

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

_____)
In the Matter of)

INTEL CORPORATION,)
Respondent.)
_____)

DOCKET NO. 9341

**ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION OF NON-PARTY
MICROSOFT CORPORATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO MOVE TO LIMIT OR QUASH SUBPOENA *DUCES TECUM***


On April 15, 2010, non-party Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) submitted an unopposed motion for extension of time to move to limit or quash a Subpoena *Duces Tecum* received from Complaint Counsel on April 7, 2010 (“Complaint Counsel’s Subpoena”). Microsoft requests an extension until May 3, 2010 and further requests that Microsoft’s obligations to otherwise respond to Complaint Counsel’s Subpoena be tolled during the period of extension. Microsoft states that Complaint Counsel does not oppose the motion.

Microsoft states that it is in negotiations with Complaint Counsel regarding the Subpoena and that more time is necessary to complete those negotiations effectively. Microsoft further notes that the materials sought by Complaint Counsel’s Subpoena overlap substantially with those sought under a subpoena that Microsoft received on March 22, 2010 from Respondent Intel Corporation (“Intel Subpoena”), for which Microsoft previously received an extension of time to move to limit or quash until May 3, 2010. *See* Order Granting Unopposed Motion of Non-Party Microsoft Corporation for Extension of Time to Move to Limit or Quash Subpoena *Duces Tecum*, March 31, 2010. According to Microsoft, Complaint Counsel and Microsoft agree that it will be most efficient for the deadlines for motions regarding both subpoenas to be aligned, and that they further agree that Microsoft may have an extension of time to move to limit or quash Complaint Counsel’s Subpoena until May 3, 2010.

Based on the foregoing, Microsoft’s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Move to Limit or Quash Subpoena *Duces Tecum* is GRANTED, and it is hereby ORDERED that Microsoft shall have until May 3, 2010 to file a motion to quash or limit the subpoena received from Complaint Counsel on April 7, 2010, and that Microsoft’s

obligations to otherwise respond to such subpoena shall be tolled during the period of the extension.

ORDERED:


D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: April 15, 2010