EXHIBIT C

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

LAWYERS

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-3197 (213) 229-7000 www.gibsondunn.com

SPirnazar@gibsondunn.com

August 5, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL

Direct Dial Client No. (213) 229-7444 T42376-00764

(213) 229-7444 Fax No.

(213) 229-64444

Bernard Barmann, Esq.
O'Melveny & Myers
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071-2899

Re: AMD v. Intel – Depositions for September 2008

Dear Bernie:

Consistent with our agreed-upon protocols regarding deposition logistics, I am writing to inform you of the depositions of AMD witnesses that Intel seeks to take in September and in early October.

I. Individual AMD Witnesses

Intel intends to take the depositions of William Edwards, Richard Witek and John Volkmann.

- (1) We would like to take Mr. Edwards' deposition on September 10 and 11 and estimate that it will require about twelve (12) hours of examination time.
- (2) We propose to take Mr. Witek's deposition on September 18 and 19 and estimate that it too will require about twelve (12) hours of examination time.
- (3) We would like to take Mr. Volkmann's deposition on October 1 through 3 and estimate that it will require about fourteen (14) hours of examination time.

Please confirm these dates or provide alternative dates for each of these witnesses, and inform us of the appropriate locations for these depositions as soon as possible so that notices and/or subpoenas are issued as appropriate. I assume you will accept service of these documents for these witnesses – please let me know immediately if that is not the case.

GIBSON. DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Bernard Barmann, Esq. August 5, 2008 Page 2

II. Rule 30(b)(6) Depositions

In addition, Intel intends to take two Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, one regarding AMD's maintenance of records on pricing, discounts, and other terms and conditions offered to customers in its sales of x86 microprocessors, and the other regarding ATI's position with respect to the ability to trace fluctuations in the price of a component in the final price of a computer. In accordance with our protocols, while the topics of examination may be altered somewhat in the subsequent notices of deposition, we provide initial lists of the topics of examination to assist in identifying the appropriate witnesses:

- (4) For the Rule 30(b)(6) depositions regarding AMD's maintenance of records on pricing, discounts, and other terms and conditions offered to customers in its sales of x86 microprocessors, we expect that three (3) hours of examination will be required and propose that the deposition take place during the fourth week of September (sometime between 9/22 and 9/26). Subject to revisions in the notice of deposition, the topics of examination will include:
 - 1. AMD's corporate policies and practices concerning the documentation of the terms and conditions of sale of x86 microprocessors, including data collection and storage, executed written contracts, pricing and discount offers, customer files, or any other relevant manner in which sales information is maintained.
 - 2. The manner in which data concerning prices, discounts, volumes, billings, or any other terms and conditions for the sale of x86 microprocessors are collected, retained, and indexed, and the types of systems that AMD utilizes or has utilized to manage this data.
 - 3. AMD corporate policies and practices concerning the use, retention, and indexing of executed written contracts with its large volume customers setting forth the terms and conditions of sale of x86 microprocessors.
 - 4. The existence and location of executed written contracts between AMD and its large volume customers setting forth the terms and conditions of sale of x86 microprocessors.
 - 5. AMD corporate policies and practices concerning the use, retention, and indexing of offers for the sale of x86 microprocessors to the large volume customers, including all prices, discounts, or any other term and condition associated with those offers.
 - 6. The existence and location of offers for the sale of x86 microprocessors to large volume customers, including but not limited to PowerPoint presentations, formal offer sheets, letters, memoranda, or email communications, and all prices, discounts, or any other term and condition associated with those offers.
 - 7. AMD corporate policies and practices concerning the use, retention, and indexing of files, including all correspondence, negotiating history, or any other relevant customer-specific information, associated with each large volume customer.

GIBSON. DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Bernard Barmann, Esq. August 5, 2008 Page 3

- 8. The existence and location of files, including all correspondence, negotiating history, or any other relevant customer-specific information, associated with each large volume customer.
- (5) As for the Rule 30(b)(6) depositions regarding AMD and/or ATI's position regarding the ability to trace fluctuations in the price of a component in the final price of a computer, we expect that four (4) hours of examination will be required and request that the deposition take place during the first two weeks of September (sometime between 9/2 and 9/5 or 9/8 and 9/12). Subject to revisions in the notice of deposition, the topics of examination will include:
 - 1. The factual basis for ATI's position in the case captioned *In re Graphics Processing Units Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. M-07-CV-01826-WHA (N.D. Cal.), regarding the factors one must take into account to trace an increase in the price of a Graphics Processing Unit ("GPU") to the price that an ultimate consumer pays for a computer containing the GPU.

Again, I ask that you confirm these dates or provide alternative dates for each of these witnesses, and inform us of the appropriate locations for these depositions.

III. Deposition of Third Party Witnesses Previously Requested by AMD

To facilitate efficient communications and proper scheduling of depositions, Intel provides the following estimates for the examination time it requires for third-party witnesses requested or noticed for deposition by AMD and/or the Class.

- Intel requests approximately three (3) hours during the deposition of James Booth (Gateway).
- Intel requests approximately six (6) hours during the deposition of Susan Whitney (IBM).
- Intel requests approximately one (1) hour during the deposition of Steve Ward (Lenovo).
- Intel requests approximately two (2) hours during the deposition of Dean Koester (Rackable).
- Intel requests approximately four (4) hours during the deposition of Charles Liang (Supermicro). In addition, Intel requests approximately two (2) hours each during the depositions of Robbie Abreu (Supermicro), Alex Hsu (Supermicro), and Emmy Chang (Supermicro).
- Finally, as previously set out, Intel will require approximately four (4) hours during the deposition of Eilo Levy (formerly of Tech Data).

As always, please contact me if you have any questions.

Volyminy yours,

Sogol K. Pirnazak

SKP/skp/100494994 1.DOC

cc: Michael M. Maddigan, Esq. Darren B. Bernhard, Esq. Daniel S. Floyd, Esq.