe
 5
```

```
POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON
W. HARDING DRANE, JR., ESQ.
1313 North Market Street,
  6
                                                                  6th Floor
                          Wilmington, DE 19899
 7
                      for Intel
 8
                      BINGHAM, McCUTCHEN, LLP
                      DONALD PICKETT, ESQ.
 9
                         3 Embarcardero Center
                         San Francisco, California 94111
10
                      for Intel
                     GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
MICHAEL L. DENGER, ESQ.
333 South Grand Avenue
11
12
                     Los Angeles, California 90071-3197 for Intel
13
                     PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT J. CLAYTON ATHEY, ESQ.
14
15
                          1310 King Street
Wilmington, DE
16
                      for Que Choisir
                      COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C. JOHN KING, ESQ. 1100 New York Avenue, N.W
17
18
                         Suite 500, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005
19
                      Washington, D.C.
for Que Choisir
20
21
22
23
```

- Mr. Diamond may be on the phone as well.
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you, 6
- 7 Mr. Cottrell.
- MR. DIAMOND: I am, indeed. Good 8
- afternoon, Your Honor. 9
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you, 10
- 11 Mr. Diamond. Good afternoon.
- Anyone else on the call, then, please?
- 13 Okav. The purpose of the call is to accomplish two
- 14 things. No. 1, to land on a date for determination of
- 15 Que Choisir's applications and argument and with respect
- 16 to that, and, No. 2, to discuss whether third parties
- 17 that want to participate, we will, first of all, explore
- 18 that to see if you are aware of any third parties that
- 19 want to participate and determine how that path should be
- 20 opened, if it should be opened.
- 21 So, I am up for discussion as to both.
- 22 And I think I understand that everyone is poised to look
- 23 at the week of September 8th; is that correct? If I
- 24 understood e-mails back in the early part of, or July 8th

24

Page 5

- 1 from Mr. Drane, actually, there was e-mail from Mr. Drane
- 2 to Mary LeVan on July 8th suggesting that the week of
- 3 September 8th was one that no one could be available
- 4 until that week from Intel.
- MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John King
- 6 for Que Choisir. And I actually had had it that we had
- 7 arrived at a date and time, I may have this wrong, but of
- 8 Tuesday, September 9th. And we had -- there was an
- 9 initial date set, I believe, of August 21st, and that was
- 10 bad for Que Choisir's end, so I don't know if anybody
- 11 else believes differently on the call, but that was the
- 12 time and date that -- that certainly works for me and I
- 13 think others may have agreed upon it, too.
- MR. DENGER: This is Mike Denger from
- 15 Gibson, Dunn for Intel. That also was our understanding.
- 16 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I apologize.
- 17 That date is not on my calendar, but it certainly works.
- 18 Let me just make sure. It does work for me. Did we
- 19 assign a time to that date yet?
- 20 **MR. DENGER:** I believe, Your Honor, you
- 21 assigned the time of 1:00 p.m.
- 22 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: There we go.
- 23 Then it will be September 9th at 1:00 p.m.
- 24 MR. DRANE: Your Honor, I think in that

- 1 If we want to use the same mailing list
- 2 that Intel did to mail out notice of the pendency of Que
- 3 Choisir's motion, we can just do a blanket notice, but
- 4 whatever Your Honor is comfortable with.
- 5 MR. DENGER: Michael Denger for Intel
- 6 from Gibson, Dunn.
- 7 We, too, are comfortable either way in
- 8 terms of providing notice to the third parties. These
- 9 are to all third parties augmented by notice to the
- 10 counsel for those parties, third parties who actually did
- 11 file papers with the Court. And we, too, would have no
- 12 problem with third parties being heard at the argument on
- 13 this motion.
- 14 We would think, perhaps as an incentive
- 15 to coordinate their presentations, one approach The Court
- 16 might want to consider would be to set a given amount of
- 17 time for argument by third parties and then let them work
- 18 out among each other how they could most efficiently
- 19 allocate it were they to want to present oral argument.
  - SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: That's an
- 21 excellent suggestion. And I think the way, then, we
- 22 should approach this is with respect to the notice to
- 23 third parties, I would expect that you all could, or
- 24 should, meet and confer about what that notice should say

Page 6

20

Page 8

- same e-mail, which was from Mary, it says that thathearing would be at the courthouse.
- 3 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. Then
- 4 everyone is further along than the information on my
- 5 computer. So that's good.
- 6 Then let's talk about the other issue.
- 7 and that is participation by third parties.
- 8 MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John King
- 9 for Que Choisir. I think we might be able to hopefully
- 10 short circuit that one as well in terms of Que Choisir
- 11 has no problem with whatever third parties would like to
- 12 participate and present. In particular, I suppose the
- 13 ones that filed either oppositions or joinders and other
- 14 oppositions and we certainly would not oppose them coming
- 15 to present and certainly at least getting notice of the
- 16 hearing.
- 17 And the only caveat would be, I suppose,
- 18 if we could encourage them, if they can, to coordinate on
- 19 the issues, as I suspect they would anyway, to try to
- 20 limit any duplicative presentations, you know, that would
- 21 be optimal. But I guess the only guestion is with
- 22 respect to the third parties that did not file any
- 23 oppositions or joinders, should they all get notice as
- 24 well and Que Choisir is fine either way.

- 1 in addition to advising of the date, time, and place of 2 the hearing.
- 3 It seems to me it should indicate that
- 4 those parties that have responded and that are
- 5 represented by local counsel would have the opportunity
- 6 to make comment.
- 7 I don't know whether you want to permit,
- 8 whether you have, and I think I heard Que Choisir said
- 9 they had no problem with someone that wanted to offer
- 10 argument that did not file should also be permitted to do
- 11 that. I am not sure that that makes sense, but I am
- 12 happy to hear you, to hear everyone out with respect to
- 13 that.
- 14 MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John. I may
- 15 have misspoke. I guess I was thinking more in terms of
- 16 they would get notice of the proceeding. As far as
- 17 whether they would present, that does make sense that
- 18 they should not present if they have not already filed
- 19 some papers with the Court.
- 20 But I don't have an extremely strong
- 21 feeling either way, sort of airing on the side of
- 22 inclusiveness, so we are fine scaling it back as well.
- 23 suppose with some time limitations anyway, since we are
- 24 starting at 1:00, that it would make sense to try to

Page 9

- 1 limit those third parties that can present there.
- 2 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Any comments on
- 3 the opportunity for those that did not file, permitting
- 4 them to make comment or coordinating their comment
- 5 through another third-party that did?
- 6 Does Intel have any comment?
- 7 MR. DENGER: Mike Denger for Intel, Your
- 8 Honor. We do not feel strongly on this. I would think
- 9 that if we provide notice to everyone again and tell them
- 10 there is going to be an opportunity for third parties who
- 11 did file comments to participate in the oral argument,
- 12 then if there is anyone else out there who did not file
- 13 comments but still wishes to be heard, they would have
- 14 notice at least and could raise the issue with Your Honor
- 15 were they to want to be heard orally.
- 16 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I don't want to
- 17 make any -- I certainly don't want to make a mountain out
- 18 of anything. If there is no dispute that those that have
- 19 not filed should also have an opportunity to be heard in
- 20 the interest of inclusion, then I am not going to have a
- 21 problem with that so long as the comments are
- 22 coordinated. And I do agree that the best way to do that
- 3 is to suggest a specific amount of time for third parties
- 24 to offer comment.

1

Page 10

- I don't know whether you want to discuss
- 2 that now, whether you want to confer about it? I am not
- 3 going to have any problem with any number that you land
- 4 on in terms of a time frame.
- 5 MR. KING: Your Honor, it's John. In
- 6 view of the fact that we will be starting at 1:00, do we
- 7 have an idea of how much total time Your Honor will
- 8 allocate for this hearing?
- 9 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, I don't
- 10 want to cut you all short. You are all going to be
- 11 traveling to make the effort to do the argument, so let
- 12 me hear from you first in terms of how long you think you
- 13 would like to take for argument and then I will tell you
- 14 whether that makes sense to me.
- 15 MR. KING: Well, I think by the time
- 16 Your Honor receives all the papers, I think these issues
- 17 will be pretty substantially and heavily briefed, so my
- 18 intention was I can't see me needing to make a
- 19 presentation of more than, say, 15 minutes to a half
- 20 hour. In particular, if Your Honor has questions, I'd
- 21 rather address those at the hearing than, you know,
- 22 repeat everything we have written, so I don't --
- 23 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I agree with
- 24 that.

- 1 MR. KING: I don't initially see the
- 2 need for a whole lot of time from our side.
- 3 MR. DENGER: I think we are in the same
- 4 position in terms of an affirmative statement of our
- 5 position, that we, obviously, have not seen Que Choisir's
- 6 reply papers, but somewhere in the neighborhood of 15 to
- 7 30 minutes and then time to respond to any questions the
- Court has.
- 9 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Well, those
- 10 time frames make sense, so how would you propose wedging
- 11 in third parties?
- 12 MR. DENGER: Well, I would think, you
- 13 know, if we urge them to coordinate their comments in one
- 14 or two spokespersons, that one could allocate maybe 15 to
- 15 30 minutes to the third parties. Maybe a little more
- 16 if --

17

21

- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: If there is
- 18 several, you may want to allocate a little more.
- 19 MR. DENGER: But they, in essence, were
- 20 making pretty much the same arguments.
  - SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: That's correct.
- 22 I would expect that they would based on even the reading
- 23 that I have done up to this point that I have in front of
- 24 me.

Page 12

- 1 Well, then, let's do this: I think the
- 2 time frames that you are proposing, I think they make
- 3 sense, and I think that the notice that goes to the third
- 4 parties should reflect exactly what you propose for the
- 5 parties' submittals. I am going to stretch it a bit only
- 6 because they may elect to use more than one spokesperson.
- 7 If they can be encouraged to use one, that's so much the
- 8 better.
- 9 What I expect would make sense is if you
- 10 arrange for some meet and confer within the next few
- 11 days, at least to make the arrangement with them within
- 12 the next few days and get the notice out. If the notice
- 13 is agreeable to everyone, it's something certainly that I
- 14 don't need to approve.
- 15 If there is some dispute with respect to
- 16 the notice, and I expect you are going to want me to see
- 17 it, if that's the case, it either has to be something
- 18 that I review not later than the 18th -- actually, there
- 19 is no -- I am not concerned. As long as it gets out by
- 20 the 25th of July, I have more than sufficient time for
- 21 third parties to make their arrangements if they haven't
- 22 already done that with local counsel and to make whatever
- 23 travel plans they choose to make.
- MR. DENGER: May I make a suggestion,

Min-U-Script®

Page 13

- 1 Your Honor? That we could facilitate the third parties
- 2 coordinate among themselves, rather than having them go
- 3 to all the Docket entries, that we put sort of an
- 4 appendix at the end just listing the third-party counsels
- 5 and their contact information?
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Great idea.
- That's a good idea.
- 8 And I did say the 25th. Let's do the
- 28th, not later than the 28th, either have a notice
- 10 that's ready to go or notice that is something that you
- 11 are going to want me to see because there is some
- 12 dispute.
- 13 MR. DENGER: I would think that we
- 14 hopefully would be able to get something out by the end
- of this week.
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I would think
- you could as well. I would encourage that. If you want
- me to land on the 18th, I am happy to do that. Does
- anyone object to the 18th?
- 20 MR. KING: No. Your Honor, no.
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Let's do, for 21
- 22 purposes of my review, if there is a dispute, I'd like to
- 23 see it not later than noon on Friday, and if there isn't
- 24 a dispute, not later than the end of business on Friday.

MR. KING: In terms of the time to maybe

- Page 14
- Page 16
- 2 shortcut what we will say in there, it sounds like both
- 3 Que Choisir and Intel, we could say we will have up to a 4 half hour, and then for the third parties, should we say
- 5 45 minutes in total, something like that?
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I think
- 7 45 minutes makes sense.
- MR. KING: Okay. And clearly, I would
- presume that won't foreclose anyone if Your Honor has
- 10 numerous guestions for one side or the other from third
- 11 parties, I think we can all adapt, but these guidelines
- 12 seem like they will work for everybody.
  - **SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI:** The time frames
- 14 are really for your, so I will permit you the
- 15 opportunity, if you are going to be standing and making
- 16 argument, I certainly would expect that that time will be
- -- I will spend some more time with you questioning.
- MR. KING: Okay. 18
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: The only other 19
- 20 question that I have with respect to the argument, I am
- 21 mindful of the fact that in -- give me one moment -- in
- 22 Intel's submittal, I am actually in the process of
- 23 reading the view of several of what I would refer to as
- 24 expert declarations, and I don't know whether you

- 1 anticipate that any of the persons that have offered
- declarations are going to be there for purposes of
- 3 responding to any questions that I may have or whether
- 4 counsel is going to be in a position to respond to
- 5 questions that may relate to some of the statements or
- assertions made in the declarations.
- 7 For example, I am in the process of John
- 8 Pierre Farge, am I saying that correctly?
- MR. DENGER: Farge, F-a-r-q-e.
- Your Honor, again, this is Michael
- 11 Denger for Intel, and I realize this is a little unusual,
- 12 but we have four declarants located in Europe. I wonder
- 13 if it would be possible or if it would facilitate
- 14 anything if Your Honor did have any declarations -- or
- 15 any question of a technical nature for these declarants,
- 16 rather than bring all four over, there are some
- possibilities of potentially, if we were to have some 17
- 18 idea of the questions beforehand, we might be able to get
- 19 answers were they not answerable by counsel.
- 20 Alternatively, I guess, there may be
- 21 ways to hook up the declarants by telephone if the Court
- 22 has facilities so that the Court could put questions to
- 23 them.
- 24 Again, I think it would be helpful if we

- 1 were to know in advance at least which declarants the
- 2 Court may have questions for so we could make logistical
- 3 arrangements.
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I can do that 4
- 5 and I will be in a better position to give you a date
- 6 certain when you can expect to see something from me as
- 7 soon as I get through the material that I have received
- so far and I have Que Choisir's closing paper.
- MR. KING: It's John for Que Choisir. I
- 10 would be remiss if I didn't mention something which I
- 11 have mentioned to Intel just in a semi meet and confer
- 12 type process, but we are, Que Choisir would be able to
- 13 now, in its reply brief, be more specific on several
- 14 issues.
- SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. 15
- MR. KING: And I think that will impact 16
- 17 the need to deal with those particular declarants, for
- 18 starters. So I would suggest we may want to have this
- 19 type of discussion, maybe another impromptu hearing like
- 20 this, once we have filed our reply.
- **SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: That makes** 21
- 22 sense.
- MR. KING: And what we did was invite, 23
- 24 we think it will be appropriate for Intel to most likely

Page 19 schedule, then I will be back checking dates with 1 submit a further written submission, and they, having not 2 seen our reply, so they didn't know of any need at the 2 everyone. 3 moment, but if they did, they would not oppose us MR. PICKETT: Thanks very much. 3 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Thank you all 4 submitting a possible supplemental reply. 4 And I think once - however Your Honor verv much. 6 decides on that, whether that's permissible or not, I (The hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.) 6 7 think once our position is out there in writing, which it 7 8 will be as of Wednesday, we will have a better idea of 8 9 what issues are in play, and what, if any, declarants are 9 10 needed. 10 So I just wanted to mention that now 11 11 12 because I don't think that the focus will be much on 12 13 those particular declarants. 13 I just wanted to mention it before 14 14 people start making travel arrangements and that sort of 15 16 thing. But on Wednesday, this will be a little more 16 clear. 17 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: I appreciate 18 18 that from Intel's perspective. 19 19 So, let's hold, then, until that is 20 20 21 filed and that's coming in on the 23rd? 21 MR. KING: That is -- well, Que 22 22 23 Choisir's reply is due this Wednesday, the 16th, a couple 23 24 days. 24 Page 18 Page 20 1 CERTIFICATE SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Okay. 2 STATE OF DELAWARE: Is there anything else, then, please? 3 NEW CASTLE COUNTY: 3 Thank you all very much. 4 I, Renee A. Meyers, a Registered Professional MR. PICKETT: John Pickett. There is 5 Reporter, within and for the County and State aforesaid, 5 some confusion, I think, as to the hearing date for 6 do hereby certify that the foregoing teleconference was 6 another matter, which, obviously, you didn't intend to 7 taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and deal with right now, but I do want to raise to you and 8 place indicated: that the teleconference was correctly your staff, there was a hearing that was -- this is on a 9 recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter 9 motion from AMD to guash a subpoena and a motion to 10 transcribed under my supervision with computer-aided 10 compel the subpoena corresponding from Intel. That has 11 transcription; that the foregoing teleconference is a 11 been set for August 21st along with this matter. 12 true record; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: Right. 12 13 any party in said action, nor interested in the outcome MR. PICKETT: And, to my knowledge, that 13 1.4 thereof. 14 has not been set for a hearing. It is a hearing at which 15 WITNESS my hand this 14th day of July A.D. I think the attorneys want to attend in person. 16 15 2008. SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: And it has not 17 16 17 yet been set, counsel, and the reason for that is I am 18 RENEE A. MEYERS REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER CERTIFICATION NO. 106-RPR (Expires January 31, 2011) 18 trying to coordinate a hearing date with Eric Friedberg 19 20 19 and with his schedule. 21 MR. PICKETT: Thank you. I just wanted 20 22 21 to make sure it's on the radar. 23 SPECIAL MASTER POPPITI: It's on the 24 23 radar. We just had a conversation him a little over a 24 couple hours ago, and as soon as I have a handle on his



			July 17, 20
olem namen en e	advance (1)	arrived (1)	12:17
1	16:1	5:7	caveat (1)
	advising (1)	assertions (1)	6:17
(1)	8:1	15:6	certain (1)
:14	affirmative (1)	assign (1)	16:6
		5:19	1
:00 (4)	11:4		certainly (7)
:21,23;8:24;10:6	afternoon (6)	assigned (1)	5:12,17;6:14,15;9:17;12:13;
5 (3)	3:3,13,19,20;4:9,11	5:21	14:16
0:19;11:6,14	again (3)	ATHEY (3)	checking (1)
	9:9;15:10,24	3:3,4,7	19:1
6th (1)			5
7:23	ago (1)	attend (1)	Choiser (1)
8th (3)	18:24	18:15	3:2
2:18;13:18,19	agree (2)	attorneys (1)	Choisir (10)
	9:22;10:23	18:15	3:5,10;5:6;6:9,10,24;8:8;14:3
2	agreeable (1)	augmented (1)	16:9,12
	12:13	7:9	
		1	Choisir's (6)
: (1)	agreed (1)	August (2)	4:15;5:10;7:3;11:5;16:8;17:2
:16	5:13	5:9;18:11	choose (1)
?1st (2)	airing (1)	available (1)	12:23
5:9;18:11	8:21	5:3	circuit (1)
	1	1	
23rd (1)	allocate (4)	aware (1)	6:10
17:21	7:19;10:8;11:14,18	4:18	Clayton (1)
25th (2)	along (2)		3:4
12:20:13:8	6:4;18:11	В	clear (1)
28th (2)	Alternatively (1)		17:17
13:9,9	15:20	back (3)	clearly (1)
_	AMD (2)	4:24;8:22;19:1	14:8
3	4:4;18:9	bad (1)	closing (1)
	among (2)	5:10	16:8
30 (2)	7:18;13:2	based (1)	co-counsel (1)
			3:17
11:7,15	amount (2)	11:22	1
_	7:16;9:23	beforehand (1)	Cohen (1)
4	Anderson (1)	15:18	3:9
	3:14	believes (1)	comfortable (2)
<b>4:53 (1)</b>	answerable (1)	5:11	7:4,7
	15:19		
19:6		best (1)	coming (2)
<b>15 (2)</b>	anticipate (1)	9:22	6:14;17:21
14:5,7	15:1	better (3)	comment (5)
	apologize (1)	12:8;16:5;17:8	8:6;9:4,4,6,24
8	5:16	bit (1)	comments (5)
	1	10.5	
	appendix (1)	12:5	9:2,11,13,21;11:13
3th (4)	13:4	blanket (1)	compel (1)
1:23,24;5:2,3	applications (1)	7:3	18:10
	4:15	both (3)	computer (1)
9	appreciate (1)	3:21;4:21;14:2	6:5
,	17:18		3
	1	brief (1)	concerned (1)
9th (2)	approach (2)	16:13	12:19
5:8,23	7:15,22	briefed (1)	concluded (1)
	appropriate (1)	10:17	19:6
${f A}$	16:24	1	confer (4)
<u> </u>	•	bring (1)	
	approve (1)	15:16	7:24;10:2;12:10;16:11
able (4)	12:14	business (1)	confusion (1)
5:9;13:14;15:18;16:12	argument (10)	13:24	18:5
accomplish (1)	4:15;7:12,17,19;8:10;9:11;		consider (1)
	10:11,13;14:16,20	$\mathbf{C}$	7:16
1:13			
actually (5)	arguments (1)		contact (1)
5:1,6;7:10;12:18;14:22	11:20	calendar (1)	13:5
adapt (1)	arrange (1)	5:17	conversation (1)
14:11	12:10	1	18:23
	1	call (3)	
addition (1)	arrangement (1)	4:12,13;5:11	coordinate (5)
3:1	12:11	can (7)	6:18;7:15;11:13;13:2;18:18
address (1)	arrangements (3)	6:18;7:3;9:1;12:7;14:11;16:4,6	coordinated (1)
	12:21;16:3;17:15	case (1)	9:22
10:21			

**************************************	<del></del>	<del></del>		-
coordinating (1)	3:22	4:17	Good (8)	
9:4	done (2)	extremely (1)	3:3,13,19,20;4:8,11;6:5;13:7	
correctly (1)	11:23;12:22	8:20	Great (1)	,,
15:8	DRANE (5)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	13:6	-(
corresponding (1)	3:13,14;5:1,1,24	F	guess (3)	1
18:10	due (1)		6:21;8:15;15:20	
Corroon (1)	17:23	facilitate (2)	guidelines (1)	
3:15	Dunn (3)	facilitate (2)	14:11	
		13:1;15:13	14.11	
COTTRELL (3)	3:17;5:15;7:6	facilities (1)	TT	
4:3,4,7	duplicative (1)	15:22	H	
counsel (6)	6:20	fact (2)		-
7:10;8:5;12:22;15:4,19;18:17		10:6;14:21	half (2)	
counsels (1)	$\mathbf{E}$	far (2)	10:19;14:4	
13:4		8:16;16:8	handle (1)	
couple (2)	early (1)	Farge (2)	18:24	
17:23;18:24	4:24	15:8,9	happy (2)	
Court (7)	efficiently (1)	F-a-r-g-e (1)	8:12;13:18	
7:11,15;8:19;11:8;15:21,22;	7:18	15:9	Harding (1)	
16:2	effort (1)	feel (1)	3:14	
courthouse (1)	10:11	9:8	hear (3)	
6:2	either (6)	feeling (1)	8:12,12;10:12	
Crutcher (1)	6:13,24;7:7;8:21;12:17;13:9	8:21	heard (5)	
3:18	elect (1)	few (2)	7:12;8:8;9:13,15,19	
cut (1)	12:6	12:10,12	hearing (12)	
10:10	Elliott (1)	file (6)		
10.10	3:4		6:2,16;8:2;10:8,21;16:19;18:5,	
D	l .	6:22;7:11;8:10;9:3,11,12	8,14,14,18;19:6	
D	else (5)	filed (5)	heavily (1)	
	3:8;4:12;5:11;9:12;18:2	6:13;8:18;9:19;16:20;17:21	10:17	
date (10)	e-mail (2)	fine (2)	helpful (1)	
4:14;5:7,9,12,17,19;8:1;16:5;	5:1;6:1	6:24;8:22	15:24	
18:5,18	e-mails (1)	first (2)	hold (1)	1
dates (1)	4:24	4:17;10:12	17:20	(
19:1	encourage (2)	focus (1)	Honor (22)	Ι.
days (3)	6:18;13:17	17:12	3:3,19;4:3,9;5:5,20,24;6:8;7:4;	
12:11,12;17:24	encouraged (1)	foreclose (1)	8:14;9:8,14;10:5,7,16,20;13:1,	
deal (2)	12:7	14:9	20;14:9;15:10,14;17:5	
16:17;18:7	end (4)	four (2)	hook (1)	
decides (1)	5:10;13:4,14,24	15:12,16	15:21	
17:6	entries (1)	frame (1)	hopefully (2)	
declarants (7)	13:3	10:4	6:9;13:14	
15:12,15,21;16:1,17;17:9,13	Eric (1)	frames (3)	hour (2)	
declarations (4)	18:18	11:10;12:2;14:13	10:20:14:4	
14:24;15:2,6,14	essence (1)	Fred (1)	hours (1)	
Denger (16)	11:19	4:4	18:24	
3:17,19;5:14,14,20;7:5,5;9:7,7;	Europe (1)	Friday (2)	10.27	<b></b> -
11:3,12,19;12:24;13:13;15:9,11	15:12	13:23,24	I	
	even (1)	Friedberg (1)		
determination (1)			idea (E)	
4:14	11:22	18:18	idea (5)	
determine (1)	everybody (1)	front (1)	10:7;13:6,7;15:18;17:8	
4:19	14:12	11:23	impact (1)	
Diamond (3)	everyone (6)	further (2)	16:16	
4:5,8,11	4:22;6:4;8:12;9:9;12:13;19:2	6:4;17:1	impromptu (1)	
differently (1)	exactly (1)		16:19	
5:11	12:4	G	incentive (1)	
discuss (2)	example (1)		7:14	
4:16;10:1	15:7	gets (1)	inclusion (1)	
discussion (2)	excellent (1)	12:19	9:20	
4:21;16:19	7:21	Gibson (3)	inclusiveness (1)	
dispute (5)	expect (6)	3:17;5:15;7:6	8:22	
9:18;12:15;13:12,22,24	7:23;11:22;12:9,16;14:16;16:6	given (1)	indeed (1)	1
Docket (1)	expert (1)	7:16	4:8	(
13:3	14:24	)	indicate (1)	``
	E .	goes (1)	8:3	
Don (1)	explore (1)	12:3	0:3	

intel			July 14, 2008
information (2)	limitations (1)	minutes (5)	4:20,20
6:4;13:5	8:23	10:19;11:7,15;14:5,7	opportunity (5)
initial (1)	list (1)	misspoke (1)	8:5;9:3,10,19;14:15
5:9	7:1	8:15	oppose (2)
	1	l .	6:14;17:3
initially (1)	listing (1)	moment (2)	, ,
11:1	13:4	14:21;17:3	oppositions (3)
Intel (14)	little (5)	more (9)	6:13,14,23
3:12,15,23;5:4,15;7:2,5;9:6,7;	11:15,18;15:11;17:16;18:23	8:15;10:19;11:15,18;12:6,20;	optimal (1)
14:3;15:11;16:11,24;18:10	local (2)	14:17;16:13;17:16	6:21
Intel's (2)	8:5;12:22	most (2)	oral (2)
14:22;17:19	located (1)	7:18;16:24	7:19;9:11
intend (1)	15:12	motion (4)	orally (1)
18:6	logistical (1)	7:3,13;18:9,9	9:15
intention (1)	16:2	mountain (1)	others (1)
10:18	long (3)	9:17	5:13
	9:21;10:12;12:19	much (7)	out (9)
interest (1)			
9:20	look (1)	10:7;11:20;12:7;17:12;18:3;	7:2,18;8:12;9:12,17;12:12,19;
invite (1)	4:22	19:3,5	13:14;17:7
16:23	lot (1)		over (2)
issue (2)	11:2	N	15:16;18:23
6:6;9:14			
issues (4)	M	nature (1)	P
6:19;10:16;16:14;17:9		15:15	***************************************
V. 1. 7, 1. V. 1.	mail (1)	need (4)	paper (1)
${f J}$	7:2		16:8
<b>.</b>		11:2;12:14;16:17;17:2	
	mailing (1)	needed (1)	papers (4)
John (8)	7:1	17:10	7:11;8:19;10:16;11:6
3:9;5:5;6:8;8:14;10:5;15:7;	makes (4)	needing (1)	part (1)
16:9;18:4	8:11;10:14;14:7;16:21	10:18	4:24
joinders (2)	making (3)	neighborhood (1)	participate (4)
6:13,23	11:20;14:15;17:15	11:6	4:17,19;6:12;9:11
joining (2)	Mary (2)	next (2)	participation (1)
3:8;4:1	5:2;6:1	12:10,12	6:7
Jones (1)	MASTER (33)	noon (1)	particular (4)
3:4		13:23	6:12;10:20;16:17;17:13
	3:1,6,11,20,24;4:6,10;5:16,22;		
Judge (1)	6:3;7:20;9:2,16;10:9,23;11:9,17,		parties (24)
3:13	21;13:6,16,21;14:6,13,19;16:4,	6:15,23;7:2,3,8,9,22,24;8:16;	4:1,16,18;6:7,11,22;7:8,9,10,10,
July (3)	15,21;17:18;18:1,12,16,22;19:4	9:9,14;12:3,12,12,16;13:9,10	12,17,23;8:4;9:1,10,23;11:11,15;
4:24;5:2;12:20	material (1)	number (1)	12:4,21;13:1;14:4,11
MANAGEM AND	16:7	10:3	parties' (1)
$\mathbf{K}$	matter (2)	numerous (1)	12:5
	18:6,11	14:10	path (1)
King (18)	may (12)		4:19
3:9,9;5:5,5;6:8,8;8:14;10:5,15;	4:5;5:7,13;8:14;11:18;12:6,24;	0	pendency (1)
11:1;13:20;14:1,8,18;16:9,16,	15:3,5,20;16:2,18		7:2
23;17:22	maybe (4)	object (1)	people (1)
•			
knowledge (1)	11:14,15;14:1;16:19	13:19	17:15
18:13	meet (3)	obviously (2)	perhaps (1)
_	7:24;12:10;16:11	11:5;18:6	7:14
L	mention (3)	offer (2)	permissible (1)
	─ 16:10;17:11,14	8:9;9:24	17:6
		1 000 1 1 1 1 1	permit (2)
land (3)		offered (1)	perim (2)
land (3) 4:14:10:3:13:18	mentioned (1)		
4:14;10:3;13:18	mentioned (1) 16:11	15:1	8:7;14:14
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4)	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3)	15:1 once (3)	8:7;14:14 permitted (1)
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4)	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3)	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10)	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1)
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4) 6:15;9:14;12:11;16:1	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3) 6:9;7:16;15:18	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10) 5:3,3;6:10;7:15;11:13,14;12:6,	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1) 9:3
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4) 6:15;9:14;12:11;16:1 LeVan (1)	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3) 6:9;7:16;15:18 Mike (2)	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10) 5:3,3;6:10;7:15;11:13,14;12:6, 7;14:10,21	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1) 9:3 person (1)
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4) 6:15;9:14;12:11;16:1	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3) 6:9;7:16;15:18 Mike (2) 5:14;9:7	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10) 5:3,3;6:10;7:15;11:13,14;12:6, 7;14:10,21 ones (1)	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1) 9:3 person (1) 18:15
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4) 6:15;9:14;12:11;16:1 LeVan (1)	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3) 6:9;7:16;15:18 Mike (2)	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10) 5:3,3;6:10;7:15;11:13,14;12:6, 7;14:10,21	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1) 9:3 person (1) 18:15 persons (1)
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4) 6:15;9:14;12:11;16:1 LeVan (1) 5:2	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3) 6:9;7:16;15:18 Mike (2) 5:14;9:7	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10) 5:3,3;6:10;7:15;11:13,14;12:6, 7;14:10,21 ones (1)	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1) 9:3 person (1) 18:15
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4) 6:15;9:14;12:11;16:1 LeVan (1) 5:2 likely (1) 16:24	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3) 6:9;7:16;15:18 Mike (2) 5:14;9:7 Milstein (1) 3:10	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10) 5:3,3;6:10;7:15;11:13,14;12:6, 7;14:10,21 ones (1) 6:13 only (4)	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1) 9:3 person (1) 18:15 persons (1) 15:1
4:14;10:3;13:18 later (4) 12:18;13:9,23,24 least (4) 6:15;9:14;12:11;16:1 LeVan (1) 5:2 likely (1)	mentioned (1) 16:11 Michael (3) 3:17;7:5;15:10 might (3) 6:9;7:16;15:18 Mike (2) 5:14;9:7 Milstein (1)	15:1 once (3) 16:20;17:5,7 one (10) 5:3,3;6:10;7:15;11:13,14;12:6, 7;14:10,21 ones (1) 6:13	8:7;14:14 permitted (1) 8:10 permitting (1) 9:3 person (1) 18:15 persons (1)

July 14, 2008
phone (2)
3:16;4:5 Distant (7)
Pickett (7) 3:22,22;18:4,4,13,20;19:3
Pierre (1)
15:8
<b>place (1)</b> 8:1
plans (1)
12:23
<b>play (1)</b> 17:9
please (3)
3:12;4:12;18:2
<b>pm (3)</b> 5:21,23;19:6
point (1)
11:23
poised (1) 4:22
POPPITI (34)
3:1,6,11,14,20,24;4:6,10;5:16,
22;6:3;7:20;9:2,16;10:9,23;11:9, 17,21;13:6,16,21;14:6,13,19;
16:4,15,21;17:18;18:1,12,16,22;
19:4
position (5) 11:4,5;15:4;16:5;17:7
possibilities (1)
15:17
possible (2) 15:13;17:4
potentially (1)
15:17
Potter (1) 3:14
present (6)
6:12,15;7:19;8:17,18;9:1
presentation (1) 10:19
presentations (2)
6:20;7:15
presume (1) 14:9
pretty (2)
10:17;11:20 Prinkett (1)
Prickett (1) 3:4
problem (5)
6:11;7:12;8:9;9:21;10:3 proceeding (1)
8:16
process (3)
14:22;15:7;16:12 propose (2)
11:10;12:4
proposing (1)
12:2 provide (1)
9:9
providing (1)
7:8 purpose (1)
hardiana (.)

8:4

15:3

responding (1)

review (2) 12:18;13:22 right (2)

-,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	18:7,12			
the state of the state of				
	S			
	sama (4)			
-	same (4) 6:1;7:1;11:3,20			
	saying (1)			
-	15:8			
	scaling (1)			
	8:22			
	schedule (2) 18:19;19:1			
	seem (1)			
	14:12			
	seems (1)			
	8:3 semi (1)			
	16:11			
	sense (9)			
	8:11,17,24;10:14;11:10;12:3,9;			
	14:7;16:22			
	<b>September (4)</b> 4:23;5:3,8,23			
	set (5)			
	5:9;7:16;18:11,14,17			
	several (3)			
	11:18;14:23;16:13 <b>short (2)</b>			
	6:10;10:10			
	shortcut (1)			
	14:2			
	<b>side (3)</b> 8:21;11:2;14:10			
	someone (1)			
	8:9			
	somewhere (1)			
į	11:6 soon (2)			
	16:7;18:24			
	sort (3)			
	8:21;13:3;17:15			
	sounds (1)   14:2			
	SPECIAL (33)			
	3:1,6,11,20,24;4:6,10;5:16,22;			
	6:3;7:20;9:2,16;10:9,23;11:9,17,			
	21;13:6,16,21;14:6,13,19;16:4, 15,21;17:18;18:1,12,16,22;19:4			
	specific (2)			
	9:23;16:13			
	spend (1)			
	14:17			
	spokesperson (1) 12:6			
	spokespersons (1)			
	11:14			
	staff (1)			
	18:8   standing (1)			
	14:15			
	start (2)			
	3:2;17:15 starters (1)			
	SESTIONS CLI			

	16:18
:	starting (2)
	8:24;10:6
	statement (1)
	11:4
	statements (1)
	15:5
	still (1) 9:13
	stretch (1)
	12:5
	strong (1)
	8:20
	strongly (1)
	9:8
	submission (1)
	17:1
	submit (1) 17:1
	submittal (1)
	14:22
	submittals (1)
	12:5
	submitting (1)
	17:4
	subpoena (2)
	18:9,10
	substantially (1) 10:17
	sufficient (1)
	12:20
	suggest (2)
	9:23;16:18
	suggesting (1)
	5:2
	suggestion (2)
	7:21;12:24 supplemental (1)
	17:4
	suppose (3)
	6:12,17;8:23
	sure (3)
	5:18;8:11;18:21
	suspect (1)
	6:19
	T
	*
	talk (1)
	6:6

talk (1)
6:6
technical (1)
. ,
15:15
teleconference (1)
4:2
telephone (1)
15:21
terms (7)
6:10;7:8;8:15;10:4,12;11:4;14:1
Thanks (1)
19:3
thinking (1)
8:15
third (21)
7 /

starters (1)

Intel		July 14, 2008
4:16,18;6:7,11,22;7:8,9,10,12, 17,23;9:1,10,23;11:11,15;12:3, 21;13:1;14:4,10 third-party (2) 9:5;13:4 total (2) 10:7;14:5 travel (2) 12:23;17:15 traveling (1) 10:11 try (2) 6:19;8:24 trying (1) 18:18 Tuesday (1) 5:8 two (2) 4:13;11:14 type (2) 16:12,19	15:12 work (3) 5:18;7:17;14:12 works (2) 5:12,17 writing (1) 17:7 written (2) 10:22;17:1 wrong (1) 5:7	
U		
understood (1) 4:24 unusual (1) 15:11 up (4) 4:21;11:23;14:3;15:21 upon (1) 5:13 urge (1) 11:13 use (3) 7:1;12:6,7		
· <b>V</b>		
view (2) 10:6;14:23		
way (5) 6:24;7:7,21;8:21;9:22 ways (1) 15:21 wedging (1) 11:10 Wednesday (3) 17:8,16,23 week (4) 4:23;5:2,4;13:15 whole (1) 11:2 Wilmington (1) 4:4 wishes (1) 9:13 within (2) 12:10,11 wonder (1)		