# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE INTEL CORPORATION MICROPROCESSOR ANTI LITIGATION	TRUST	) ) ) MDL No. 05-1717-JJF ) )
ADVANCED MICRO DEVI corporation, and AMD INTE & SERVICE, LTD., a Delaw	RNATIONAL SALES	) ) ) )
v.	Plaintiffs,	) C.A. No. 05-441-JJF
INTEL CORPORATION, a land INTEL KABUSHIKI Kacorporation,	<u>-</u>	) ) ) )
PHIL PAUL, on behalf of his and all others similarly situat		) ) )
	Plaintiffs,	) C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
V.		) CONSOLIDATED ACTION
INTEL CORPORATION,	Defendant.	) )

## **NOTICE OF SUBPOENA**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on October 16, 2008, Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha, by their counsel, the attached subpoena was served on McKinsey & Company, 55 East 52nd Street, 27th Floor, New York, New York 10022, commanding it to produce for inspection and copying on November 10, 2008, the documents identified in Schedule A appended thereto.

#### OF COUNSEL:

## POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Robert E. Cooper Daniel S. Floyd Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 229-7000

Peter E. Moll
Darren B. Bernhard
Howrey LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 783-0800

Dated: October 16, 2008

887452/29282

By: /s/ Richard L. Horwitz
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

#### **SCHEDULE A**

#### **DEFINITIONS**

The following terms shall have the meanings set forth below whenever used in any discovery request.

- 1. The term "AMD" means Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd., and any parent, subsidiary or affiliate entities, as well as the owners, partners, officers, directors, employees, agents, and other representatives of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd.
- 2. The term "Intel" means Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha and any parent, subsidiary or affiliate entities, as well as the owners, partners, officers, directors, employees, agents, and other representatives of Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha.
- 3. The term "McKinsey" means the global partnership McKinsey and Company and any affiliate entities, as well as the owners, employees, agents, and other representatives of McKinsey and Company.
- 4. The term "MICROPROCESSOR" means general purpose microprocessors using the x86 instruction set (e.g., Phenom, Sempron, Athlon, Turion, Opteron, Celeron, Pentium, Core, Core Duo and Xeon).
- 5. The term "CHIPSET" means a set of specialized chips on a computer's motherboard or an expansion card that controls communications between the microprocessor and external devices such as memory or a graphic controller.
- 6. The term "GPU" or "GRAPHICS PROCESSING UNIT" means a dedicated graphics rendering device for a personal computer, workstation or game console that either sits on top of a video card or is integrated directly into the computing/game system's motherboard.

### **DOCUMENT REQUESTS**

- 1. All DOCUMENTS that reflect COMMUNICATIONS between AMD and McKinsey relating to any analyses, reports, studies, advice or recommendations involving AMD's business operations or processes (including, but not limited to analyses of AMD's silicon fabrication plans or facilities); AMD's customers (past, current or potential); Intel; or competition in the MICROPROCESSOR, CHIPSET or GPU markets.
- 2. All DOCUMENTS that reflect advice, analyses, summaries, reports, studies, or interviews relating to AMD's strategies, customers, competitors, performance, business plans, marketing, organization, or operations.
- DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe all matters AMD retained or requested that
   McKinsey perform on behalf of AMD since June 22, 2006 to the present.
- 4. All DOCUMENTS relating to a 2007 report prepared by McKinsey for AMD titled "Driving Sales in Enterprise Desktops with Dell," including all drafts thereof and all internal and external COMMUNICATIONS relating to the report, including COMMUNICATIONS with employees of AMD or Dell, Inc.

- 5. The term "COMMUNICATION" means the transmittal of information and encompasses every medium of information transmittal, including, but not limited to, oral, written, graphic and electronic communication.
- 6. The term "DOCUMENT" is synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of the term in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a), including, without limitation, electronic or computerized data compilations as well as data files including email, instant messaging and shared network files. A draft or non-identical copy constitutes a separate document within the meaning of the term.

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Documents to be produced include documents in your possession, custody, or control wherever located.
- 2. Unless otherwise specifically stated, the time period covered by each of these requests is from June 22, 2006 to the date this subpoena was issued.
- 3. Unless otherwise specifically stated, these Requests are limited in scope to DOCUMENTS that relate to communications, analyses, advice or work performed on behalf of AMD and no other entity.
- 4. Documents must be produced as they are kept in the usual course of business, or must be organized and labeled to correspond to the document requests by number.
- 5. To the extent that you withhold from production any responsive document on the grounds of a claim of privilege or attorney work product, please provide the total number of responsive documents withheld from production. You are not required to provide at the time of production a privilege log or other description of the nature of any such documents. Intel expressly reserves its right to seek a privilege log at a later date.

# Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern District of New York

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

Ÿ.

intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

Case Number:1 05-441-JJF. Delaware

TO: McKinsey & Company 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place testify in the above case.	e, date, and time specified below to
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to in the above case.	testify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
	Į.
See Schedule A, attached hereto.	
PLACE Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 200 Park Ave, New York, NY 10166	DATE AND TIME 11/10/200B 8:30 am
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the	date and time specified below.
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subposneed for the taking of a deposition sh directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may se matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6).	et forth, for each person designated, the
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDAN	T) DATE
Attorney for Defendant	October 15, 2008
ISSUENG OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER	
Andrew A. Cilne Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 887-3608	

(See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 12/07) Subposens in a Civil Case (Page 2)  PROOF OF SERVICE		
SERVED		
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF SERVICE	
SERVED BY (FRINT NAME)	TITLE	
DECLARATIO	N OF SERVER	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Unit in the Proof of Service is true and correct.	ted States of America that the foregoing information contained	
Executed on DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER	
	ADDRESS OF SERVER	
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e), as amended on (c) PROTECTING A PERSON SUBJECT TO A SUBFORM.  (1) Avoiding United Burden or Expenses, Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpose an must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undua burden or expense on a person subject to the subposens. The issuing count must enfance this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.  (2) Command to Produce Mattrials or Permit Impection.  (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commended to produce documents, electronically stored information, or targible things, or to permit the inspection of grammics, need not appear in person at the place of production or impection unless also commanded to appear.	(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undoe hardship; and (ii) arraires that the subpocused parson will be reasonably compensated. (d) DUHES IN RESPONDING TO A SUBPORNA. (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information: (A) Documents A person responding to a subpocus to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary occurs of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the estropoises in the demand.	
for a deposition, hearing, or trial  (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoeme a written objection to irrepecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoeme is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:  (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.  (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.  (3) Outshing or Medifying a Subpoeme.	(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified If a subposes does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.  (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not provide other same electronically stored information in more than one form:  (D) Innecessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person information and reasonably accessible because of under burden or cost. On motion to campel discovery for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of under burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may montheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 25(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.	
(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing count must quash or modify a subposus that  (i) fails to allow a restorable time to comply;  (ii) requires a person who is resider a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person—except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;  (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or  (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.  (B) When Parmitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subposna, the issuing count may, or motion, quash or modify the subposna if it requires:  (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or	(2) Chaiming Privilege or Protection.  (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoensed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:  (i) expressly make the claim; and (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or targible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privilege of or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.  (3) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoet to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before	
commercial information;  (ii) disclosing an unretained experts opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the experts study that was not	being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.	

(e) CONTEMPT.

commercial information;
(ii) disclosing an unretained experts opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the experts study that was not

requested by a party; or

(iii) a peason who is neither a party nor a party's officer to inour substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial expense to C. Specifying Conditions as an Altonative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(e) CONEMPI.

The issuing count may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoens. A nonperty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoens purports to require the nonperty to stiend or produce at a place cuttide the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Richard L. Horwitz, hereby certify that on October 16, 2008 the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein
Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Chad M. Shandler
Steven J. Fineman
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

James L. Holzman J. Clayton Athey Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on October 16, 2008, I have Electronically Mailed the documents to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond Linda J. Smith O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 <u>cdiamond@omm.com</u> lsmith@omm.com Mark A. Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 msamuels@omm.com

Salem M. Katsh
Laurin B. Grollman
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP
1633 Broadway, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10019
<a href="mailto:skatsh@kasowitz.com">skatsh@kasowitz.com</a>
<a href="mailto:lgrollman@kasowitz.com">lgrollman@kasowitz.com</a>

Michael D. Hausfeld
Daniel A. Small
Brent W. Landau
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.
255 S. 17<sup>th</sup> Street
Suite 1307
Philadelphia, PA 19103
mhausfeld@cmht.com
dsmall@cmht.com
blandau@cmht.com
blandau@cmht.com

Craig C. Corbitt
Judith A. Zahid
Zelle, Hofmann, Voelbel, Mason
& Gette LLP
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
ccorbitt@zelle.com
jzahid@zelle.com

Anthony D. Shapiro
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
steve@hbsslaw.com
tony@hbsslaw.com

Steve W. Berman

Guido Saveri R. Alexander Saveri Saveri & Saveri, Inc. 111 Pine Street, Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94111 guido@saveri.com rick@saveri.com Michael P. Lehman Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C. One Embarcadero Center, Suite 526 San Francisco, CA 94111 mlehmann@cmht.com

By: /s/ Richard L. Horwitz.
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kasiha

Dated: October 16, 2008

738395 / 29282